Internal Use Only	
Date Received:	
Acknowledged by:	
Recorded by:	



# **Warrington Borough Council**

## **Local Plan**

**Preferred Development Option** 

**Regulation 18 Consultation** 

**Standard Response Form** 

**July 2017** 

#### 2: Questions

#### Question 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

### Response:

It is stated that warring ton needs to provide a min mum of 24,000 dwellings over the next 20 years and 381 hectares of employment land.

How were these figures achieved? What was this work which was carried out in suggesting warrington needs these numbers ob homes and employment (and.

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

## Response:

The factors used in these

proposed levels of housing held

are based on household projections

(how would you know that?), future

Jib growth (how would you know

that?)

Then, of course, there are the

pie in the sky aspirations the

council have in order to achieve

City Status.

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

#### Response:

So far as Grappenhall, Thelmall

+ Appleton are concerned, too much
has been lost in the past
including farm land and
long standing areas of green belt.

Future generations should

not be denied the best of
today's environment which
is contained in Warrington

South.

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

## Response:

I feel but enough consideration has been given to infrastructure particularly in relation to increased traffic.

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

## Response:

91 seems Warrington South has been over targeted.

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

#### **Response:**

The charactest of Warrington South does not seem to have been a major consideration as it was for different areas in the town

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

## Response:

No, because it is taking up too much land which is green belt.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

## **Response:**

There is no city and nobody in Warrington was asked if they wanted the term to become a City. Ego hips for cancillars.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

## Response:

The green bett should be Conserved and brown field sites Used for building

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

#### Response:

Where is the warrington waterfront? - we have never been ford. The maps at the consultations were useless as no roads or waterways were named. I went to several and the maps at each venue were useless.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Response:	

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

## Response:

Too much is being sacrificed in the proposals for the swuE with the loss of farmland, woodland, hedges, trees, brooks and streams. It is these features brooks and streams. It is these features that are cherished, not just by residents but also those who use the area for lessure and recreation and which for lessure and recreation and which they represents all that is best in the environment.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

## **Response:**

There is an imbalance in the allocation of land for development settlement by settlement.

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

#### Response:

Priority should be given to the expansion of existing employment creas rather than blighting communities where no previous areas exist.

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Response:

91- is apparent that this group of people are more successful at acheiving what they want, while the Council appear weak in controlling the sites where they initially gain a footboold.

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Response:

Broadly yes.

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

**Response:** 

Before it leads on to the Local Plan there is a need to balance the proposed developments with the out-cry from the public to conserve the green spaces which they value.