

To whom it may concern

Re:- OBJECTION to the 'Preferred Development Option'

<u>PDO - Housing:-</u> Is it 24,000 or is it 7000 houses? Even this latter figure is far too many for a small village such as Grappenhall. It would make it vastly distorted from the community that we know and love. Having this many houses thrust upon us would be taking away our identity, destroying our sense of community, we would disappear in to the masses of concrete. According to your plans, you are taking away from all over Warrington the pockets of green belt, not to mention the huge amount from Warrington South and we'll ALL be left with nothing. As for Social housing, it would be best suited to near our town centre. I suspect that committee members for planning, are not Warrington folk or even live here.

<u>PDO – Roads network:</u> As for using the TPT for access to Warrington town centre, how ludicrous. This must be well above the billions that WBS can pay surely, because of each property you are going to have to buy? Is it needed for people of Warrington OR vehicular traffic coming off the M6/M56 in times of trouble? If it's the latter, then you need a bypass along the side of the viaduct with less impact on local communities. M62, M6, M56 utilise these more effectively. Warrington is surrounded by motorways, WE DO NOT NEED ANYMORE ROADS for local traffic

None of these plans are environmentally friendly. They are all going to have an impact on our wildlife and their habitats. The TPT is the only foot route that connects Grappenhall & Thelwall to Statham & Lymm (excluding the delightful but very muddy Bridgewater Canal). Being in between the cities of Liverpool and Manchester, I think there is too much pollution here already. Think it is also fair to say nobody in south Warrington want this kind of development of this scale. Peel Holding should take responsibility in up grading these bridges and maintain to a specific level the ones we already have over the Manchester ship Canal, specifically the one over Thelwall New Road is a disgrace and an even bigger failing to allow it to get into such a poor state. Not even going to mention the poor Canterlever.

<u>PDO - City Status:</u> message to Clr Paul Price "hands off our TOWN, nobody in Warrington wants it to be any bigger than it already is, Regenerate our town – yes, more housing – No" Up here in the north is not a dumping ground for over crowding down south, cities like Liverpool and Manchester on our door step, doesn't mean we all need to join up into 1 mega city.

Warrington has enough warehouse - looking buildings that are not being utilised at present, so what is the point in adding more, even if it's in South Warrington. If local farmers are prepared to sell their land (which I think is the case), then what about park and ride schemes to alleviate the traffic congestion?

Finally, I think these plans are far too ambitious, I don't want a City, otherwise I would be living in one already. I don't want this link road/carriage way going across the bottom of my garden. Having said this, more crossings are needed over the MSC, but then it would all be stuck at Bridge Foot as usual. By the time WBC has its new offices built in town there may not be any money left for such outlandish ugly plans.

Yours faithfully

Unhappy LOCAL