Internal Use Only	
Date Received:	
Acknowledged by:	
Recorded by:	



Warrington Borough Council

Local Plan

Preferred Development Option

Regulation 18 Consultation

Standard Response Form

July 2017

2: Questions

Question 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Response: NO - GO TO Q7.

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Response:		
		*

Question 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Response:		
•		
		d

Question 4 Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?		
Response:		

Question 5		
Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?		
	on special annual response de la constantina del c	
Response:		

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Response: *

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Response:

So have concerns regarding the infrastructure development of what is at present only an inital concept, that is a proposed "road transport route. slong the old railway live that rens through Groppenhall / Shalvell / Fatchford East / Fatchford West.

What I fear the most of this proposed

What I lear the most of this proposed development is the brilding of a road, that might enteiline extending the "boot-point" I the old railway line. For this will inevitably mean demolishing peoples homes,

However, it is possible to achieve a very positive result with this proposal, providing it stays within the accisting "boot-print of the old railway line. It could become a public transport route connecting with either one, or ideally both, major congrbations of Manchester and for Liverpool. Ov, it could be a public transport system standing on it's own and not connected to any major conprobation—but, this is, as good as the previous aption. Or, there again, the Irans Pennine Irail could be improved with a proper services

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Response:

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Response:			

Question 10	
Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Deve developing the Warrington Waterfront?	elopment Option for
Response:	

Q	uestion 11
E.	o you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for ne Warrington Garden City Suburb?
	Response:
A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
TATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STATOLOGICA STA	
AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	
MECHANINA (CATA PROSSALI COM SOCIAL STATES	

Question 12	
Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development O South Western Urban Extension?	ption for the
Response:	

Question	13
----------	----

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

_	
Response:	
•	

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?				
Response:				

Qι	IPS	ti	ΛI	a '	15
uι	につ	LI	vi	1.	LJ

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Response:	
Response.	

Response:	

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Response: