

Dear Sirs,

I have already submitted my online response to the local development plan, in which I question the reasoning and the necessity to build the number of homes as outlined by the council.

What specifically concerns me is the encroachment into greenbelt which should be maintained to prevent ongoing urban sprawl. I write in specific relation to Lymm village, the greenbelt that surrounds our village provides green lungs to protect us from the motorway network that encircles us. Lymm is a village with a real sense of community the outlying greenbelt protects this specific character being diluted if we became an overflow for Warrington. We have already been subjected to much uncertainty regarding the proposed route for HS2 with house prices being affected and compulsory purchases being made. Now we have the uncertainty over which plots will be agreed, but in the interim period any homeowner that adjoins one of the proposed sites is in a period of limbo where their house prices may well be affected, never mind their mental health as the uncertainty becomes whipped into a frenzy through developer propaganda.

I would like to complain about how the call for sites and the publication of those sites that have been submitted has been handled by the Council. We have bought a house which is directly adjacent to one of the plots that has been submitted and at no point in the conveyance searches did it become apparent that this land had a potential to be called up, yet in reading the publications on your website this process was already being considered at the time of our purchase. We have bought a house with an open and rural aspect, from which I can see over to Manchester airport and the Pennines and peak district beyond, we have paid a considerable sum of money specifically for the opportunity for our children to grow up in the countryside, which is something the council is looking to snatch away from them. The field behind my house-- provides a distinct barrier between Lymm and Agden which is not under WBC contror"ari'cl"'tlore epitomises the rational of greenbelts purpose.

I understand there is a requirement for some housing, but not at the levels that the development plan suggests, however greenbelt should only be released in exceptional circumstances, and the desire for Warrington to become a city does not fit this brief. There are other sites that should be fully considered first before allowing Greenbelt to be eradicated. I also believe that all local developments that have occurred in the last two years should contribute toward the 500 homes that you plan to build in Lymm, this includes the land at Cotebrook, the old Farmer's Arms site and the land behind the Farmers Arms site which is also being built on. We live in a village with an infrastructure that fits a village, roads, schools and doctors are at capacity.

Rushgreen road, showing the effect on the village if a problem occurs on one of the motorway networks, another 500 houses will bring another 1000 cars which I think the village could not absorb.

Yesterday many of my friends received a glossy publication which I believe has been sent by the would be developers of the plot behind my house, it eludes to the plot being almost a done deal. I have pointed my friends and neighbours towards the WBC website to see what the proposed plans are for the greenbelt plots that surround their properties. Many were not aware because they have not received any form of communication from the council. My parents who live across from one of the other proposed sites were not aware and would not have had the opportunity to complete the consultation documents if I had not told them about the proposed plans. People are being kept in the dark and unless they have access to social media it is very unlikely that some people, especially the older generation, would have any knowledge regarding this consultation, it would have been correct for WBC to send out literature to householders in the region rather than the developers being the ones who are enlightening people.

Yours faithfully,

