

Date: 25 Sep. 17

Dear Sir

Warrington Borough Council Local Plan. Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation

I wish to record my objections to the current Preferred Development Option for the following reasons:

- I feel that the Public consultation did not have adequate advertising and was held throughout the peak holiday season, meaning there has not been enough time to understand all the issues.
- Public consultations are being held prior to the infrastructure feasibility study results being completed and published. Council representatives have been unable to answer whether the feasibility study is taking place on all 5 reported options or just the preferred development option.
- Use of outdated and unclear maps when presenting plans at the public consultations and on line.
- It seems misleading of the council to let the public believe that the volume of housing required is something set by the Government when it is WBC who have calculated the volume requirement.
- Unreasonable of the council to base calculation of the housing requirement on figures produced:
 - pre Brexit announcement
 - when it was believed that the HS2 line would require a stop in Warrington
 - before allowing for the recent Government announcement of revised housing requirement calculation methodology.
- There is enough Brownfield land in the area to build 15,000 houses. Surely this is enough to meet a reduced housing requirement. Therefore allowing the council to protect and preserve existing green belt land. What consideration has been given to different housing number forecasts depending on the future state of the economy?
- Majority of the proposed housing seems to be located in the least densely populated and more expensive
 areas of the town. How does this meet the need for affordable housing for first time buyers? It looks like the
 Council is pursuing development with the largest council tax take.
- 2016 study by the World Health Organisation shows that Warrington was recorded as having the 2nd highest air pollution levels in the North West. Impact on health and mortality. Why would the Council wish to increase this further?
- While it may appear convenient for the council to re-use the railway embankment as part of the TPT tansport proposals, have the following considerations been taken into account?:
 - state of disrepair of the high level bridge
 - integrity, form and strength of the embankment
 - destruction of wildlife/protected species habitats
 - Heritage and preservation of local history e.g Knutsford Road bridge cited in the Unitary Development plan as being of significant local, architectural and historical interest.
 - Destruction of TPT amenity which is currently a well-used nature path utilised by walkers, runners and cyclists and part of the National Cycle Route Network

- Considerable blight to surrounding houses and neighbourhoods and destruction of the community feel which attracts and retains residents in the areas around Warrington.
- The consultation and online documents do not adequately explain what happens with the 'strategic transport route' once it reaches the bridge at Wash Lane.
- The 'strategic bus route' over Cantilever Bridge does not consider inadequate weight limit of that bridge. Who will pay for the essential upgrading, ongoing maintenance and basic caretaking of this bridge?
- PDO document attempts to justify why Option 1 has been discounted and why Option 2 is the preferred. No mention of options 3, 4 or 5?
- Representative at the Stretton consultation said that the NHS and Warrington Hospital is fully involved however they appear to have now been sent away to decide how best to fragment services. Increased population will place a significant burden on an already over-stretched and under-resourced service.
- What about secondary care? National shortage of general practitioners. Community carers..... Hospital prevention teams..... mental health practitioners etc?
- It is not clear to me in the documents, how the risk of flooding to the new developments and existing homes will be addressed. Also the additional amount of waste generated will need to be assessed and allowed for.

Yours Faithfully,