Internal Use Only	
Date Received:	
Acknowledged by:	
Recorded by:	

WARRINGTON Borough Council

Warrington Borough Council

Local Plan Review

Regulation 18 Consultation: Standard Response Form

October 2016

Contents

1: Contact Details (Compulsory)

Title: MRS

First Name: ALISON

Last Name: HEINE

Organisation (if applicable): HEINE PLANNING

Address:

Phone Number:

E-mail:

2: Questions

Question 1

Do you have any comments to make about the Council's evidence base?

Question 2

Do you consider the assessment of Housing Needs to be appropriate?

Question 3

Do you consider the assessment of Employment Land Needs to be appropriate?

Question 4

Do you consider the alignment of Housing Needs and Job's Growth to be appropriate?

Question 5

Do you consider the assessment of Land Supply to be appropriate?

Question 6

Do you consider that Green Belt land will need to be released to deliver the identified growth?

Question 7

Do you consider the three identified Strategic matters being the appropriate initial focus of the Local Plan review?

Question 8

Do you agree that further land will need to be removed from the Green Belt and Safeguarded for future development needs beyond the Plan period?

Question 9

Do you consider it appropriate to include Minerals and Waste and Gypsy and Traveller needs in the scope of the proposed Local Plan review?

Question 10

Do you consider the Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report to be appropriate?

Question 11

Do you consider the Spatial Distribution and Site Assessment Process at Appendix 2 to be appropriate?

Question 12

Do you agree with the assessment of Local Plan Policies at Appendix 1?

Question 13

Do you consider the proposed 20 year Local Plan period to be appropriate?

Question 14

Having read this document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the 'Preferred Option' consultation draft, which you will be able to comment on at the next stage of consultation?

3: Responses

Question 1

Probably need to re assess Gypsy Traveller needs following revision to PPTS in 2015 and to have a more uptodate assessment

Question 2

Should include consideration of the needs of Gypsy Travellers/ showmen

Question 3

Question 4

Question 5

Question 6

Yes-there has been too much town cramming just to protect the Green Belt.
You need to get the balance right. Stifling development just leads to longer commutes which is not sustainable.

Small parts could easily be released without harming the integrity of the GB to meet the needs that are hard to locate in developed areas-such as showmen/Gypsy Traveller sites.

Question 7

Question 8

Question 9

Gypsy-Travellers-absolutely!
We were promised this would be addressed as a matter of priority at the Core strategy examination stage. I have seen little/ no progress since.

We were promised existing sites with temporary consent would be tolerated until a site allocation plan was adopted yet at the first opportunity to renew sites with temporary consent, applications at Walton and Rixton were refused.

Please Please Please address this as soon as possible
Families have been living with this uncertainty for far too long
Families are doubling up on overcrowded sites in breach of conditions due to the absence of provision
The need is quite small. The immediate need could be easily addressed.
Given the inevitable admission that the GB needs to be reviewed, consider existing sites that are unauthorised/ temporary as part of your call for sites and remove existing sites such as the authorised showmen's site and those at Rixton, Walton and Grappenhall Lodge from the Green Belt so that they can be made permanent/ developed further at no cost to the Council. It could not be easier. Please do not make this harder for yourselves than it has to be.

There is a need for transit provision in this area too.

Question 10

Question 11

Question 12

Question 13

Question 14