Internal Use Only		
Date Received:		
Acknowledged by:		
Recorded by:		



# **Warrington Borough Council**

## **Local Plan**

**Preferred Development Option** 

**Regulation 18 Consultation** 

**Standard Response Form** 

**July 2017** 

### 2: Questions

#### **Question 1**

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Response: d5,000 houses is too many for this area. It is not possible for Warrington to grow by so, ooo people in 20 years. Those figures are inflated So it would mean Warringtons population would grow by 25%. !! There is no evidence that sufficient engloyment opportunites could be created por ouch a population Experion. So these people would be commuters to Livepool, Manchester ete creating more congestion o More pollution.

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Response: You are planning to use up masses 3 greenblot land. Kerticularly South of the Manchater Ship canal. The most expensive housing area in Warrington It is soverns you have chosen this area for the largest block of houses because it means massive possession, profits for the landowners developers V brilders: you could have chosen areas in the North of Warrington. Where there is already occess to mayor notorways - M6 - M62 but house prices are lower there. We don't heed so many houses; so dont need to encroach on somuch preenhelt. Also Fiddlen ferry will become mailable within the 20 years which world grue massive space for houselsulding

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Response:

No - you've indicated massive

encroashment onto grandell land.

for could brild smaller pockets

you could brild smaller pockets

you could brild smaller pockets

you could brild smaller pockets

and the total of 24,000 is far in

excess of warrington's needs. Any

excess of warrington's needs. Any

Safeguarding of greenfelt is

meaningles made as you can renege

on that in the same way as you

are trying to tear up the green bett

Response:	you agree with the ne	w Local Plan Objectives?	
	Response:		

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Response:

you haven't really given adequate

reasons for your proposed ofstion preference.

Objectively the only difference in

the preferred option is that it places

the preferred option is that it places

more howeing in the expensive

more howeing in the expensive

ones of Greenbelt South of the Canal,

This is unacceptable to put profits

before the existing populations needs

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No. This option requires massive Response: developments of housing in Granbelt land. It does NOTHING for Marrington residents needs for apportable housens, No housing South of the Canal would be in the aportable housing brackof Warrington residents existing needs are not catered for, Also this development bouth of the Carol would need massive roodskilding in een afready congetted area for traffic. The proposed route coming along the railway empantement would result in demolition of affordable housing in Latelyord & bring more porise & pollution into the area. It would break up families who live new each other and damage their rights to family life

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Response:

We need the traffic congestion in the Town Centre sorting out, Also all the empty shops, The area needs regenerating, not massive extension.

If we wanted to live in a City we'd move to one,

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Response:  $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ 

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Response:

Is there proof a waterprout would have any business, Is there a demand for freight on the canal?

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

yes-we don't want a garden Culy or any the kind of Certy. We don't want to love on Grænkelf. Warrington can still daine to be a semi-rural over all around the edges. This is an amenity for all the population, going out into the countrysede has been a posteme for the majority. If you build all our it-and we know they will be expending houses, it becomes an comenty for the few, nor the population as a expele.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Response: Yes. We don't want the road that iel link up M56 mg accordence

will link up M56, M6, access road wild warning con via appleton, Grappenball

Thehvall + tataford. It well destroy existing communities or just lead

to even more logicam on the motorways and then the road ends up at

Warrigton Town Castre - even

more troffic congestion.

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Response:

Yes but only in response to need

not speculatively. here is no

guarantee of opportunities

prist arising

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Response:
Regenerate the Town Centre.

Attrock retailers into empty.

Shops. We've just LOST MOS a masure
blow. Lots of shoppers will re longer

blow into Warrington as that wa

go into Warrington as that wa

the main focus of their visits.

Sont out congestion of troppic an

Town Centre