

Further to the complex questionnaire I have already completed I would also like this written objection noted for the proposed release from green belt of R18/076 Land to the East of Crouchley Lane...part of parcel LY22.

My address is Higher Lane, Lymm and I am one of many residents who have contributed to the reports submitted by Walsingham Planning and Gary Earnshaw.

Firstly the landscape value of this land is one of outstanding beauty, rolling countryside virtually as far as the eye can see. This in itself would cause a problem of future development going ahead as there are no hard boundaries. The site is also rich with natural wildlife, bats, foxes badgers hares to name a few.

The site is used by many walkers, runners and visitors to the area because of its beauty and safety.

Out of all the sites proposed it was assessed by WBC as making a strong contribution to the preservation of Green belt. Indeed the site is among 5 out of the possible 24 that are a strong contribution within the borough.

The site has crops on it every year so is extensively farmed, potatoes, wheat, rapeseed, beans etc. Again a valuable contribution to our food chain.

Contrary to the report submitted by Berrys I firmly believe the additional traffic incurred by the proposed 250 homes would be a hazard on Crouchley Lane. It is an extremely narrow road in parts and already congested at weekends and holidays due to the additional visitors parking to visit Lymm Dam.

Higher lane would also suffer, this road is very busy especially at peak times and especially around Woodlands avenue with collection and drop off of school children. As for the public bus route being used I can report living directly in font of a bus stop that users are very few and far between. Many people who live in Lymm commute to the likes of Manchester and use cars, in fact it's fair to say many of these proposed new homes would be 2 car families!

The site also has the added attraction of Lymm Water tower which is a listed building and can be seen across this site as a land mark.

The views across this site also have virtually no light pollution at night, again this is a rarity in this day and age.

I could go on but I feel I have covered the main points of objections and this is another reason why I felt the need to contribute to 'professional reports' to address them in more depth/detail.

I have attached a selection of personal photos I have taken over the last 8 or so years to see what a shame it would be to withdraw this site from green belt status.

Yours sincerely