



28th August 2017

Planning Policy Team,
Warrington Borough Council,
New Town House,
Buttermarket Street,
Warrington.
WA1 2NH

Dear Sirs,

I object strongly to the proposed development plans for South West Warrington as shown in *Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation, July 2017*.

There has been no consideration for the adjoining village of Moore in Halton Borough and it's surrounding area (which is historic and the conservation area) as this is outside Warrington Borough unlike Walton Village that has been given some forethought as this is in Warrington Borough.

Many people frequent Moore Village and surroundings from all areas because of countryside and historic village and Nature Reserve and wildlife that it offers at present.

There has been no regard for the green belt area that divides the two boroughs therefore there will be no division which, in my understanding, "neighbouring towns should be prevented from merging by a green belt area".

Whilst roads and bridges have been incorporated in the new infrastructure in the Warrington Borough, Moore Village in Halton Borough has not. The village is a village and not built to take the increased traffic created by such a development.

I also object to the proposal of Warrington Waterfront along the Ship Canal opposite Moore. This will impinge on the dwellings on the opposite side of the canal both from noise and view and then there will be the loss of the Nature Reserve and wildlife that inhabits there which many people enjoy.

Regards





28th August 2017

Planning Policy Team,
Warrington Borough Council,
New Town House,
Buttermarket Street,
Warrington.
WA1 2NH

Dear Sirs,

Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation, July 2017 Points of Objection to the plan

The key questions that most affect Moore are:

- *Valuing the local environment – Consultation question 4 (P.18) -*

Objective W4 seeks to provide new infrastructure (such as bridges and roads to ease traffic congestion) Bridges and roads are already over capacity and require improving but this needs to be balanced against objective W5 which seeks to reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's pattern of green spaces and protect its built & natural assets.

Warrington Council have only considered the natural assets within their own borough boundary, whereas in reality their residents enjoy the current countryside, canal, historic village and pub in Moore which immediately adjoins their boundary.

Consultation question 7 (P. 25)

One of the main purposes of green belt as defined by national planning policy framework is " to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another " Option 2 would reduce the gap between Warrington and Runcorn (in Halton Borough) to a non-existence, with Moore.

Bringing about the plans of Silver City,

***The Warrington Waterfront Proposals along the Manchester Ship Canal –
Consultation question 10 (p.38-39)***

Moore promenade park with 80 homes could be affected by Noise, traffic *the open water of the canal is insufficient to buffer noise and visual impact, which in its current format is wholly unacceptable. The loss of Moore Nature reserve and the wildlife it supports, nothing in the plans provide any sort of nature reserve, only destruction of the green belt around Moore*

The south western urban extension – consultation question 12 (p. 43-44)

Nearly 2000 new homes and a new primary school and local centre are proposed between the A56 and Moore

The Councils green belt assessment by Arup is flawed.

It ignored the historic village of Moore conservation area, is this because it is not in their boundary, so insignificant as a village?

there are several historic assets in the proposed plan area that would be affected by this proposal. old school house cottages halls farm houses etc.

