

[REDACTED]

---

Planning Policy Team

Letter from:

[REDACTED]

I am a Moore resident [REDACTED] I enjoy, as many others the local countryside, the canal, historic village and pub. During the development consultation for *Halton*, it was said that Warrington/Walton/Moore would retain identity by separation with green belt. I see this now under new threat as Warrington seeks to close up to the canal from the SW in the development plan. I do not agree that this should be allowed to happen.

The main purpose of green belt is to prevent neighbouring towns from merging together. Option 2 would reduce the gap between Warrington and Runcorn to a none-existence –This I vehemently oppose. The canal in particular should have considerable countryside buffer either side. Please re consider this. The use of all brownfield sites should be first exhausted. Why not use the disused Stretton Airfield, brown field, for Houses?

Warehousing on the North of the canal should only take place after consideration is given to a buffer zone for noise and visual impact on the 80 residential homes at Promenade Park.

WBCs green belt assessment by ARUP 2016 was flawed in that it acknowledged Walton Village Conservation area but ignored the historic setting of Moore village conservation area. 2000 new homes for this area considerably oversteps what the area can or should absorb.

Chester road into Warrington is already over congested at travel peak times, loaded even further by 5000 additional residents needs further impractical bridge access into and out of Warrington for the Ship Canal and the River Mersey.

I am appalled by the size and scale of the proposal.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]