

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir

I am writing in relation to the local plan for an extra 24,000 houses over the next 20 years.

I recognise that Lymm has to have some new development, but objective to the number 500.

Specifically I object to an additional 500 homes in Lymm for the following reasons:

Doctors and Schools are already full and under pressure.

Lymm village has insufficient parking for cars right now

Traffic - Roads can't cope with the current volume and size of vehicles.

The character of Lymm village would be damaged.

Less green belt will mean less wildlife.

Noise within the village during and after construction of the new homes will increase.

Residents views have not been taken into account, land owners are only interested in personal gain. Many do not reside in Lymm.

Specifically building new homes on the potential green/brown belt areas referenced R18/117, R18/118, R18/119, R18/082, R18/016, R18/014 on the local plan would significantly add to current traffic issues on Rushgreen Road both during and after construction had been completed.

Traffic on Rushgreen Road has increased in volume and size of vehicles since Sainsbury's was built. It is currently a danger to pedestrians and large vehicles which drive down there. I personally have witnessed vans crashing into each other whilst walking on Rushgreen Road. The current speed limit of 30mph is too high and should be reduced to 20 mph.

Building on the above green belt would result in the boundaries of Lymm and Oughtrington disappearing.

If extra housing has to be built I would have thought it be sensible to build on the outskirts of Lymm and not to fill in open green belt already in the village to avoid additional noise and traffic coming into the village. For example land being offered off Cherry Lane would prevent the above traffic and noise issues in Lymm village.

Yours faithfully

[REDACTED]