

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam

The purpose of this letter is to express my intent rejection to the proposed main road along the railway embankment in order to service the new city development plan which is currently under consultation, which in addition to, I also 100% oppose.

I don't suppose anyone will even bother to take the time to read this but I cannot sit back and allow this to happen. Why on earth would building a main road along the transpenine trail and over the iconic bridge be a good thing? The bridge is an iconic feature of **Warrington Town** and should be maintained in its current appearance. More importantly how can building on the transpenine trail be a good thing? It is a haven for local people. It links us to so many other places safely and healthily by walking and cycling and is used by families for riding bikes, walking dogs and as a place to escape to. I can't count how many times I have walked along there with my children looking for squirrels, rabbit holes and birds nests. It would be inhumane to destroy that for a road that is not wanted by anyone. Not only would you be directly killing wildlife as a result you would be polluting what is a beautiful spot and should be maintained for future generations to continue to enjoy with their families.

If that is the proposed place for this main road then it is obvious to everyone that houses in the vicinity would be ruined, how on earth can it be justified that these people have a road at the end of their garden without compensation for such a ruining of their home life? People work and save hard to live around here because it is such a wonderful village to live in, these people should not be forced to upheave and lose out financially. Other houses look like they would have to be demolished in order to build this road. What nonsense. How on earth can it be justified that these period properties be destroyed forever just so some new blandless houses could be built, it isn't fair and it isn't right in every possible way. Why should one house be destroyed so another can be built? Idiotic.

The destruction of so much green belt land is also absurd. This is our countryside, it is why we chose to live here, it cannot be replaced, how can anyone justify building over it for it to never be seen again? There are places in the area that should have houses built on them e.g Grappenhall residential school, of course it cannot stay as a derelict building so build there, in sensible places that are not open countryside.

I, like all residents in this area are furious that such a proposal is even in existence. As I type this my two young daughters are sleeping and I am sat in my back garden and I think oh my goodness, what future can they possible have when there are people out there who think that this proposal is a good idea, fair and right in anyway and worse still have every intention of destroying our beautiful planet?What is the point?

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]