

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

YOUR USE OF THE GREEN BELT - We are finding it very disturbing that the proposals are using the Green Belt, destroying Woodland and Wildlife!6,324 HOMES - approximately 25,000 persons, if not more! Cars - approximately 12,000 - 15,000Also, Employment Land which will also add more vehicles and congestion!Regarding the expanding population, no mention of the impact on the NHS, especially Warrington General Hospital!TRAFFIC Warrington can't cope with the amount of traffic on our roads already: M6 and M56 Motorways Closures or any other traffic disruption, Warrington comes to a standstill! It's a nightmare on our roads! How are we going to cope with the huge increase in population and vehicles!

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

We haven't been given that information.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't know this information..

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We have already submitted our comments at the start of the questionnaire.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Sorry, haven't seen information regarding above!

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

haven't seen this information ...

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I object to any further development in the village of Winwick as the infrastructure is already beyond its capacity and it will lose the established culture of a rural village for ever? In addition, there are other areas within the Warrington borough that have existing infrastructure, services and land to cope with additional housing.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It has been conducted without informed view of the people it will affect.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Insufficient consultation to the impact on infrastructure and the environment.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warr

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Insufficient consideration to village community expectations

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Insufficient consideration to the extant culture and environment impacts to why individuals have selected to reside in winwick

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lack of adequate consideration to village community life, culture and the local environment.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Winwick is a village with a unique culture and further development would have a negative impact going forward. In addition, it would have a significant effect on the local environment.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Further consideration of the options that are affected by the proposals.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not believe that the growth in the population in the Warrington area is anything like the numbers you have predicted. Unless you intend to build dormitory suburbs to serve the other towns in our area. Which will mean that we will have to contend with far more car journeys on our already overstretched road network in the area.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am unable to comment on any other area apart from Croft. I have read the full report <https://www.warrington.gov.uk/downloads/file/13640/r18-127> and I have found it grossly inaccurate in many places and in addition to this it completely fails to take account of the access difficulties and traffic problems we currently have on our very small badly maintained narrow country lanes. The report also emphasis the facilities we have in the village. I beg the question whether the person who wrote the report has ever been there. It states that we have a range of shops, services and facilities this is a gross exaggeration. The only shop we do have is one that makes and sells picture frames. We had a very small convenience shop which closed a few months ago. The transport links are very poor with one bus per hour going through the village to Leigh or Warrington and a small mini bus paid for by the local council which goes to Birchwood. In conclusion the report only concentrates on the so called advantages the residents would have but non of the report explains how the development would integrate with an already overstretched village. With regard to the benefits section, I would like to see the maths behind their figures the look fanciful to me. But there again what else would expect from a developer who is will known in the area.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why do you call it a City Centre Surly that is a bit fanciful at this stage

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am very unhappy about the way the local plan was published in such a clandestine way. Far more effort should have been making the population of Warrington aware of these proposals.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection about the lack of evidence that such volume of new houses is required in the Warrington area in light of recent political changes, e.g. Brexit. The estimates need to be revisited to provide greater certainty these are accurate. In particular...a. This is an objection that calculations do not reflect the percentage of businesses that will just relocate within the borough to newly developed land, leaving the old ageing property unused. This will not result in a net increase in jobs and in turn housing requirements.b. This is an objection that the plans are based on an acknowledged fact that the needs do not account for the existing population who are currently unemployed not working but who could take up new jobs in the future. This could be a significant number that will invalidate many assumptions the proposals are based upon.In addition, this is an objection to the economic growth aspirations. The aspiration appears to be driven by the Council rather than a government directive, and as a resident I do not share this aspiration. The aspiration should be reduced to a level that prevents demand for houses on Green Belt land.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection to the lack of transparency regarding the encouragement and incentive for developers to submit proposals for brownfield development (they are always going to submit proposals to develop on land that is easiest and cheapest to develop, and will offer the greatest return, which is often Greenfield). This is also an objection that in point 4.61 in the preferred option proposal it appears there are potential brownfield developments that have not been included in the preferred option. There is no way any use of Green Belt land can be justified until this brownfield land is developed.This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an overall objection to the loss of Green Belt land which has been categorised as such precisely to prevent the proposed 'urban sprawl', the loss of countryside, and the loss of individual villages such as Thelwall & Grappenhall. There is no exceptional rationale provided in the proposals that justify this loss of Green Belt land. The impact to the local environment and habitat will be catastrophic, and far greater justification needs to be provided that there is absolutely no alternative. This is an objection to the Council's statement to achieve the strategic objectives, the Council considers that the exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated to justify the release of Green Belt. There is no rationale provided of these 'exceptional circumstances', and the statement is fundamentally flawed given the majority of the 'strategic objectives' are for protection of the environment and Green Belt rather than the destruction of it, as follows... Objective W2: To facilitate the sensitive release of Green Belt land to meet Warrington's long term housing and employment needs, whilst ensuring the revised Green Belt boundaries maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt in the long term. - This is an objection that the preferred option is not a 'sensitive release' nor does it 'maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt'. Objective W5: To secure high quality design which reinforces the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's urban area, its countryside, its unique pattern of green spaces and its constituent settlements whilst protecting, enhancing and embracing the borough's built and natural assets. - This is an objection that the preferred option does not 'reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's countryside', it does not 'reinforce the unique pattern of free spaces' and does not 'protect, enhance and embrace the borough's natural assets'. Objective W6: To minimise the impact of development on the environment through the prudent use of resources and ensuring development is energy efficient, safe and resilient to climate change and makes a positive contribution on improving Warrington's air quality. - This is an objection that the preferred options does not 'minimise the impact of development on the environment'. Objective W1: To enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City... - This is an objection that I do not share the aspiration to be a 'New City'. This is an objection that the Council is not adhering to previous commitments to maintain the permanence of the Green Belt as per the current Local Plan. According to the Warrington Local Plan Core Strategy adopted by the council on 21 July 2014 it states To maintain the permanence of the Green Belt and the character of the countryside in the borough and protect them from inappropriate development. The general extent of the Green Belt and the detailed boundaries as indicated on the Local Plan Core Strategy Policies Map will be maintained for as long as can be seen ahead and at least until 2032. Why is the Council renegeing on this promise? Does this also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan? In addition, the current Local Plan states Since the end of the New Town era, strategic planning policies sought to arrest outward growth of the Town partly through recognition that it was nearing its natural limits to expansion and partly through recognition that the New Town development had remarkably little effect on the older urban areas of Inner Warrington. Recent efforts to date have therefore focused on regenerating and 'restructuring' the older core of Warrington Town. I agree that the Town is nearing its natural limits to expansion so why does the new preferred option go way beyond its limits? I also agree the focus should be on centralised regeneration so why does the new preferred option not focus more on this? Again why is the Council renegeing on this viewpoints? Does this again also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan? This is an objection that the proposal does not consider the impact on the reduced availability of agricultural land and the future ability of the borough and areas beyond to meet food demand. Much of the land proposed for development is not just 'spare' but rather is used for agricultural purposes. This is an objection to change of the Green Belt land to 'safeguarded', which appears to be a very confusing and misleading term. The best way to safe guard the land is to leave it as Green Belt. Use of 'Safeguarded' Land for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to using what is supposed to be safe guarded land for a new road. How can this be safe guarding it? Use of 'Strong

Contribution' Green Belt for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that if green belt absolutely has to be used, with full justification there are no alternatives, then why use green belt land that has been categorised as making a 'strong contribution'. Full analysis of the alternative routes needs to be provided. In addition, the Council has stated on their website that they will ensure the focus of release is on those parts of the green belt that are performing poorly. Given the current preferred option is developing a new Strategic Road on Green Belt that is performing 'well' and making a 'strong contribution', then the revised plan needs to look at options to use alternative land that is performing 'poorly'.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My review comments are as follows...Loss of Green Belt Land: This is an overall objection to the loss of Green Belt land which has been categorised as such precisely to prevent the proposed 'urban sprawl', the loss of countryside, and the loss of individual villages such as Thelwall & Grappenhall. There is no exceptional rationale provided in the proposals that justify this loss of Green Belt land. The impact to the local environment and habitat will be catastrophic, and far greater justification needs to be provided that there is absolutely no alternative. Objectives & Exceptional Circumstances: This is an objection to the Council's statement to achieve the strategic objectives, the Council considers that the exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated to justify the release of Green Belt. There is no rationale provided of these 'exceptional circumstances', and the statement is fundamentally flawed given the majority of the 'strategic objectives' are for protection of the environment and Green Belt rather than the destruction of it, as follows... Objective W2: To facilitate the sensitive release of Green Belt land to meet Warrington's long term housing and employment needs, whilst ensuring the revised Green Belt boundaries maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt in the long term. - This is an objection that the preferred option is not a 'sensitive release' nor does it 'maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt'. Objective W5: To secure high quality design which reinforces the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's urban area, its countryside, its unique pattern of green spaces and its constituent settlements whilst protecting, enhancing and embracing the borough's built and natural assets. - This is an objection that the preferred option does not 'reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's countryside', it does not 'reinforce the unique pattern of free spaces' and does not 'protect, enhance and embrace the borough's natural assets'. Objective W6: To minimise the impact of development on the environment through the prudent use of resources and ensuring development is energy efficient, safe and resilient to climate change and makes a positive contribution on improving Warrington's air quality. - This is an objection that the preferred options does not 'minimise the impact of development on the environment'. Objective W1: To enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City... - This is an objection that I do not share the aspiration to be a 'New City'. Commitment to Maintain Green Belt: This is an objection that the Council is not adhering to previous commitments to maintain the permanence of the Green Belt as per the current Local Plan. According to the Warrington Local Plan Core Strategy adopted by the council on 21 July 2014 it states To maintain the permanence of the Green Belt and the character of the countryside in the borough and protect them from inappropriate development. The general extent of the Green Belt and the detailed boundaries as indicated on the Local Plan Core Strategy Policies Map will be maintained for as long as can be seen ahead and at least until 2032. Why is the Council reneging on this promise? Does this also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan? In addition, the current Local Plan states Since the end of the New Town era, strategic planning policies sought to arrest outward growth of the Town partly through recognition that it was nearing its natural limits to expansion and partly through recognition that the New Town development had remarkably little effect on the older urban areas of Inner Warrington. Recent efforts to date

have therefore focused on regenerating and 'restructuring' the older core of Warrington Town . I agree that the Town is nearing its natural limits to expansion so why does the new preferred option go way beyond its limits? I also agree the focus should be on centralised regeneration so why does the new preferred option not focus more on this? Again why is the Council renegeing on this viewpoints? Does this again also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan?Preferred Option: This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.

Centralised Development: This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

Economic Aspiration: This is an objection to the economic growth aspirations. The aspiration appears to be driven by the Council rather than a government directive, and as a resident I do not share this aspiration. The aspiration should be reduced to a level that prevents demand for houses on Green Belt land.

Equality of Impact: This is an objection that the development does not consider equality of impact across the whole of the borough, rather it's negative impact is very much focused on a few areas.

Agriculture Impact: This is an objection that the proposal does not consider the impact on the reduced availability of agricultural land and the future ability of the borough and areas beyond to meet food demand. Much of the land proposed for development is not just 'spare' but rather is used for agricultural purposes.

Requirement for such Volume of New Houses: This is an objection about the lack of evidence that such volume of new houses is required in the Warrington area in light of recent political changes, e.g. Brexit. The estimates need to be revisited to provide greater certainty these are accurate. In particular...

This is an objection that calculations do not reflect the percentage of businesses that will just relocate within the borough to newly developed land, leaving the old ageing property unused. This will not result in a net increase in jobs and in turn housing requirements.

This is an objection that the plans are based on an acknowledged fact that the needs do not account for the existing population who are currently unemployed not working but who could take up new jobs in the future. This could be a significant number that will invalidate many assumptions the proposals are based upon.

Safeguarded Land East of A50: This is an objection to change of the Green Belt land to 'safeguarded', which appears to be a very confusing and misleading term. The best way to safe guard the land is to leave it as Green Belt.

Requirement for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of justification (e.g. 'As Is' traffic data and 'To Be' traffic modelling) that a new strategic road is required in this location. From personal experience this will not alleviate any current traffic problems and alternative solutions appear less intrusive to meet future demand.

Alternatives to a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of analysis regarding whether alternatives can better meet requirements that minimise impact to green belt land.

Alternatives include:

- Better utilisation of current public transport capability
- Provision of new public transport services (such as rail / tram services).
- Promotion of non vehicle / green transportation.
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A50
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A56
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A49
- Development of existing road infrastructure along Broad Lane.
- Development of existing road infrastructure along Ackers Road.
- Development of any new required roads, if absolutely required, on land that has to be developed for residential purposes (i.e. to minimise impact to Green Belt land).

NOTE: To the layman the most obvious solution to any increased traffic caused by the Garden City Suburb on the East side is to improve transportation along Broad Land and access at the end to the A56. This will better utilise existing infrastructure rather than destroying our countryside.

Use of 'Safeguarded' Land for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to using what is supposed to be safe guarded land for a new road. How can this be safe guarding it?

Use of 'Strong Contribution' Green Belt for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that if green belt absolutely has to be used, with full justification there are no alternatives, then why use green belt land that has been categorised as making a 'strong contribution'. Full analysis of the alternative routes needs to be provided.

In addition, the Council has stated on their website that

they will ensure the focus of release is on those parts of the green belt that are performing poorly . Given the current preferred option is developing a new Strategic Road on Green Belt that is performing 'well' and making a 'strong contribution', then the revised plan needs to look at options to use alternative land that is performing 'poorly'.Brownfield Development: This is an objection to the lack of transparency regarding the encouragement and incentive for developers to submit proposals for brownfield development (they are always going to submit proposals to develop on land that is easiest and cheapest to develop, and will offer the greatest return, which is often Greenfield). This is also an objection that in point 4.61 in the preferred option proposal it appears there are potential brownfield developments that have not been included in the preferred option. There is no way any use of Green Belt land can be justified until this brownfield land is developed.Use of a Footpath for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that 'should' justification be provided that a new road is required in this area then it should follow an existing road (e.g. Cinder Lane) rather than destroy current fields.Loss of Properties for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that the current proposed route across Weaste Lane utilises a current footpath between properties and therefore will require destruction of houses and the loss of constituent's homes. 'Should' justification be provided that a new road is required then there are very similar routes that will prevent the loss of homes on Weaste Lane.Impact of a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that any new road will result in:Visual impactNoiseReduction in Air QualityNegative impact to supposedly 'protected' wildlife and natural habitatPollutionFlood riskLoss of the rural character of the area.Loss of privacyHomeowners in Limbo During Plan Development Due to New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that currently homeowners are now in limbo about whether their homes will need to be demolished for this new road. As a homeowner whose home will need to be demolished based on the preferred option this uncertainty is very distressing and makes my home unsaleable during the plan development period, and perhaps beyond depending on the finalised plan.Community Engagement: This is an objection to the whole process used to develop a new local plan, the level of community engagement has been entirely inadequate, therefore this is a challenge to the validity of all stages of the process to date. This is evidenced in that there were so few responses to previous consultation phases, phases I never knew anything about, and that the majority of responses were property developers, which given the scale of change proposed clearly shows that this was not communicated to the residents the Council is supposed to serve.Funding / Speculative Investment: This is an objection to the lack of transparency over the funding and ownership of land or property in the preferred option, and the concern that the council will use cheap borrowed cash to fund a high risk bet on the returns.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My review comments are as follows...Loss of Green Belt Land: This is an overall objection to the loss of Green Belt land which has been categorised as such precisely to prevent the proposed 'urban sprawl', the loss of countryside, and the loss of individual villages such as Thelwall & Grappenhall. There is no exceptional rationale provided in the proposals that justify this loss of Green Belt land. The impact to the local environment and habitat will be catastrophic, and far greater justification needs to be provided that there is absolutely no alternative.Objectives & Exceptional Circumstances: This is an objection to the Council's statement to achieve the strategic objectives, the Council considers that the exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated to justify the release of Green Belt . There is no rationale provided of these 'exceptional circumstances', and the statement is fundamentally flawed given the majority of the 'strategic objectives' are for protection of the environment and Green Belt rather than the destruction of it, as follows... Objective W2: To facilitate the sensitive release of Green Belt land to meet Warrington's long term housing and employment needs, whilst ensuring the revised Green Belt boundaries maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt in the long term. - This is an objection that the preferred option is not a 'sensitive release' nor does it 'maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt'.Objective W5: To secure high quality design which reinforces the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's urban area, its countryside, its unique pattern of green spaces and its constituent settlements whilst protecting, enhancing and embracing the borough's built and natural assets. - This is an objection that the preferred option does not 'reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's countryside', it does not 'reinforce the unique pattern of free spaces' and does not 'protect, enhance and embrace the borough's natural assets'.Objective W6: To minimise the impact of development on the environment through the prudent use of resources and ensuring development is energy efficient, safe and resilient to climate change and makes a positive contribution on improving Warrington's air quality. - This is an objection that the preferred options does not 'minimise the impact of development on the environment'. Objective W1: To enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City... - This is an objection that I do not share the aspiration to be a 'New City'.Commitment to Maintain Green Belt: This is an objection that the Council is not adhering to previous commitments to maintain the permanence of the Green Belt as per the current Local Plan. According to the Warrington Local Plan Core Strategy adopted by the council on 21 July 2014 it states To maintain the permanence of the Green Belt and the character of the countryside in the borough and protect them from inappropriate development. The general extent of the Green Belt and the detailed boundaries as indicated on the Local Plan Core Strategy Policies Map will be maintained for as long as can be seen ahead and at least until

2032. Why is the Council renegeing on this promise? Does this also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan? In addition, the current Local Plan states Since the end of the New Town era, strategic planning policies sought to arrest outward growth of the Town partly through recognition that it was nearing its natural limits to expansion and partly through recognition that the New Town development had remarkably little effect on the older urban areas of Inner Warrington. Recent efforts to date have therefore focused on regenerating and 'restructuring' the older core of Warrington Town . I agree that the Town is nearing its natural limits to expansion so why does the new preferred option go way beyond its limits? I also agree the focus should be on centralised regeneration so why does the new preferred option not focus more on this? Again why is the Council renegeing on this viewpoints? Does this again also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan?

Preferred Option: This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.

Centralised Development: This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

Economic Aspiration: This is an objection to the economic growth aspirations. The aspiration appears to be driven by the Council rather than a government directive, and as a resident I do not share this aspiration. The aspiration should be reduced to a level that prevents demand for houses on Green Belt land.

Equality of Impact: This is an objection that the development does not consider equality of impact across the whole of the borough, rather it's negative impact is very much focused on a few areas.

Agriculture Impact: This is an objection that the proposal does not consider the impact on the reduced availability of agricultural land and the future ability of the borough and areas beyond to meet food demand. Much of the land proposed for development is not just 'spare' but rather is used for agricultural purposes.

Requirement for such Volume of New Houses: This is an objection about the lack of evidence that such volume of new houses is required in the Warrington area in light of recent political changes, e.g. Brexit. The estimates need to be revisited to provide greater certainty these are accurate. In particular...

This is an objection that calculations do not reflect the percentage of businesses that will just relocate within the borough to newly developed land, leaving the old ageing property unused. This will not result in a net increase in jobs and in turn housing requirements.

This is an objection that the plans are based on an acknowledged fact that the needs do not account for the existing population who are currently unemployed not working but who could take up new jobs in the future. This could be a significant number that will invalidate many assumptions the proposals are based upon.

Safeguarded Land East of A50: This is an objection to change of the Green Belt land to 'safeguarded', which appears to be a very confusing and misleading term. The best way to safe guard the land is to leave it as Green Belt.

Requirement for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of justification (e.g. 'As Is' traffic data and 'To Be' traffic modelling) that a new strategic road is required in this location. From personal experience this will not alleviate any current traffic problems and alternative solutions appear less intrusive to meet future demand.

Alternatives to a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of analysis regarding whether alternatives can better meet requirements that minimise impact to green belt land.

Alternatives include: Better utilisation of current public transport capability
Provision of new public transport services (such as rail / tram services).
Promotion of non vehicle / green transportation.
Development of existing road infrastructure on the A50
Development of existing road infrastructure on the A56
Development of existing road infrastructure on the A49
Development of existing road infrastructure along Broad Lane.
Development of existing road infrastructure along Ackers Road.
Development of any new required roads, if absolutely required, on land that has to be developed for residential purposes (i.e. to minimise impact to Green Belt land).

NOTE: To the layman the most obvious solution to any increased traffic caused by the Garden City Suburb on the East side is to improve transportation along Broad Land and access at the end to the A56. This will better utilise existing infrastructure rather than destroying our countryside.

Use of 'Safeguarded' Land for a New Strategic

Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to using what is supposed to be safe guarded land for a new road. How can this be safe guarding it? Use of 'Strong Contribution' Green Belt for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that if green belt absolutely has to be used, with full justification there are no alternatives, then why use green belt land that has been categorised as making a 'strong contribution'. Full analysis of the alternative routes needs to be provided. In addition, the Council has stated on their website that they will ensure the focus of release is on those parts of the green belt that are performing poorly. Given the current preferred option is developing a new Strategic Road on Green Belt that is performing 'well' and making a 'strong contribution', then the revised plan needs to look at options to use alternative land that is performing 'poorly'. Brownfield Development: This is an objection to the lack of transparency regarding the encouragement and incentive for developers to submit proposals for brownfield development (they are always going to submit proposals to develop on land that is easiest and cheapest to develop, and will offer the greatest return, which is often Greenfield). This is also an objection that in point 4.61 in the preferred option proposal it appears there are potential brownfield developments that have not been included in the preferred option. There is no way any use of Green Belt land can be justified until this brownfield land is developed. Use of a Footpath for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that 'should' justification be provided that a new road is required in this area then it should follow an existing road (e.g. Cinder Lane) rather than destroy current fields. Loss of Properties for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that the current proposed route across Weaste Lane utilises a current footpath between properties and therefore will require destruction of houses and the loss of constituent's homes. 'Should' justification be provided that a new road is required then there are very similar routes that will prevent the loss of homes on Weaste Lane. Impact of a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that any new road will result in: Visual impact Noise Reduction in Air Quality Negative impact to supposedly 'protected' wildlife and natural habitat Pollution Flood risk Loss of the rural character of the area. Loss of privacy Homeowners in Limbo During Plan Development Due to New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that currently homeowners are now in limbo about whether their homes will need to be demolished for this new road. As a homeowner whose home will need to be demolished based on the preferred option this uncertainty is very distressing and makes my home unsaleable during the plan development period, and perhaps beyond depending on the finalised plan. Community Engagement: This is an objection to the whole process used to develop a new local plan, the level of community engagement has been entirely inadequate, therefore this is a challenge to the validity of all stages of the process to date. This is evidenced in that there were so few responses to previous consultation phases, phases I never knew anything about, and that the majority of responses were property developers, which given the scale of change proposed clearly shows that this was not communicated to the residents the Council is supposed to serve. Funding / Speculative Investment: This is an objection to the lack of transparency over the funding and ownership of land or property in the preferred option, and the concern that the council will use cheap borrowed cash to fund a high risk bet on the returns.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection that the development of homes should not be decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an objection to the economic growth aspirations. The aspiration appears to be driven by the Council rather than a government directive, and as a resident I do not share this aspiration. The aspiration should be reduced to a level that prevents demand for houses on Green Belt land.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No detailed clarity on approach provided.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It should address all comments below...My review comments are as follows...Loss of Green Belt Land: This is an overall objection to the loss of Green Belt land which has been categorised as such precisely to prevent the proposed 'urban sprawl', the loss of countryside, and the loss of individual villages such as Thelwall & Grappenhall. There is no exceptional rationale provided in the proposals that justify this loss of Green Belt land. The impact to the local environment and habitat will be catastrophic, and far greater justification needs to be provided that there is absolutely no alternative.Objectives & Exceptional Circumstances: This is an objection to the Council's statement to achieve the strategic objectives, the Council considers that the exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated to justify the release of Green Belt . There is no rationale provided of these 'exceptional circumstances', and the statement is fundamentally flawed given the majority of the 'strategic objectives' are for protection of the environment and Green Belt rather than the destruction of it, as follows... Objective W2: To facilitate the sensitive release of Green Belt land to meet Warrington's long term housing and employment needs, whilst ensuring the revised Green Belt boundaries maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt in the long term. - This is an objection that the preferred option is not a 'sensitive release' nor does it 'maintain the permanence of Warrington's Green Belt'.Objective W5: To secure high quality design which reinforces the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's urban area, its countryside, its unique pattern of green spaces and its constituent settlements whilst protecting, enhancing and embracing the borough's built and natural assets. - This is an objection that the preferred option does not 'reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's countryside', it does not 'reinforce the unique pattern of free spaces' and does not 'protect, enhance and embrace the borough's natural assets'.Objective W6: To minimise the impact of development on the environment through the prudent use of resources and ensuring development is energy efficient, safe and resilient to climate change and makes a positive contribution on improving Warrington's air quality. - This is an objection that the preferred options does not 'minimise the impact of development on the environment'. Objective W1: To enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City... - This is an objection that I do not share the aspiration to be a 'New City'.Commitment to Maintain Green Belt: This is an objection that the Council is not adhering to previous commitments to maintain the permanence of the Green Belt as per the current Local Plan. According to the Warrington Local Plan Core Strategy adopted by the council on 21 July 2014 it states 'To maintain the permanence of the Green Belt and the character of the countryside in the borough and protect them from inappropriate development. The general extent of the Green Belt and the detailed boundaries as indicated on the Local Plan Core Strategy Policies Map will be maintained for as long as can be seen ahead and at least until 2032. Why is the Council reneging on this promise? Does this also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan?In addition, the current Local Plan states 'Since the end of the New Town era, strategic planning policies sought to arrest outward growth of the Town partly through recognition that it was nearing its natural limits to expansion and partly through recognition that the New Town development had remarkably little effect on the older urban areas of Inner Warrington. Recent efforts to date have therefore focused on regenerating and 'restructuring' the older core of Warrington Town . I agree that the Town is nearing its natural limits to expansion so why does the new preferred option go way beyond its limits? I also agree the focus should be on centralised regeneration so why does the new preferred option not focus more on this? Again why is the Council reneging on this viewpoints? Does this again also mean that residents cannot trust any promises made in any 'new' local plan?Preferred Option: This is an objection against the selected preferred option, and that Option 5 much better meets the strategic objectives as a whole. Option 5 much better meets 3 of the Strategic Objectives (W2, W5 & W6), and it still meets objective W3. More investigation is required to look at all alternatives to develop existing infrastructure to meet objective W4 rather than destroying Greenfield land. Finally Option 5's primary downside appears to be achievement of the aspiration to be a 'New City', objective W1, which is an objective I strongly disagree with and object to.Centralised Development: This is an objection that the development of homes should not be

decentralised as per the preferred option. Rather the Council should look more to centralised development in the Town centre to increase density and build upwards rather than outwards. The right investment will create a high quality town centre that will create demand for people to live in. This is a far more attractive proposition than the destruction of natural assets with the Council's currently preferred option.

Economic Aspiration: This is an objection to the economic growth aspirations. The aspiration appears to be driven by the Council rather than a government directive, and as a resident I do not share this aspiration. The aspiration should be reduced to a level that prevents demand for houses on Green Belt land.

Equality of Impact: This is an objection that the development does not consider equality of impact across the whole of the borough, rather it's negative impact is very much focused on a few areas.

Agriculture Impact: This is an objection that the proposal does not consider the impact on the reduced availability of agricultural land and the future ability of the borough and areas beyond to meet food demand. Much of the land proposed for development is not just 'spare' but rather is used for agricultural purposes.

Requirement for such Volume of New Houses: This is an objection about the lack of evidence that such volume of new houses is required in the Warrington area in light of recent political changes, e.g. Brexit. The estimates need to be revisited to provide greater certainty these are accurate. In particular...

This is an objection that calculations do not reflect the percentage of businesses that will just relocate within the borough to newly developed land, leaving the old ageing property unused. This will not result in a net increase in jobs and in turn housing requirements.

This is an objection that the plans are based on an acknowledged fact that the needs do not account for the existing population who are currently unemployed not working but who could take up new jobs in the future. This could be a significant number that will invalidate many assumptions the proposals are based upon.

Safeguarded Land East of A50: This is an objection to change of the Green Belt land to 'safeguarded', which appears to be a very confusing and misleading term. The best way to safe guard the land is to leave it as Green Belt.

Requirement for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of justification (e.g. 'As Is' traffic data and 'To Be' traffic modelling) that a new strategic road is required in this location. From personal experience this will not alleviate any current traffic problems and alternative solutions appear less intrusive to meet future demand.

Alternatives to a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to the lack of analysis regarding whether alternatives can better meet requirements that minimise impact to green belt land. Alternatives include:

- Better utilisation of current public transport capability
- Provision of new public transport services (such as rail / tram services).
- Promotion of non vehicle / green transportation.
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A50
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A56
- Development of existing road infrastructure on the A49
- Development of existing road infrastructure along Broad Lane.
- Development of existing road infrastructure along Ackers Road.
- Development of any new required roads, if absolutely required, on land that has to be developed for residential purposes (i.e. to minimise impact to Green Belt land).

NOTE: To the layman the most obvious solution to any increased traffic caused by the Garden City Suburb on the East side is to improve transportation along Broad Land and access at the end to the A56. This will better utilise existing infrastructure rather than destroying our countryside.

Use of 'Safeguarded' Land for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection to using what is supposed to be safe guarded land for a new road. How can this be safe guarding it?

Use of 'Strong Contribution' Green Belt for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that if green belt absolutely has to be used, with full justification there are no alternatives, then why use green belt land that has been categorised as making a 'strong contribution'. Full analysis of the alternative routes needs to be provided. In addition, the Council has stated on their website that they will ensure the focus of release is on those parts of the green belt that are performing poorly. Given the current preferred option is developing a new Strategic Road on Green Belt that is performing 'well' and making a 'strong contribution', then the revised plan needs to look at options to use alternative land that is performing 'poorly'.

Brownfield Development: This is an objection to the lack of transparency regarding the encouragement and incentive for developers to submit proposals for brownfield development (they are always going to submit proposals to develop on land that is easiest and cheapest to develop, and will offer the greatest return, which is often Greenfield). This is also an objection that in point 4.61 in the preferred option proposal it appears there are potential brownfield developments that have not been included in the preferred option. There is no way any use of Green Belt land can be justified until this brownfield land is developed.

Use of a Footpath for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that 'should' justification be provided that a new road is required in this area then it should follow an existing road (e.g. Cinder Lane) rather

than destroy current fields.

Loss of Properties for a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that the current proposed route across Weaste Lane utilises a current footpath between properties and therefore will require destruction of houses and the loss of constituent's homes. 'Should' justification be provided that a new road is required then there are very similar routes that will prevent the loss of homes on Weaste Lane.

Impact of a New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that any new road will result in: Visual impact, Noise, Reduction in Air Quality, Negative impact to supposedly 'protected' wildlife and natural habitat, Pollution, Flood risk, Loss of the rural character of the area, Loss of privacy, Homeowners in Limbo.

During Plan Development Due to New Strategic Road to the East of A50: This is an objection that currently homeowners are now in limbo about whether their homes will need to be demolished for this new road. As a homeowner whose home will need to be demolished based on the preferred option this uncertainty is very distressing and makes my home unsaleable during the plan development period, and perhaps beyond depending on the finalised plan.

Community Engagement: This is an objection to the whole process used to develop a new local plan, the level of community engagement has been entirely inadequate, therefore this is a challenge to the validity of all stages of the process to date. This is evidenced in that there were so few responses to previous consultation phases, phases I never knew anything about, and that the majority of responses were property developers, which given the scale of change proposed clearly shows that this was not communicated to the residents the Council is supposed to serve.

Funding / Speculative Investment: This is an objection to the lack of transparency over the funding and ownership of land or property in the preferred option, and the concern that the council will use cheap borrowed cash to fund a high risk bet on the returns.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

In the Green Belt Assessment carried out by Arup GB2 and GB3 are the wrong way round. GB3 has planning consent for a Bird of Prey Centre (which is now a golf course), for a childrens play area and for an outside café seating area. Arup's assessment has failed to consider these developments which cover most of the area beyond the garden centre lake. GB3 cannot therefore make a Strong Contribution to the 5 purposes of including land in the Green Belt. Over half of the area covered by GB2 has planning consent for display gardens which are open to the public which has not been considered by Arup.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I live in Croft where there is a lot of Green Belt which houses could be built on. My belief is all Green Belt should be maintained and protected for the sake of our wildlife and environment for now and the future.
Many Thanks Mark Whitehouse

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Look at pervious answers.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the green belt, we would have the urban sprawl that we see across Europe and North America. Both UN-Habitat and the European Commission have highlighted the particular problems arising from uncontrolled urban sprawl around large cities. Sprawl has all kinds of negative impacts, including loss of farmland and wildlife, increased car use, and neglect of older towns and cities. CPRE and Natural England analysis conducted in 2010 shows that the rate of development in green belts is between 33% and 50% lower than comparable areas of land on the edge of English cities without green belt designation.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They should pay tax like the rest of us.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The reasoning and assumptions behind the estimated number of homes required in Warrington is unclear and poorly communicated. There appear to be three options: 1: what the council believes is need, 2: what the council would like, 3: what would be needed if growth continue as it has over some randomly selected timeframe. Why the local authority has selected the middle (other than it's the middle of two other numbers) is unclear. That said a 24,000 home increase to Warrington's existing 85,000 homes (as per 2011 census) is a huge increase to the size and character of Warrington which has not been adequately explained or justified.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is one of the most frustrating aspects of the whole plan. The fact that the council considers building over a huge swath of green belt land merely releasing it makes it sound like this was always an area for development rather than a strategically considered belt of green land intended for containing urban sprawl, protecting the environment and safeguarding the character of the town. This lack of respect for (or apparent understanding of the purpose of) green belt means the proposed safeguarded green belt has little credibility - as is the fact that this safeguarding only extends for half the length original length of the plan. With a 20 year plan, a 10 year safeguard laughable. What assurance is there that in 30 years this will not also be released and a smaller protected safeguarded green belt created, which can be carved up again later with a smaller still defended protected safeguarded green belt - perhaps the side of a football pitch? Furthermore I firmly believe that our green spaces is one of the things which makes Warrington an attractive place to live. Destroying our green spaces is both against the will of those people living in the Warrington area (whose needs the council supposedly serves), and " along with gridlock, poor air quality, increased flooding, lack of services etc " has the potential to ruin the entire desirability which has led to these inflated housing estimates.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously declared - I do not understand the need for a 30% increase in the size of Warrington (as measured against the 2011 census) and certainly do not support this local plan. This is not something which the local community has agreed to or even been properly consulted on. Proper planning for new housing, and infrastructure is certainly important - and Warrington could do a lot more consideration in terms of addressing problems with transport and planning. That said, making a huge land grab for increasing the size and financial power of WBC is not supported by Warrington residents (especially in Warrington South). As has been proven by the huge retail expansion in Warrington Town Centre, which has turned Bridge Street into a virtually derelict area, WBC needs to match its apparent ambition to grow into the next Manchester/Liverpool with some realism.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't understand how spatial options differs from the next question - so see next answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No explanation was given at the consultation about how WBC decided that building over green belt in South Warrington was decided on, other than every option had to be considered. There has also been no information about how different spatial options compare in terms of traffic flow, environmental impact, flood risk etc. This makes one wonder if the determination was based on political calculation, potential land prices (both for developers, and for rates reasons) and because building on green fields is easier than being more creative with the existing urban area.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously noted, the numbers for future needs appear to be a combination of guess work and hope. No real information was given at the consultation event - which was more for information than for consultation - and hardly informative. Most importantly I fundamentally disagree with the idea of increasing the size of Warrington by 30% in a mere 20 year period, and for the method of doing it as outlined in the PDO.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I've seen even less information about the TOWN centre (we are NOT A CITY!) than for the concreting over of the green belt. The town centre certainly has potential for development given the large amount of land which is currently under-utilised.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously noted, the idea of building on a huge swath of green belt is fundamentally disagreed with by everyone I've spoken to in Warrington South. There is a lack of any clarity about the impact on: the environmental; local traffic; wildlife; health and wellbeing of the residents; local transport; commuter transport in and out of Liverpool/Manchester; and schools. Even the bits which appear to have had some thought are sketchy. The new highschool required by year 10 has no indication if it's based on the rate of

building new homes, or the hope that homes will be bought by people with young children rather than people teenagers. A new crossing of the shipcanal is needed - and the maps show a use of the existing bridge which then turns into a bottleneck in Latchford, the loss of part of the Transpennine Trail, and a new busy road above half of residential Thelwall. Fortunately when any of this is challenged the response is this is just an idea nothing is final . Residents appears to be being asked (or told) to agree to a rough guess which will fundamentally reshape our town.Perhaps the only thing which can be said in favour is that the council aren't proposing to build a huge development on the Grappenhall Village school site - although given how often I've been told it's just a rough idea this might change.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree entirely. Having some limited additional employment land around the M6 junction is not inherently a bad idea - but the scale of that and the loss of greenbelt is - as with the Garden Town Suburb - something I disagree with.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The three sites appear sensible.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Other than noting that an additional treatment site would be needed somewhere in Warrington the plan seems rather vague about this (who would have thought!).

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Fundamentally I believe this plan needs a complete re-think. I disagree with the scope and placement of the development and do not believe that Warrington Council wants to make Warrington bigger is an exceptional circumstance to destroy the Warrington Green Belt. The document is vague and lacking in many areas where anything more detailed than high level speculation is needed around transport planning, environment impact, education or air quality.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Although I realise that development in the Warrington area is required over the coming years I have grave concerns about the proposed development on green belt land and the lack of clarity on the infrastructure needs of the proposed development. As a lifelong resident of Warrington, I was brought up in Stockton Heath and now live in Appleton, I value the balance we have of quality development in a very pleasant area to the south of the town. The fact that it borders onto green space and agricultural land maintains a very enjoyable to live. Wholesale development that adds in excess of 6000 properties as well as commercial facilities will completely spoil the balance of the area. For this reason I lodge my objection to the proposed development plan [REDACTED]

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

North Warrington seems to have got off with little additional development

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A great idea

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comments

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Data of population growth would require only 50% of homes listed

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Population figures flawed, so homes number also flawed. Growth does not consider impact of HS2 and Brexit (both likely to suppress growth in Warrington) Growth does not consider difficulties in the Nuclear Industry (also likely to suppress growth in Warrington)

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Population growth too high, therefore number of homes too high. Does not consider other brownfield opportunities, such as Fiddlers Ferry, Appleton Thorn HMP, the old airfield at Appleton/Stretton

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Flawed premise, grandiose scheme for the vanity of officers. I do not ever remember being interested in City Status.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The locations were decided by people who want to sell land for development. Therefore all the proposals are backed with people with a significant financial vested interest.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

refer to previous responses: population growth number report seems incorrectly used, therefore less houses needed; Growth doesn't consider significant suppressing issues (HS2, Brexit, downturn in nuclear industry). Development should safeguard safeguarded green belt, not just change it's mind because people can make money selling plots of land.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am generally in favour of a lot of the development of the city centre, but fear for it becoming an expensive enclave which the wider population cannot access (such as Castlefields/Deansgate in Manchester, with Moss Side only 1 mile away, a massive poverty gulf). The traffic in the city centre also requires resolution - it remains awful, and incomplete from the New Town Development plans.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Care is required to allow open access to all, and not create an exclusive area. Traffic and parking requires resolution in the town centre.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Scale is completely wrong - it isn't needed. Proposals will destroy the character of Stockton Heath, Grappenhall, Grappenhall Heys, Appleton Thorn, and Appleton, and desecrate significant quantities of green belt. Traffic not properly addressed - it is already bad, with bad air quality, and the extra housing will lead to far more cars on the road.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Limited development is reasonable, the scale of the plans proposed seems unnecessary. There is too much loss of green belt. All the outlying settlement development will be based on car usage in each household - there are no credible public transport plans within the options proposed. It also seems highly unlikely that there will ever be funding to put any plans in place.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Traffic access off the motorway network already poor, and very vulnerable to any incident on the motorways, which immediately leads to gridlock on all the surrounding roads. The M6/M62/M56 already appear to be around capacity for significant durations each day, therefore there is no capacity for any other traffic.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It only appears to be half a story. Once the refuse derived fuel is produced, you will need an energy from waste facility to burn the rdf. A decentralised heat network should be provided as part of any garden city development, and the infrastructure installed at the beginning of the process (with developers required to connect to the heat network). This therefore requires an EFW as part of the garden city proposals. This should have been outlined in principle within the minerals and waste assessment. There is also no discussion about the additional waste collection which will be required by the additional housing proposed.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

a paper indicating why the council and officers think the population want this city status? a paper indicating the implications of not having a local plan a paper indicating the options of pushing back development plans a paper indicating how the council planning process can be strengthened to safeguard green belt across the borough council land a paper that properly considers transport at all the key traffic nodes - bridgefoot, crossing the ship canal, crossing the bridgewater canal, the M56 junctions, the M6 junctions, the A49, the A50a

simplified summary of the options and a simplified consultation response - there are so many documents supporting the preferred option that it seems to swamp the ability to respond. the consultation responses seem to split and isolate individual areas in a way which would allow manipulation of the consultation where areas do not get responses - it all seems to much and wearing.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe the amounts of new homes and employment land has been calculated without any due regard and consideration for the negative and detrimental impact it will have on South Warrington in general. The plan does NOT reflect the wishes and desires of the residents. The councillors involved do NOT appear to be promoting and protecting the wishes of the electorate, who I assumed they were supposed to SERVE. Existing roads, schools, GP surgeries etc. do NOT have the capacity to support such a plan. Therefore it is extremely ill conceived. Apparently South Warrington councillors have been excluded from the development of this plan. WHY? Their inclusion to debate and decision making is VITAL. Since when did residents voice their agreement to the pursuance of City Status? Evidence please. The Green Belt in South Warrington would be effectively destroyed.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The pursuit of City Status appears to have generated numbers of homes and employment land that are FAR IN EXCESS of both what is required and what could be said to be reasonable for the town, especially in South Warrington which appears to have been targeted for unfairly and punitively overly high numbers of houses given the HUGE UNDERDEVELOPMENT OF GREEN BELT LAND in the north of the Borough.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Because virtually all of the Green Belt land to be released is in the south of the Borough which is therefore disproportionate in relation to the towns wards. There is too much Green Belt land proposed to be effectively destroyed.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Because IT DOES NOT REFLECT THE VERY STRONG WISHES OF MANY OF THE COMMUNITIES AND THE ELECTORATE LIVING WITHIN.AS THE Borough Council has imposed a late and very limited period of consultation on this matter,residents of the Borough have very deliberately been given the minimum period possible to respond , making the process of consultation as difficult and ineffective as possible. The Local Plan Objectives are ill conceived,excessive,unwarranted.They unnecessarily destroy small,long established communities and decimate Green Belt land at unacceptably high rate.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears, even by the most tenuous of objective geographical analysis, to be a HUGE DELIBERATE TARGETTING OF SOUTH WARRINGTON, TO BEAR THE MAIN, IN FACT VIRTUALLY ALL, the burden of development,whilst other areas of the Borough appear to have no proposals for any development whatsoever.Brown field sites across the Borough appear to have been largely ignored and the whole focus of development concentrated on the semi rural communities of South Warrington...communities which have been in existence for considerably longer than WBC or any of its councillors.HOW THE BOROUGH COUNCIL has assessed different options appears to be based on whether a site is south of the Manchester Ship Canal or not.Being in South Warrington appears to determine that a geographical area should be a prime /main development site.....no other factor appears to have any relevance....especially that of the residents of such areas.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Plan is excessive and geographically biased in terms of the proposed development sites.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The concept deserves praise for its vision and imagination and would be an improvement on the existing environment.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am TOTALLY AGAINST THIS OPTION because there is NO legitimate reason as to why Warrington should be a city or seek to be a city. The existing infrastructure, at all levels and types, simply cannot support an expansion of the size being promoted. SIMPLY BUILDING BIGGER AND BIGGER SUBURBS does not make a town a city. Secondly, the residents of the town should have been consulted by the councillors who are meant to serve them, as to their opinion about pursuing City Status, MUCH EARLIER in the planning process, thereby establishing what residents wanted BEFORE the Plan went to print. THIS APPEARS TO BE A SOUTH WARRINGTON GARDEN SUBURB.... WHY HAS NO OTHER WARD OR AREA OF THE TOWN been considered ?

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

This may be a necessary development but the opinions of the residents which it effects MUST be given very significant consideration.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The history and character and ambience of such outlying settlements will almost certainly be destroyed or at best significantly negatively affected. The greater use of Brownfield sites must be given far greater prominence in the plan. Residents of outlying sttlements must have their wishes respected annd valued.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Significant usefar more use....must be made of Brownfield sites. This would reduce the impact on the Green Belt.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

THE OPINIONS AND WISHES OF ALL RESIDENTS OF ALL AREAS...OF THE BOROUGH...MUST BE GIVEN SIGNIFICANT AND TRANSPARENT EMPHASIS AND INCLUSION ...WHICH THE BOROUGH MUST SEEK TO DO AS A MATTER OF SOME URGENCY..AND VALUE AND RESPECT SUCH WISHES. PEOPLE AFFECTED BY THE PLAN,EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY,MUST HAVE THEIR THOUGHTS REFLECTED CLEARLY INN THE PLAN.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am very concerned that the main object here is to obtain city status for Warrington. The town has already spent an amount of time and money chasing this objective and failed. I believe you should put your case for the benefits of city status to the current residents of the town and ask them to vote on it. You, as a Council appear to be very enthusiastic about city status and as stated above have spent valuable Council time and money already and seem to be doing so again with this PDO as it is mentioned several times in the Plan. I think you should have the courage of your convictions and put it to the residents of the town and let them decide.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

In my opinion you have not looked at potential future brownfield sites that may be coming available during the 20 year period. There is no clear plan of developing the obviously needed infrastructure enhancements such as roads, schools, hospitals, doctors etc etc. The current situation in all these areas is just under or on capacity and are unlikely to cope with the normal increases in requirements. Add in a possible 50,000 plus population increase and the whole system will implode very quickly. This is without any rogue problems like the new Halton crossing which again I believe could cause major problems for Warrington if it is finally tolled and people decide they don't want to pay £10/day they will probably opt to come through Warrington which will be a major problem for the town.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As stated earlier the pursuit of city status is not a necessary objective. It would be sufficient to do what is necessary and settle for that. Also the developments should be spread more evenly through all of the towns boroughs not just focusing on the south of Warrington.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Due to the technical nature of some of the descriptions and the many initials that a layman will not understand it makes it very difficult to understand a lot of the arguments.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Because they are way in excess of Warrington's needs. As stated earlier everything appears to be coloured by someone's ambition for city status.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

All the answers in my earlier responses. There needs to be a greater consensus from the people of the town before we waste money pursuing them and this type of questionnaire is not enough a proper vote is required with the people who are pushing this agenda need to stand up and be counted.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

As stated in my earlier responses.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The opportunity for the public to vote on these proposals. The detail of who you expect to provide the new infrastructures that are required based on these proposals rather than ignoring these key issues. State when any plans for new infrastructures will be completed compared to when the houses will be built because I fear that as the houses are being built by for profit companies they will be completed long before any schools, hospitals, roads etc as these will be at the mercy of public spending.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See uploaded document How many homes are actually needed for Warrington as many people commute from Warrington around the NW

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see uploaded document We don't need more retail land we need more homes in the centre of town to make it live.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We should use brown field sites not green belt eg why not use the old Appleton Thorn aerodrome site

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree with developing a very large area of the south of Warrington for businesses and retail based in the north - it leads to massive traffic movements each day. Base more housing where the work is

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

traffic impact - addition of new road sections just moves the road congestion to other places. M6 issues gridlock the town - the addition of all these extra houses on the diversion path with just snarl things up worse

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We shouldn't need to develop such a large zone in the green belt see uploaded sheet

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

make more housing to revitalise the centre. who wants more retail? people will make the town not shops.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lovely thought to have a garden city, but just leave it as a garden - green belt and develop areas in the city centre and places like the Appleton airfield.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Employment is good, but people should not need to travel so extensively to employment areas - pack all the new homes in the south and create the land in the north is a recipe for road use and congestion - it's not carbon/environmentally friendly

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Haven't read about this.why should we cater for people who have opted out of society, and don't contribute to it?

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

haven't read this detail - assume you have suitable recycling plans for wasteif so ok

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

survey on bus usage - people don't use them because they don't go where people want to go, they are not timely enough and they cost more than a car - why use them... they should be a cheap flexible optionextending the current network will not solve these problems.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Although I accept a large number of new homes are required with the congestion and resultant pollution we already experience New road bi passes or outer ring road must be built BEFORE more homes to relieve the town and local areas such as St.Heath,Latchford,Winick and Sankey. The road network we have at present is inadequate for a small town needing to cross a main river and ship canal network let alone a proposed NEW CITY

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is so much more brown belt land available witch am am sure is being avoided due to the higher cost to the builders to first clear the land

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

A larger town with new roads YES. A New City NO.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

All the new housing south of the ship canal will without at least two new bridges to bi pass the Victorian swing bridges cause chaotic traffic and pollution problems

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too vague to make a judgement

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is NOT a priority

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with the CITY proposal altogether

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Only if the new canal crossing is completed FIRST

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Only on designated industrial estates

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

not aware of any such matters

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft, Peel Developments are applying for planning consent to build 220 No. houses on land that is 100% green belt. Further more, 2 years ago Peel completed uprooted established trees, hedge rows and green belt grass lands to one of the fields within their planning application, completely destroying the natural wild life habitat and beauty of the surrounding area. This act of natural destruction obviously enhances their application.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Subject Ref:R18/127 &115 Parcel CR4 SHLAA Ref 15231, development at Lady Lane Croft,two hundred and twenty (220) planned housing units appears highly out of proportion to the village of Croft, in my opinion. The local infrastructure, feeder roads and total lack of shopping facilities do not support the additional load from the potential development.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt is protected land so it's difficult to agree with any plans which involve the destruction of these natural environments and development on this land. Your own development plan states that development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Please see page 28 of your own document: '4.85 The National Planning Policy for Traveller sites (2015) makes it very clear that inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances.' We have looked at the plans and don't see how the development of houses on a Green Belt area in the South West Urban Extension constitutes 'very special' circumstances.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No we do not agree with objective W2 "destruction and use of greenbelt land for development. Green belt is protected land so it's difficult to agree with any plans which involve the destruction of these natural environments and development on this land.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt areas should not be used, also, the options involve areas such as Moore and Appleton which I wouldn't not consider to be adjacent to the main urban area of Warrington. The majority of Moore is also in Halton which is outside of Warrington altogether. The options include small villages which are individual and have their own charms and merging them all together into one lump of Warrington will replace the rural character and feel with one massive industrial town. It will be a shame to lose these villages into a never ending sea of bland new build developments.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt areas should not be used, also, the options involve areas such as Moore and Appleton which I wouldn't not consider to be adjacent to the main urban area of Warrington. The majority of Moore is also in Halton which is outside of Warrington altogether. The options include small villages which are individual and have their own charms and merging them all together into one lump of Warrington will replace the rural character and feel with one massive industrial town. It will be a shame to lose these villages into a never ending sea of bland new build developments.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Port Warrington already produces noise which can be heard in Moore, to development this further will only produce further noise pollution in an otherwise quiet village. When this is added to the other developments which are planned for the area (An application by Fresenius Kabi to Halton Borough Council) it will change living in Moore from being a quiet rural village to living in an industrial estate.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The South West Urban extension is building right up to the boundary of Halton which is contradicting the objectives of building in the main urban area of Warrington. It also contradicts building on Green belt land. We moved to Moore a year ago and chose this location specifically because its rural small village feel. The big selling point was being able to get away from the sprawling industrial centre of Warrington and retreat into a beautiful and quiet rural village. To absorb the village into a housing development connecting it to the main part of Warrington would destroy the village's rural feel and charm. We checked development land plans prior to buying our house and had we know this was in the pipeline we would have bought elsewhere.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Port Warrington already produces noise which can be heard in Moore, to develop this further will only produce further noise pollution in an otherwise quiet village. When this is added to the other developments which are planned for the area (An application by Fresenius Kabi to Halton Borough Council) it will change living in Moore from being a quiet rural village to living in an industrial estate.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The South West Urban expansion involves needless destruction of Green Belt land and directly contradicts Warrington's Development objectives of building in and adjacent to urban areas as it is a rural location right on the boundary of the Halton Borough. Your own development plan states that development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved except in very special circumstances. Please see page 28 of your own document: '4.85 The National Planning Policy for Traveller sites (2015) makes it very clear that inappropriate development is harmful to the Green Belt and should not be approved, except in very special circumstances.' We have looked at the plans and don't see how the development of houses on a Green Belt area in the South West Urban Extension constitutes 'very special' circumstances. Port Warrington already produces noise which can be heard in Moore, to develop this further will only produce further noise pollution

in an otherwise quiet village. When this is added to the other developments which are planned for the area (An application by Fresenius Kabi to Halton Borough Council) it will change living in Moore from being a quiet rural village to living in an industrial estate. We moved to Moore a year ago and chose this location specifically because its rural small village feel. The big selling point was being able to get away from the sprawling industrial centre of Warrington and retreat into a beautiful and quiet rural village. To absorb the village into a housing development connecting it to the main part of Warrington would destroy the village's rural feel and charm. We checked development land plans prior to buying our house and had we know this was in the pipeline we would have bought elsewhere.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think that new homes in the outlying settlements would be a good idea, provided they are a mixture of affordable and rental, because it would mean that investment in the infrastructure would need to occur. I think that this new infrastructure and new community members would boost the areas.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think that the 60 homes in Croft could easily fit on The Battlefield, which is currently waste land on the edge of a housing estate.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think that you could mix all three options of new suburb, extension to urban area, and new homes in outlying settlements.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

See earlier response

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Data used to form conclusions does not seem to acknowledge a post-Brexit Britain in which population size could decrease, as it has in other parts of Europe. We should be concentrating on finding employment for our current population, not building homes to suck in more people. Traffic congestion is addressed only by building more roads, blighting the lives of evr more residents. The falling use of busses has been mentioned. This is not surprising when the frequency of services is so poor. Building more roads only encourages more car use! More thought needs to go into this issue.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Consultants will cherry-pick statistics and give you whatever you want. Ultimately, it's guesswork. Guesswork which will have detrimental effects on Warrington's citizens.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green Belt land should remain free of exploitation. The council should stand up to central government encouragement to reverse decades of protection for undeveloped land. The loss of Green Bent land is not just a loss for the people of Warrington, but for the whole country.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan ignores environmental issues and the blighting effect it would have on citizens - particularly around the proposal for the Garden City Suburb. The case for this number of homes and work places has not been made. The whole consultation has been done on the quiet with Warrington's citizens not being adequately informed. There was no mention of the proposals for the Garden City Suburb during recent elections. Anecdotally, there is no demand for this development from the people of Warrington. In my experience, people either know nothing about this, or they oppose it.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Speak English please

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree, in principle, to building on Green Belt land. I specifically disagree with the Garden City Suburb. This huge development would not only take a lot of land, but would also blight the lives of neighbouring areas through increased traffic and pollution. My own personal worry is the building of a road along the railway embankment towards the Chester Road area. (My property backs on to the railway embankment along [REDACTED]) The noise and pollution generated by a major road through a residential neighbourhood would be unacceptable. And if it did go ahead, the value of properties affected - many of which are already of low value - would plummet, making moving impossible. If this development, and the exploitation of the railway embankment for transport, went ahead, surely the railway track should be used for trains or trams? Utilising the existing tracks would save money, provide a less polluting environment, and also encourage people to leave behind their cars to travel into town. The tracks already pass Bank Quay station...

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comments

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Outlying Settlements will inevitably increase the use of cars. Shouldn't we be encouraging fewer car journeys, not more?

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have lived in Warrington for ■ years and there are buildings which were empty when I arrived here and which are still empty today. The statistics used seem to be there simply to confirm a desire to expand the town into a city without the will of residents, not for any practical need.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please keep the people of Warrington better informed by mailing every affected household.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Any proposal to develop the Green Belt land shown on plan R18/059 is considered bad in law and will be vigorously opposed by many residents in Walton, Higher Walton and Stockton Heath.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The consultation process has been inadequate and insufficiently supported by proposals relating to release of green belt land for residential development particularly traffic managements and infrastructure.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Open space and green belt land development generally will adversely affect the area as a whole.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Proposals are excessive and will diminish desirability to live in the area.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears to be imbalances between employment land and residential proposals without full consideration of associated infrastructure works and traffic management.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Far too ambitious for a Town of this size.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A 'Garden City' suburb is not needed.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Significant over development of open spaces and ribbon development.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

On a smaller scale and over a 10 year period.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This response does not reflect the areas determined within the plan, but rather opposition to a number of responses on the Call for Sites. Specifically, R18-105, R18-003, R18-102, R18-108, R18-012. These are generally all relating to similar concerns, regarding traffic (particularly based on the small semi-rural roads in this area, with bottlenecks / small historic bridges) and pressure on local amenities (e.g. schools). Regarding R18-105 and R18-003 we have particular concern regarding access and traffic issues being exacerbated over the Red Lane bridge (small historic canal bridge, with narrow pathways etc.) and pressure on the residential roads nearby, which are already used as a cut-through with acute traffic pressures in Stockton Heath. With respect to R18-102, R18-108 and R18-012, these are also located in an area with small roads and similar restrictions over the bridge near Walton Hall. R18-102 is located on a very small road, next to a small single track bridge and accessed via the Appleton Reservoir end by a similarly small road. We do not consider this a suitable location for further development, impacting on the nature of the surrounds to Walton Hall which is used for recreation. Generally, we feel this adds to traffic pressures in an area that already has large issues with the bottleneck over the ship canal at Walton towards Warrington. Development should be focussed on larger developments for which appropriate amenities and infrastructure can be provided, rather than squeezing into existing development areas or the rural fringe. I also feel that the consultation and publicity of these options is very poor, and it is very unclear as to how best to respond upon them. If this was a private sector consultation it would be slated.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly support the need for the scheme given traffic issues and limitations impacting on Warrington residents and economic development.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree with your conclusions. The green belt should not be built upon under any circumstances.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's a joke

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The traffic is bad enough

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's busy enough as it is

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes but I disagree for the need for a 20 year plan when the minimum length of a local plan is 15 years

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If WBC plan was 15 years the housing requirement could be reduced to 13710

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

13710 house could be built entirely on brownfield sites. No greenfield would be affected

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Seems like a WBC garden city vanity project that doesn't have the backing of the majority of Warrington residents

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Drop the city status obsession, let's be a proud town

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I gave the answer Yes because I do have comments..

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do - you have NOT allowed for the number of dwellings or their occupancy rate for a) Brownfield sites and B0 the aging population.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No you have not recognised the need to build closer to areas of employment ie further to the North and West of the borough - your assumption on density of appropriate housing to the South is flawed.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is NO actual need to build so much new housing when existing housing stock is so poorly maintained in central Warrington. There is no evidence that the population will increase by such a large number - especially due to uncertainty after BREXIT.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not provided a cost-benefit analysis of the loss of Green-Belt compared to the use of Brown-field.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is biased to easy development by commercially focused Developers instead of the Council taking a more holistic view for the community as a whole.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

The housing density needs to be re-assessed to allow for more single occupancy dwelling and therefore less need for schools.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If WBC cared so much about the Waterfront why has it been so negligent regarding its assistance to the development of Warrington Rowing Club..?? the residents of ex Persimmon homes, etc.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The area proposed has not had an adequate Ecological survey - giving the value of its bio-diversity to the region.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no adequate assessment of the transport infrastructure required to support the development here and in the growth from/to adjacent areas. My professional assessment would be that the roads, guided busway/trams, etc. that would be required would need at least double the area of land being assigned.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not provided any assessment nor assumption on what these people will be employed to do - therefore the assessment of area necessary is flawed.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A statement regarding the assumptions over migration to the area from adjacent municipal areas, from further afield and from abroad.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I consider it overly ambitious and at this time it blights a considerable number of properties for an Indefinitive period of time.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is overly ambitious and blights a number of properties for an Indefinitive period of time.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is not clear about the the amount and timing of the land to be released.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is to vague as regarding timing and actual land takes as well as details of properties which will be affected.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough clarity about the timing over the twenty year period.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Over ambitious and lacks detail regarding the phasing over the 20 year period of the development

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Over ambitious and lacks detail over the timing and phasing over the 20 year development period meaning that a considerable number of properties being blighted for an indefinite period.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

At this time it is a town centre, the intention to achieve city status is unrealistic. Currently the town centre is a most depressing place lacking any vitality and looks more like a deserted ghetto. Rather than pursuing this grandiose plan their should be more focus on revitalising the town centre.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Refer to all previous comments

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Refer all previous comments

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See all previous comments

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lacks clarity regarding phasing, land take and affected properties.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan fails to demonstrate the demand or research on which the demand is based.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

They need to be considered although one might feel they get more consideration than established residents who contribute to council tax and the economy generally.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes but again it lacks detail.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More detail as to the the research and basis upon which the plan is based and appears to be based more on a Political desire to achieve City Status which is neither realistic nor required, it fundamentally changes the nature of the town and the surrounding area

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have over estimated the amount of homes needed as the homes that will be built by the developers will be expensive homes to increase the profits of the developers and not what is needed in the housing market in the future

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Use all the brownfield sites you have and those that are soon coming up

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Absolutely disgusted! We have lived in the area for over 20 years. We must have a say here and you need to listen- we have strong opposition support in the area and we will fight this. Affordable or executive houses will not be built and are not welcome.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Money talks

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt land is protected land - not for greedy developers and council back handers.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Absolutely not-green belt land is protected land.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Money

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Money and greed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No more houses on green belt

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No more houses needed green belt is protected land

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt should be protected it is there for future generations to enjoy not for developers to concrete over purely for monetary gains.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes but green belt

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unsure

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

How will the current infrastructure cope with extra housing? Schools, roads, lack of amenities in small villages like Croft.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No land that is greenbelt should ever be built upon as we will eventually end up with no 'greenspaces' for future children to enjoy. There are enough sites that are outside of the greenbelt areas that could be built on.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Croft as a village cannot sustain any further developments. We have no shop, no amenities, not enough school places and already too much traffic for roads that can't cope. The extra traffic is making a tiny village dangerous for children and any extra housing will only add to this.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Greenbelt land should be kept greenbelt and not be allowed to be developed. Our natural green areas are what make Warrington's countryside so special and enjoyable.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, greenbelt areas should remain greenbelt. Warrington has enough sites other than greenbelt land that could be developed.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We realise that extra housing is required for the expanding population but once you begin to build on greenbelt land there will be no end to the amount of greenbelt that would be developed on. You are setting a precedent for future developing of greenbelt.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Building on greenbelt land should not be allowed.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Croft as a village cannot sustain any further developments. We have no shop, no amenities, not enough school places and already too much traffic for roads that can't cope. The extra traffic is making a tiny village dangerous for children and any extra housing will only add to this. According to Warrington Borough Council Croft has a hairdressers and a shop, Croft has neither. Croft has recently had two developments of land within the past few years that have increased the number of houses and any more would mean more stress on Crofts infrastructure.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Other areas that are not greenbelt and affect the surrounding area.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

2012 OAN figures have been used. 2014 figures are less, and are available. if this reduction is a trend, then even less housing may be required by now. The proposal uses the high end of estimated needs, then adds safety margin. Warrington Borough Council are aiming for City status. This is not required nor desired by the residents.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no accounting for brownfield sites made available by the decommissioning of Fiddler's Ferry power station.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The housing to be provided will result in a low population rate per house, implying denser housing is possible. Exceptional circumstances have not been demonstrated.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not think Warrington needs to be a city

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

South Warrington does not need any development, and is disconnected from the town centre. The residents in the Appleton/Grappenhall locations will have a greater association with the M56 than with Warrington itself. Fiddler's Ferry and Omega sites would have less traffic impact. Birchwood already has housing interspersed with narrow woodland, and the character would be less affected. Other areas of Warrington may benefit more from being near a modern, improved infrastructure.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is excessive and will ruin the character of the villages involved. The western link road will be of limited benefit, will allow for downgrading of more greenbelt areas and will add to pollution

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is misguided, excessive and currently insufficiently scrutinised by independent bodies.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

yet more green belt being destroyed, but more use than the A50/Latchford link

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

New employment land will be necessary, though not as much as proposed, as it is based on an exaggerated housing need, and a large proportion of residents near the motorways are likely to be commuters rather than local workers. Warrington needs the facilities, but has a surfeit of warehouses and shopping malls.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am concerned that the lachford rail embankment is composed of large amounts of landfill, and that disturbing this may be hazardous

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

INDEPENDENT SCRUTINY declaration of vested interests of proposers

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Mid Mersey SMHA (May 2017) indicates that only half of the houses requested are actually needed and Green belt land does not therefore need to be touched.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington Borough Council have ignored the fact that higher density housing may be appropriate in some areas of the town - eg town centre apartments - which would reduce pressure on use of green field sites. Brown field sites need to be considered as the first option. They have also not taken into account future options such as the Warrington hospital site and Fiddlers Ferry.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington Borough Council have ignored the fact Green belt land should only be used in exceptional circumstances and that they have other options open to them on brown field sites and future opportunities such as the Warrington hospital site and Fiddlers Ferry. The Green belt land is being used for agriculture and reducing this given the uncertainty around Brexit appears reckless.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Council's desire for City status - which seems to be driving this plan - is of no benefit to local residents.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Option 2 differs only from Option 1 by the following statement: 'In addition, incremental growth in the outlying settlements could contribute to longer term sustainability of local services and local business, promote local housing choice and deliver a number of smaller sites in the early part of the plan period'. The sustainability of local businesses is not an issue and local housing choices would equally be met by development areas of Option 1 - without the need to extend into the green belt as dramatically. Option 2 is potentially a quicker plan but this should not be the driver in this decision (ie the easy option).

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The preferred option 2 does not take into account the impact of increased traffic into Warrington and the risk of gridlock when problems occur on the surrounding motorways. The Council has acknowledged that more work is needed on this but without this, the plans are flawed. This is critical to Warrington South not becoming the car park that is currently Warrington North and mistakes must not be allowed to be repeated.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plans have not been properly thought through, the housing projections are excessive, the impact on green belt is unacceptable and far from being a situation of exceptional circumstances. The drive to become a new city, which is prompting these plans, is not something that is supported by local residents and their needs.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The housing density could be increased, along with the number of sites to accommodate more housing and reduce the Green belt impact.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The housing density could be increased, along with the number of sites to accommodate more housing and reduce the Green belt impact.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The impact on traffic - even if the new roads are built - is unclear. Without full evaluation, Warrington Borough Council risks turning this area into a repeat of North Warrington which is routinely gridlocked. Removing such significant parts of the Green belt risk destroying the key characteristics of the area which currently make it a desirable location for people to live. The impact on house prices could be significant too as the changes will mean that people opt to live elsewhere - as the reality is that a lot of people who live in Warrington don't work there and only choose to live in the area because of the good transport links currently. Jeopardising this position will be detrimental to the prosperity of the town.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This area, given its proximity to the town, Stockton Heath and the new waterfront could be increased in housing density if the western link road is built to minimise the impact on other outlying areas.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan is ill-conceived, detrimental to local residents and unsupported. The Council's desire for City status is driving a plan that will not improve the quality of life in the area for current or future residents. The key objections are loss of green belt land, scale of the South Garden Suburb and the lack of traffic survey

information for activity of this scale, the adverse impact on Stockton Heath, the environmental issues associated with development of green belt, the failure to fully explore use of brown field sites first and the impact on local healthcare and social care.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As usual the green belt has been abandoned for the sake of cheap building plots when there are many brown filed site suitable (but more expensive) that could be used. I expect this is just another case of greed over green!

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As usual the green belt has been abandoned for the sake of cheap building plots when there are many brown filed site suitable (but more expensive) that could be used. I expect this is just another case of greed over green! Safeguarding just puts off the day when more will be used.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why do the plans have to use so much green belt land when there are other options.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my earlier comments

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plans do not take account of the impact on the existing area.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again the impact on the existing countryside has not been considered properly

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It seems that the plans worked out was done before the EU Referendum and the result of that is going to have a serious impact on the economy, these factors are so significant they should be taken into account and the need for new homes refactored accordingly.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm not sure how you came to the conclusion that Grappenhall area could support another 7,000 plus homes, regardless of if a new road is built we're approaching gridlock on the roads twice a day as it is, add another 15-20,000 cars into the mix and the impact will be unsustainable. Also, other infrastructure will not be able to cope, we can't get a doctors appointment as it is without another 15-20,000 people registering, A&E at Warrington is at the point of breakdown most days of the week and there aren't enough supermarkets as it stands to cope with the demands of the town.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's not clear from your plans what's safeguarded and what's not. Plus how long will the areas be safeguarded?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The objectives aren't clear and they certainly aren't SMART.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The areas identified for expansion can't cope with that level of new houses being built. The options focus primarily on accommodation and the plans for infrastructure other than roads are very vague (for example, the 'new' road in Grappenhall replacing part of the TPT doesn't mention if this will be single or dual carriageway, it doesn't mention if it will contain a segregated cycle lane either. To increase the Warrington population by such a large number needs a coordinated plan for other forms of transport than the car. Currently the buses are unreliable and the cycle lanes in some areas are non-existent, parked cars also cause a problem not just for cyclists (cars parking in a marked cycle lane) but for other motorists (just come through Stockton Heath at 4-6pm during the week to witness this first hand).

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's not taking into account the current preference of local residents.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I've selected no because I had to put an answer, I've not seen the proposal for dealing with Travellers/etc.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As per previous answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Assessment on the impact of traffic, needs to include how it was modelled, when the sample data was taken, why it was only taken in June 2016 and not in other months.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Would like to know how you have reached your conclusion which to me appears wildly inaccurate.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The use of Green Belt should never be considered for building land. If you have to consider Green Belt then the town is already fully developed.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The local plan as it stands will only benefit developers and the council. In no way is it be of any benefit to local residents.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

you seem to want to take away the area's that we already enjoy

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again the use of Green Belt land and recreational land should never be considered.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is already over developed and a development on the scale you are proposing will be an absolute disaster. This town cannot sustain your plan.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The residents of WA4 need there Green Belt and open spaces. We do not want or need a garden city. why do you assume we want to live in a city. this plan has no benefit to local people.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe this is needed or wanted.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Employment land is ok if its on Brown Field sites but not on Green Belt.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

An almost impossible task considering the lack of authority the local council has especially given the predatory nature of the developers and the funding withdrawal from government.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Vastly overestimated the capability of Warrington to retain its character given the numbers planned, clearly sacrificing the south of the town to facilitate an impractical target.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

NO NO NOI reiterate the green belt has been sacrificed to reach an impossible target. Greenbelt was the Safeguarded land so safeguarded means nothing at all.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a country we have to ensure houses and jobs for our children given the current Brexit position how can any body know what the job or housing requirements in the next 5 years if a million europeans leave, [I am hoping they don't as our hospitals will collapse and our society will be poorer] that means there will be a million jobs and houses spare who knows.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm assuming that Spatial Options means which bit you have coloured in to reach your targets. Building lots of houses in the south of Warrington is good for developers of expensive housing for the Manchester and Liverpool commuters. Not building to the same extent in the north is good for the northern villages but unbalanced and unfair.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Building lots of houses in the south of Warrington is good for developers of expensive housing for the Manchester and Liverpool commuters. Not good for local people. Not building to the same extent in the north is good for the northern villages but unbalanced and unfair.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

These are not Warrington's future needs if they were it would be at least half the amount of new build this is mopping up Liverpool and Manchester housing requirements we are now the dormitory town for these cities.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough detail just a big coloured blob, the only comment I can make about that is it is not a nice colour.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not imaginative enough but once again No Detail

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Calling it a Garden City Suburb is STUPID, Warrington is not a City Doh!.The greenbelt destruction is not ameliorated by giving the area inappropriate name 8,000 new houses plonked on what was a greenbelt is nothing to do with a Garden especially as it is linked to a big expanse of industrial development.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You could fit more in if you used some of the crematorium land and Walton gardens, wouldn't it be easier if you transferred the land to Halton council they could then get their coloring out crayons instead and give Warrington council more time to think of ways of getting rid of that green stuff around the town.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Massively Unbalanced, Why no big development around the northern villages could it be that developers don't want this land I 'd like to know the reasons

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Peel Hall holdings thinking of a massive new Port so Let's ignore the fact that it is currently a Nature reserve and it has no proper access as we are going to build a massive high level concrete monstrosity that will be the Biggest blot on the landscape ever, it will be like putting the M6 Thelwall viaduct next to the town of course this will allow Peel ports to run a lot of HGV through/above the town adding to our pollution score already pretty high. Then consider that M56 to M62 will use this free bridge as well as a high number of Runcorn Widnes traffic. The Stretton expansion is just lazy, there is some there so we will expand it and totally block up the motorway access from the town.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not know the legislation regarding these sites but if they are self funding and subject to all the planning laws like everybody else has I don't have a problem with provision of traveller sites. [Q, Is traveller / Gypsy site a location they come and go to or permanent housing if its permanent why not let them buy new houses planned for the area?] I suspect they will not fund the sites or pay reasonable rent or be subject to normal planning but if you let me know I can then give you a more accurate answer.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not clearly explained your approach to waste and mineral use / protection so I can't agree with your approach.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have read and re read the Document but it is clearly written by professionals for professionals. You desperately need to put a layman's version preferably in plain english on the website. There is no clear intention to develop the largest area in the south in a considerate way it appears to be an easy target as you can claim nimbysism for any complaints.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The suggested proposal will put an already stressed local infrastructure into complete chaos. The roads in and around Stockton Heath are already congested. It is difficult to cross the roads safely with children at certain times of the day because of the number of cars on the road. This will undoubtedly increase. We do not want anymore over development of our green spaces. This will turn the town into a concrete jungle and add to the high levels of pollution we already experience. 7,000 + house holds will add to our already stressed cash strapped local services. Doctors, Dentists, Schools, Roads, Car Parks in the Village are already full most days. It's criminal to overdevelop this green belt and ruin our town.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would like to see more detailed information analysis and evidence to support this hideous plan for South Warrington.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Certainly not the green belt should be just that green! We will be left with very little green space. Damaging nature and our environment to satisfy the egotistical view that Warrington needs to become a city.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This proposal will destroy South Warrington. The infrastructure cannot cope now, and Stockton Heath is often gridlocked at peak times, even more so when the Mcr ship canal bridge is open. The new western link, if and when it goes ahead will take congestion away from Bridgefoot but do nothing for the A49 traffic through Stockton Heath and Appleton. I have previously emailed to the council about traffic coming off the M56 westbound to the A49 coming south at Stretton queuing back around the roundabout and back onto the motorway slip road. I only dread the to think of the impact of this with a further 9,000 houses in the area and the 1,800 houses in Walton. Almost every bit of green belt will be destroyed and it will become a concrete jungle! Why the word City is being used I do not know, I certainly don't want Warrington to be a City but these plans will take away the idea of Stockton Heath being a village and make it another Town. I am not sure where the demand is coming from for all these extra houses, it can only bring people in from outside Warrington! A much more modest plan should be considered with land all over Warrington north of the M62 and in other areas.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many houses altogether and too many in South Warrington which will destroy the area

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It will totally destroy most if not all green spaces in south Warrington

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This one is not right!

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Brown field sites are fine for development but too much green belt used

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Bless traffic problems are solved no one will visit the city centre!

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is a good idea

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't want a garden city suburb - stop using the word City

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Fine if it solves the Bridgefoot issue

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Comments already made

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

To much n South Warrington adding to traffic issues

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

High housing numbers based on policy decision by WBC linked to Devolution Bid which is no longer Government plan. Mismatch between home projection and population projection. employment land - warehouse staff not paid enough to afford to buy high end properties. housing will be filled with commuters who will work outside warrington and workers will have to come from other area as is now happening at Omega. No consideration given to OAN of 2017 - figures based on 2014 data. why 20 year plan when minimum of 15 is allowed. this would give time for fiddlers ferry to be closed giving further brown field site and possibly the hospital which may also end up being built on green belt in south warrington.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

there are a number of brownfield sites that arent being taken into consideration because the developers put forward their brown field sites in your call for land. Not surprisingly when they think if they don't put it forward you will need to use more green belt land. more needs to be done to use brownfield sites. Get more housing in the town centre to give life back to the town. People from south Warrington dont go into warrington town centre.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No because you havent taken into consieration all brown field sites

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No evenly spread over Warrington. Noticable lack of development in labour controlled parish council areas.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The area surrounding the Lymm services junction cannot cope with any more traffic. It is all well and good taking advantage of a motorway network but when there are any issues as there seems to be several times a week the whole of south warrington becomes a car park. The infrastructure just isn't in place - everything from roads to hospitals to schools. The roads are often gridlocked and the air pollution in warrington is already a serious issue. I live in Lymm which has grown considerably over the last 15 years. No bank, schools full, no post office, no council run leisure facilities, car parking already a major issue. Rushgreen road very busy and again turns into a car park when the motorway is closed. constantly long queues to get over the toll bridge. Development of Carrington is going to again increase through traffic which is all going to come through Lymm via Rushgreen Road. It is a lane!!!!

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lymm has grown vastly over the last 15 years with little investment in infrastructure to match the growth. The village centre is on its knees - no bank, no post office, shops constantly being shut and full of charity shops. the road network cannot cope with any more traffic. Lymm is full of red brick and is losing its character. Its appeal to visitors will stop. The bus service in Warrington is appalling. Lymm has an infrequent service, nothing in the evenings and is expensive. It is a car driven culture because of the lack of a bus service fit for purpose.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

wrong employment in wrong area. housing prices do not match wages of warehouse staff. will just result in more traffic as is currently happening at Omega as staff will be recruited from other areas. houses will be bought by commuters.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

The consultation in Lymm was poorly managed and the number of people attending was not prepared for. many people gave up trying to get in, especially the elderly who couldn't stand in the queue to wait. 100 people queueing up outside! totally unacceptable. to expect them to then travel to another consultation area, again totally unacceptable.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Releasing land previously identified as Green Belt goes against the whole initial principle and therefore should not happen; it is disgusting that the percentage of land safeguarded a Green Belt can be eroded this way

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My wife and I simply believe that the planned number of houses is hugely excessive: of particular is the additional number of cars that will be brought into the area, the loss of Green belt land and the impact on current village life and local infrastructure

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Do not understand why the house building is not focussed on areas north of the town, such as Golbourne, where there seemed to be a great deal of land available

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe that attracting even more residents into the South Warrington area is not the answer; as previously stated, there seems to be more land available in the north of the town. Local village life will be badly impacted by the amount of cars that will be brought into the area, putting pressure on all aspects of the local infrastructure: roads, schools, medical facilities. The answer is NOT to build more roads, but to build additional villages elsewhere; South Warrington is already very congested. The use of Green Belt land is heinous.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to previous answer in objection of the plans

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Development should only take place to the North of the Town; the South is already very congested

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This was not mentioned at the consultation at the Pyramid, therefore I am unable to comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The requirement for additional medical and educational needs is grossly under-estimated should the building numbers go ahead as proposed

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not feel the infrastructure to accommodate the increase in traffic will be sufficient.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not think we have enough green belt land, and as a regular walker trying to keep fit and healthy would prefer more walking routes not less.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many houses and too much green belt being sacrificed.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Concerns over traffic congestion.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why do Warrington bus services finish most of their routes at Woolston, Rixton and Glazebrook do not get included.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This seems excessive for this region - I question the veracity of this calculation

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Expansion should be limited... it should be more presentable

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Many of these regions are already natural gardens

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Leave them as semi-rural areas, and don't build on them!

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my letter... reduce suggested levels of housing with corresponding need for enhanced infrastructure

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'd like to state my objection to the proposal. Much further consideration on what currently makes Warrington an attractive place to live needs to be given, the proposal and the significant decrease to the surrounding green belt area greatly reduces this. Are we not just creating a commuter zone for the likes of Manchester. Will the suggested new jobs be of the nature to allow future residents to be able to afford houses in WA4, one of the more expensive areas of Warrington? or is part of the plan to reduce the house prices in this area by with these proposals. What is the likely affect on the current services e.g. Warrington Hospital, local GP's and Dentists? Review is required on the proposed new roads and the effect on the current residents.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Measures are too drastic by far

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Options appear to be a land grab of the green belt areas

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Further review is required

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Object to the proposal

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Town Centre needs work, it's not currently an attractive place to visit or to spend time in

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comments, I'd state my objection

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Current locals inadequately detailed

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Better use needed of current brown field sites

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes but not to the detriment of the greenbelt

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

An honest assessment on the effect on the current residents

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is the plan over 20 years not 15?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not taken into account the affect of HS2 on the green belt in my community.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I completely understand the need for affordable homes in Warrington, but the homes which will be built in my community on greenbelt land will not be affordable as they will be built by private developers to maximise profit. If you wanted to increase affordaqble homes in Culcheth and Glazebury you would develop the Mee Brow estate.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consideration of how HS2 will affect the greenbelt in my community seems to have been taken into account.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consideration of the devastation that HS2 will have on the green belt in my community seems to have been taken into account when drawing up this plan.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

■■■■■ fir tree lane ■■■■ is too narrow to take any more traffic

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

To much traffic

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have failed to protect green belt areas and village areas such as Croft will be devastated

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Rural areas such as Croft have been overlooked the the green belt not protected

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have failed to understand the requirement and village life in Croft

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed development areas highlighted for Croft will have major impacts on the environment, noise levels, traffic increase, strain on existing services, impact on schools and local surgeries.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached letter

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No Comments

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Alternatives to wholesale greenbelt development

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan is flawed as I believe that it does not anticipate the potential changes in housing requirements relating to Brexit and doesn't account for the potential release of brown field sites in the future such as Fiddlers Ferry. The plan should therefore be reduced from 20 years to the minimum timescale allowed either 10 or 15 years which would then allow a review based on the factors mentioned. In addition the calculation for the number of houses required per year is also flawed as it is being driven by the councils misguided vision for city status and the greedy demands of the developers for greenbelt sites. I accept there is a need for a development plan but this should be based on applying the lowest multiplier in all areas, with your own planning officer suggesting that this could reduce the yearly build figure to around 750. As we are all aware there is a chronic traffic problem in and around Warrington with an urgent need for major investment to address current issues yet the plan does not recognise this fact and indeed in it's current format it would cause traffic chaos to the detriment of the current residents and business. If the Council wants city status it should follow the democratic route and provide a clear case for this and then allow the residents of Warrington to decide.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The amount of homes/ employment land to be accommodated within the existing built up area should be maximised to reduce the demands on greenbelt . The figures should therefore be reviewed to ensure that the highest possible numbers are in fact being accommodated.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The amount of release of greenbelt is far too high and is not fairly distributed around the town with the vast majority of the release being in South Warrington. This being in an effort to appease the developers who seem

to be the only group being considered with the proposed plan. If the lowest possible multipliers are applied to population growth this will eliminate the need for release of greenbelt.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree with the local plan objectives as it is being driven by the aspiration to become a New city and therefore the figures have been manipulated to support this and are not consistent with the requirements of the Town and it's residents.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The different spatial options are flawed as they are based on objectives that are not consistent with the requirements of the town and it's residents and on an expected growth rate that is excessive and not required.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I agree with the development options for the urban area as these should wholly meet our development requirements, but strongly disagree with the development options relating to greenbelt release, including the outlying settlements as they are not needed.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree with the development option as it is based on excessive growth figures which are not required or sustainable in relation to current and planned infrastructure.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I agree with the development of the Town centre as long as there is sufficient development of the road transport network, which should be adequately financed by the developers.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I agree with the principle of the waterfront development but this should be limited to urban/ brownfield sites and should not include release of the greenbelt.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly disagree with the Garden City Suburb as it relies predominantly on the release of Green Belt and the council is unable to prove there is sufficient need to justify this. It also will destroy the unique appeal of the individual settlements which is contrary to the aims of the greenbelt designation. It will also permanently destroy an important part of the local heritage. There is insufficient infrastructure in the surrounding area to support this size of development and the current motorway network would be unable to cope with the significant increase in traffic as it is already beyond capacity. There will also be an unacceptable environmental impact in an area that already has the worst air quality in Warrington.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree with the southwest extension as it relies on the release of greenbelt and will result in an unacceptable increase in traffic on already congested road routes in the surrounding area and Town centre particularly in consideration of the proposed development in the Town Centre.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree with the extent of development in outlying settlements as this requires an unnecessary release of greenbelt. There should be minimal development in these areas limited to development of existing urban sites only

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The requirement for new employment land is being driven by flawed and unsustainable calculation of housing growth and therefore needs to be reviewed in line with lower calculations.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

These sites have been illegally built on greenbelt land and should be removed and the Council's approach will only reward this action and could possibly lead to more unauthorised sites.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think the Council is underestimating the need for waste facilities and currently does not provide sufficient waste facilities in the South of Warrington. It would also seem to be under estimating the amount of waste water created by the size of its proposed developments.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The information that has been provided is incomplete and unrepresentative of the current opportunities for development.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would like to be provided with the calculations that have been performed to understand how many new homes are possible give the current and potential future traffic networks.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The options haven't been presented. The information that has been supplied is disgraceful.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Only a single option has been provided.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The selected route will destroy several area of natural beauty including the transpennine route.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The warrington infrastructure is currently overloaded. The road networks are poor, the public transport is terrible and access to health care is appalling. There should be a focus improving the public services before building more housing.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan is missing lots of information and only considers partial traffic routes. There should be a complete survey including traffic studies to understand the current situation. There should also be consideration for alternative transport methods that are more environmentally friendly than road traffic.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I gave the answer yes because as a lifelong resident of Warrington my place of birth [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I have looked at the development plan and am of the firm opinion it is a developers plan for profit rather than for the future needs of the Warrington people. It is a plan for future over development a poor quality of lifestyle for future residents furthermore it will not attract future people to live in the Town.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The employment plan is for Warehousing which will be low employment density with low paid jobs. The new homes mainly in South Warrington will be too costly for the incomes of Warehouse workers, so the new residents will need higher incomes to afford the new houses and will mainly be commuting out of the Borough.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The amount of Greenbelt land to be released is too much and will decimate the Farming industry, which in Rural South Warrington is one of the main industries, we need the Land for production of food, so as to be less dependant on imported food. It will also deprive our community of open spaces.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly object to the local plan objectives, as previously mentioned ie too much development, will cause more traffic congestion lack of open spaces and Warehousing development not creating enough employment opportunities.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes overambitious and not enough quality for future needs of an expanding population.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Who is to say if our town will achieve city status. If I WANTED TO LIVE IN A CITY I WOULD HAVE DONE SO YEARS AGO. Most Warrington residents are not interested in city status.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Garden City Suburb is a developers PR for an overcrowded development and an insult to our intelligence.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not needed.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It should aim to provide more higher paid skilled jobs with prospects for training young people.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A more integrated transport system.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The talk about development in response to a need for growth seems to use flawed logic. Surely, if you really want growth then you would build starter homes and affordable housing to allow for more people to get onto the housing ladder instead of more middle class housing for people who most likely will not work or contribute to the community here in Warrington. Also, what is the point of setting aside green belt land, to be protected, if you then go ahead and construct housing and other urban infrastructure upon it. The way in which you have worked out the location and type of housing which needs to be provided should be revisited and improved.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Realistically, the use of any greenbelt land goes completely against the point of its creation. By building upon it you will be guilty of helping destroy the natural landscape in Cheshire, the safeguarding of such land is the main point of having greenbelt, and also helping to lead to the merging of urban settlements together to create a conurbation.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel as though you are not building enough housing for the poor and the young and are actually looking to increase council tax by allowing more high earning, commuting families into the area. I also feel as though there has been a disregard for the environmental integrity in and around Warrington as you seem more than happy to build across large swathes of green belt or other protected areas, such as Moore Nature Reserve, instead of using brownfield sites within Warrington itself. I also feel like you will not force developers to provide sufficient social and transport infrastructure for the future as the area continues to grow which will cause the same or worse issues we see now, e.g.-traffic congestion on busy roads or scarcity of GP appointments being available.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Warrington Garden City Suburb will link Moore to Walton, and by extension to Warrington itself, this is a poor decision as we already see high levels of traffic congestion on Chester Road and adding such a high number of vehicles on it now will only exacerbate the issue. Moore will also lose its village idyll as it is merged with the rest of Warrington and this will have a poor impact on the people of Moore as the community of the area will suffer from becoming a more urban area.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel as though the plan needs to be revisited in many areas so that the greenbelt is less built upon and so there is more affordable housing for the people of Warrington who require it.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The need for privately purchased new homes on green belt land to the South of Warrington is extremely ill conceived and will be no doubt be very poorly executed if it goes ahead due to the already overstretched transport infrastructure and already congested pinch points at canal and river crossings. South Warrington is wholly unsuited to the scale of development proposed due to its already dense housing and the already overly congested access to trunk roads and the motorway network that any additional development will completely overburden.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WBC has not recognised the shift in demographic such that 20 to 40 year olds can no longer afford or indeed wish to inhabit traditional mortgaged housing. The UK needs to move towards solutions based wholly on social housing provision such as in Germany.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There needs to be much more urban regeneration and re-use of brownfield land for rented affordable social housing.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They are ill conceived because they are based on inadequately founded data. The UK has an increasingly mobile population and workforce such that is very unlikely that the current younger generation will want to live and work in Warrington.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WBC planners havent adequately addressed the problem of river and canal crossings and the impact of such an increased volume of traffic. Insufficient consideration has been given to alternatives such as park and ride, dedicated bus ways, cycle lanes, tram and rail routes. Such provision would enable really positive improvements such as turning London Road A49 Stockton Heath into a pedestrian only road.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As explained previously I believe it is ill conceived and will be poorly executed. The provision of adequate transport infrastructure will be found to be unaffordable.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

When will WBC get it into your heads that Warrington is NOT a City and nor should it ever be when surrounded in close proximity by such great cities of Manchester, Liverpool and Chester. Why would anyone

have confidence in WBCs development options for the town centre when the brand new central car park structure already looks dated and presents itself as yet another architectural carbunkle to all who approach the town from the South and West.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Waste of money, technically its a Riverfront so why call it other. There are far better waterfront and riverfront areas and facilities in Liverpool, Chester and Manchester.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Its a joke.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Another joke - see previous comments on inadequate infrastructure.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Havent WBC heard about the future of work where automation will take 30% of UK jobs by 2030 where 15 million jobs will go to robots. Government and local authorities need a whole new industrial and fiscal policy. Meanwhile WBC will bury its head in the sand, ignore it and build yet another white elephant.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dont try and contain them to permanent sites - the clue is in the name 'Travellers'.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Organic rather than planned growth please based on real demand rather than the oversised aspirations of a borough council that is living beyond its means and needs.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see enclosed Word document

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Detailed infrastructure plans (road/rail/bus etc.) and strict mandated development timeline in relation to any house building / employment land development.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plan does not appear to adequately consider the current infrastructure

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Doubt over the statistical basis

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Methods of consultation flawed

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Consultation method flawed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Process not community driven

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Out dated flawed concept

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plan ignores infrastructure - present and future

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plan ignores infrastructure - present and future

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Already vast potential - better use could be made of existing land

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

DETAILED IN UPLOADED WORD DOCUMENT

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too short a time tine for consultation Why grappenhall, thelwall and Appleton Further congestion of roads Health service can't cope Green belt not considered Sufficient brown sites across Warrington Extra air pollution St WILFRIDS school already full Infrastructure not addressed Extra pressure on Thelwall viaduct which can't cope any way with current demands Extra congestion in Warrington due to the toll on Runcorn bridge Environmental catastrophe

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why the south of warrington

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington B C Can't cope with developing of the town centre . IS BRIDGE STREET DEEMED TO BE A WELCOMING ENVIRONMENT FULL OF HOMELESS PEOPLE , ABANDONED BUILDINGS , DRUGS AND VIOENCE AFTER 10pm .

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The travellers cmpletely ignore all by laws and planning structures . E.g. Broad lane /cartridge lane site

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

A COMPLETE WASTE OF COUNCIL MONEY . WB COUNCILLORS SHOULD BE MADE ACCOUNTABLE FOT ALL THEIR ACTIONS . THEY ARE IN SITU TO RESPOND TO LOCAL VIEWS.WARRINGTON RESIDENTS ARE AGAINST THE OVER DEVELOPMENT OF OUR AREA

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Adverse pressure on limited facilities in Lymm viz Health, School, Traffic, Parking

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As per previous answer - too much pressure on limited facilities in Lymm

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We must ensure that a substantial amount of the green belt separates Lymm from Thelwall Grappenhall and Appleton Thorn

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington as a whole is unable to cope with existing traffic and poor road communications

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous comments

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous comments

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Any sites of this type should be placed in areas which do not affect existing residents

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you taken into consideration the recent changes and implications due to Brexit? Have you considered these plans in conjunction with other area plans in the North West?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

as per my previous answer. Have you fully tested the criteria on which you have made your judgement. Also, by concentrating all the development into one large chunk of greenbelt from one area of Warrington, rather than creating a Garden Suburb, you appear to be turning what is already a very attractive Garden Suburb into an Urban area.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough consideration given to the impact on the environment. Natural habitation of wildlife and community parks for the residents. The Council need to look at the inner town areas and regenerate those replace old houses with new and look at waste land around the outskirts of Warrington and Old Business parks to build houses. Not all houses built are being bought.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Greenbelt should be kept green belt. Think about WHY it was named and kept GREEN BELT

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You need to rethink as they are not big enough

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are simply ignoring the community and its huge outcry against the proposals

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

NO! What ridiculous plans and ideas of grandeur that Warrington have wanting to become a City!! Sort out the Town first, clean it up and find reasons for us to visit it

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Thought it was a TOWN..... clean it up brighten it up and smarten it up. City - There is no cathedral

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

it looks lovely

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Stop calling it a city for a start!

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A disgrace and utter waste of money

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Waste of money and massive damage to the environment

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Do NOT let them in

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You need to clean up what we are already dealing with in that area first and reduce pollution now

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A promise not to go ahead with ripping up GREENBELT LAND and Leave the boundaries to the villages alone

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Because I dont think that the infrastructure in this area is adequate for the plans proposed

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Facilities in Burtonwood are not adequate for the existing residents and the roads cannot cope during peak times. Difficulties getting appointments at local doctors.Public transport is limited.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am unaware of how you have assessed the options so I am unable to make an informed judgement

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Once again unaware of how this has been assessed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Feels the expansion will to rapid

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

this I feel will be an improvement in the area

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Burtonwood I feel is not suitable for the planned development

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Omega site has caused nothing but chaos in the surrounding area, the infrastructure should have been in place prior to the development. As you will be aware this is now being changed to accommodate the increased pressure.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I cannot see the correlation between the statistics and the number of homes allocated to the various areas. In my area (Croft), How was the figure of 60 arrived at ?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It appears to me that the statistics being quoted are incorrect and out of date. For example :- It states that there is a Convenience store in Croft. There isn't. Any new inhabitants would have to visit neighbouring areas in order to access facilities.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We already know that large swathes of Green Belt will be required for HS2, for upgrading of the M6 and the modification of Junction 22. It would appear that the loss of this land has not been taken into account in any calculations concerning the village of Croft.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I agree in principle but have reservations concerning the loss of Green belt.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What's all this about City ? Warrington is a Town.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Garden City ? as in Welwyn Garden City ? Wide avenues, green open spaces, Tree lined roads ? I hope so.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous answers

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Hopefully Brownfield sites.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This Plan is for the next 20 years. It doesn't have to happen at once. Do it in stages.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not believe that new housing is needed in Great Sankey, there are many developments that have already been made which added. The development option on Friends Lane is in a green belt zone and on flood plains.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is a green belt zone ! no employment land is needed

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No this is incorrect , i do not believe any green belt should be used for housing in Great Sankey

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

NO

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

this needs reassessing

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Didn't see any projection on where these jobs will come from. How does this compare to the surrounding towns that will pull jobs to their direction. If the job planning and made up statistics are like the Road Planning - then these made up statistics don't bear a relationship to reality. Will WBC and Council members put their jobs on the line for signing off on junk statistics once proven retrospectively - as this is what is being used to force through the plans to decimate green belt

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The road planning sucks , how can we have any confidence in WBC ability to calculate jobs and housing required. What stops other towns from playing Tug-o-war to pull these jobs back to them.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have no Road Plan. All the Green belt should be safeguarded. Use up the Brown sites first and accelerate the release of Brown sites currently in use - use these first. Garden City is a joke - you will cover green belt in houses and roads. We don't want a City.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached PDF

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached PDF

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See attached PDF

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

What an utter disaster.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See the PDF - WBC has no Road Strategy and no expertise to implement it. Also Warrington is a TOWN - it is not a City and we don't want it to be one.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See PDF - WBC has not effective Road Strategy

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice. Garden City is a poor joke for destroying a green belt area of outstanding beauty

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, see attached PDF. Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice. Garden City is a poor joke for destroying a green belt area of outstanding beauty

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, see attached PDF. Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, see attached PDF. Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, see attached PDF. Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice. There is no room for more dwellings when the current road systems do not work.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I answer NO because it is definitely not yes and because there are 50% more words in the 47 documents than exist in War And Peace as confirmed by word count. WBC have deliberately obfuscated the whole planning process to deter readers from understanding and following the progress.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, see attached PDF. Warrington has no effective and professional Road Strategy that involves and implements proper professional Change Management Best Practice. Garden City is a poor joke for destroying a green belt area of outstanding beauty

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We appreciate there is a requirement to develop new property within warrington, however the complete lack of planning around the infrastructure to support this and the associated disruption it will cause to existing residents appears to have been overlooked

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The nature of business and employment/commuting is changing rapidly as the Internet expands. Town Centre shopping continues to decline and Town Centres need to re-invent or die. Your own report shows Warrington has a lower population growth than the UK so the level of housing need is less. Fundamentally the housing location is contrary to the transport infrastructure and the sites of new commercial growth leading to massive stress on an already failing transport infrastructure

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes- refer to previous answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The approach is completely the wrong way round. The approach appears to be led by the wishes of Developers i.e. your call for sites rather than an approach which aligns the housing to the strengths of the transport infrastructure - roads, rail and bus. The proposed release of greenbelt will result in a massive increase on the pressure on the road network which is already straining and the developments south of the ship canal are remote from the rail network. Your own Transport Report identifies a significantly higher car ownership than nationally. The new developments on the south side will increase cars by at least 2 vehicles per household much greater than the Warrington average. Increasing road users will result in gridlock of the already hotspots of Stockton Heath, Latchford, Bridgefoot. Proposed new strategic roads will attract rat runs of traffic caught in the daily M6 congestion creating yet more frequent gridlocks on the A50. Increased traffic will increase environmental pollution - noise, air and light

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The options appear limited and reflect the developers desires rather than the options for development that best match the transport infrastructure My previous comments also apply

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The approach is completely the wrong way round. The approach appears to be led by the wishes of Developers i.e. your call for sites rather than an approach which aligns the housing to the strengths of the transport infrastructure - roads, rail and bus. The proposed release of greenbelt will result in a massive increase on the pressure on the road network which is already straining and the developments south of the ship canal are remote from the rail network. Your own Transport Report identifies a significantly higher car ownership than nationally. The new developments on the south side will increase cars by at least 2 vehicles per household much greater than the Warrington average. Increasing road users will result in gridlock of the already hotspots of Stockton Heath, Latchford, Bridgefoot. Proposed new strategic roads will attract rat runs for traffic caught in the daily M6 congestion creating yet more frequent gridlocks on the A50. Increased traffic will increase environmental pollution - noise, air and light

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Plan provides an ideal opportunity to completely reinvent and redefine the City with a ambitious vision for the future 2050 and beyond which will be dramatically different from today as the pace of new technology, the internet, shopping etc rapidly changes. The Plan appears to be based on the assumptions of TODAY rather than a vision of the future

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Plan provides an ideal opportunity to completely reinvent and redefine the City with a ambitious vision for the future 2050 and beyond which will be dramatically different from today as the pace of new technology, the internet, shopping etc rapidly changes. The Plan appears to be based on the assumptions of TODAY rather than a vision of the future

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Fundamentally this area is remote from rail links and the road network is already under severe pressure being boxed in by the ship canal, M6 and M56. Clearly the area is very desirable with a significantly higher car ownership and commuting percentage > 74% which is already above the UK average. The schools are very popular and are already bursting with numbers. Further development will overload an already fragile infrastructure. The approach is completely the wrong way round. The approach appears to be led by the wishes of Developers i.e. your call for sites rather than an approach which aligns the housing to the strengths of the transport infrastructure - roads, rail and bus. The proposed release of greenbelt will result in a massive increase on the pressure on the road network which is already straining and the developments south of the ship canal are remote from the rail network. Your own Transport Report identifies a significantly higher car ownership than nationally. The new developments on the south side will increase cars by at least 2 vehicles per household much greater than the Warrington average. Increasing road users will result in gridlock of the already hotspots of Stockton Heath, Latchford, Bridgefoot. Proposed new strategic roads will attract rat runs

of traffic caught in the daily M6 congestion creating yet more frequent gridlocks on the A50 Increased traffic will increase environmental pollution - noise, air and light

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

My previous comments about any development south of the Ship Canal apply. The SW urban extension will move existing motorway gridlock into the Town Centre which is already under pressure

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

My previous comments about the lack of a long term vision of a new technology world apply

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Plan and its Objectives are based on some fundamental flaws. The Plan needs to reflect a world in 30 years which will be very different from today. the pace of change is dramatic. The Plan is based on Developer needs (call for sites) rather than an integrated strategic plan which builds on the strengths of the core transport infrastructure - rail links/stations , M6, M62, M56.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You do not seem to have given any consideration to residents who will be affected by the major road going through Latchford, Thelwall and Grappenhall. Which ever route it takes through these areas some property will be demolished and residents left near to it will have their quality of life changed. The increased noise and air pollution could lead to health issues. The route is not certain yet but it does not take much imagination to see where it will go (see the blue line on your map). I could not see any reference to issues in regard to compensation etc to those losing their house or those living near to the new road. I am aware that exact figures could not be given at this time but how you would arrive at a settlement would have been helpful.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed new road through Latchford, Thelwall and Grappenhall.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many houses and light industry on green field sites.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

New road proposed through Latchford, Thelwall and Grappenhall.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not on green field sites.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No Comment but lots of ideas.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No Comment but lots of ideas.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No, devastation of the environment and urban sprawl is not good.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No Comment but lots of ideas.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No Comment but lots of ideas.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No, HS2 or 3 are not coming to Warrington now.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I HATE Cities. If I did want to live in a city I would move to a city!

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Urban sprawl and pollution.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

UK's largest Traffic jam

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It goes against what Green belt was designed for: The stated objectives of green belt policy are to: Protect natural or semi-natural environments; Improve air quality within urban areas; Ensure that urban dwellers have access to countryside, with consequent educational and recreational opportunities; and Protect the unique character of rural communities that might otherwise be absorbed by expanding suburbs.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We are negotiating our exit from Europe and since the plan was first proposed HS2 & 3 have been diverted away from our area, where exactly are these businesses going to serve.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough information has been released into the public domain in my opinion regarding this.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The last page should say ha ha got you, April Fool!!!This PDO makes a mockery of democracy. To let slip (by accident) the whole proposal, you give no one enough time to digest what was been proposed. the consultation process was run by people who had no answers to concerned STAKEHOLDERS.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington needs green spaces too many homes built already .congestion terrible

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

warrington full up

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

too much green belt lost

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

don't develop

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

who wants to be living in a city

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

make it sound nice. green fields better than city suburb

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

they have two illegal sights already in south warrington

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

no fracking

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst the need for additional homes is clear, the basis on which the number has been calculated appears to be outdated. There are a lack of alternative assumptions to the proposed numbers with no clarity on how this number should flex based on future economic need. The desire to turn Warrington into a city appears to be at the expense of considering the need for Warrington to become a city? There appears to be little consideration of the role that technology will play in shaping future employment and therefore housing and employment land need. Furthermore there appears to be little consideration of the potential economic impact of Brexit, which is unlikely to be clear for a number of years. It would be irresponsible to sanction such a significant investment in housing and infrastructure when there is no clear view of future condominium need in a post Brexit environment

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is little evidence available that outlines what consideration has been made for regeneration and use of brown field sites before identifying the amount of green belt land available on which to build housing and employment land. Whilst the land outlined in the plans may well be able to physically house the proposed development, there appears to be little or no consideration of the impact that the associated additional traffic congestion will have as a result of increased population and little evidence that there will be the free space to build the required transport infrastructure to be able to cope with such volume without significant impact on the existing network and surrounding accommodation

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is little evidence of the consideration of regeneration of brown field sites as an alternative to freeing up green belt. Even if it is not feasible, there is little evidence presented to demonstrate what options have been considered and why they have been discounted

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst it is acknowledged that there is a general need for additional housing in the U.K., there is no clear vision as to why this plan has been developed as the preferred option. The consultation process has been cynically opened during a period of holiday where many residents and their representatives have little time to review and challenge the proposals. As a resident in Grappenhall who has the potential to be directly impacted by the proposals, I find it staggering that the council has felt it unnecessary to actively bring these plans to the attention of the public. There has been no communication with potentially affected residents, even to the extent that the original consultation plan did not include a meeting held in the villages directly impacted by the proposals. It was only after significant public pressure that a session was set up to be held at Stretton, which shows a staggering disregard for local residents

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is little evidence of the any alternative options being presented or why these options have have been discounted in favour of the preferred option. For example, a proposed strategic road over the site of the old Transpennine Trail has been stuck on a map with no evidence of why that should be considered the most appropriate option and no evidence of alternative routes having been considered and subsequently discounted

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As per previous comments, little evidence of the alternative solutions, pros and cons of the alternatives or rationale for them being discounted. No evidence whatsoever of the impact of increased traffic congestion and how the area will cope, including how traffic flow and increased congestion into the centre of Warrington will be managed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears to have been little consideration of the impact on existing infrastructure and public services that the proposed development will have. Public Services are stretched and seeing cuts in funding e.g. Warrington hospital, schools etc so it is difficult to see how these services can be retained at the same time that additional services required by the PDO are introduced (including at least 4 additional new schools). The social impact that this would have appears to have been ignored or considered irrelevant. As for infrastructure, the congestion experienced today when there are (regular) accidents on M6, with drivers diverting off down A50 into central Warrington is only going to be exacerbated by the additional traffic flow from additional housing/employment land and with no consideration for how central Warrington roads will be developed accordingly, this appears to be a very ill-thought through proposal

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears to have been little consideration of the impact on existing infrastructure and public services that the proposed development will have. Public Services are stretched and seeing cuts in funding e.g.

Warrington hospital, schools etc so it is difficult to see how these services can be retained at the same time that additional services required by the PDO are introduced (including at least 4 additional new schools). The social impact that this would have appears to have been ignored or considered irrelevant. As for infrastructure, the congestion experienced today when there are (regular) accidents on M6, with drivers diverting off down A50 into central Warrington is only going to be exacerbated by the additional traffic flow from additional housing/employment land and with no consideration for how central Warrington roads will be developed accordingly, this appears to be a very ill-thought through proposal

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears to be little consideration or evidence of consideration of the impact that future technology will play in employment trends and therefore requirement for employment land. There is also little consideration of likely employment migration as a result of Brexit, the impact of which will be unknown for a number of years. With so many uncertainties around the impact on business, it is hard to see how any robust assumptions can be made in the requirement for employment land

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unable to comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unable to comment

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What are the alternatives and why have they been discounted in favour for the PDO. What consideration has been given to the impact on the transport infrastructure, not just within the development area but its links beyond the development area e.g. In central Warrington. Why is there such a significant impact on green belt and other protected areas such as Transpennine Trail and why is it felt necessary to impact these areas instead of alternative brownfield and more remote locations

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think that whilst you are doing well by looking into planning the future I don't think the distribution of potential growth areas is aligned with the current and potential infrastructure to support it, and to give a better balance to areas around the town.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I can see you've looked a reasonable densities for available land, but not sure how you've forecast the true future demand and over what period that will materialise

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You don't show visually what the extent of the existing green belt is and therefore not sure how considered your plan is in terms of green belt usage

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Objectives yes, but detailed plan no

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think far too much emphasis is on the large garden area in the South East which will have the largest challenge for infrastructure capacity (mainly roads) to let it function. This area should be smaller and other areas should be developed more, especially those where planned infrastructure will support earlier (eg. Western relief road)

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not sure why further thought about mixed use and housing development around Omega and Burtonwood hasn't been explored more, as that was the original idea around Omega, and that area would allow new infrastructure to be developed more easily

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previous comments, too much focus on South East area, should be better spread, (North and West)

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, good and well thought with new relief road

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As before, too large! Also not enough road infrastructure to support it, especially to the North, and already bounded by M-ways to East and South.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Could be slightly large to reduce reliance on South East Garden Area

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Seems that the true possibility of doing more to the North hasn't been fully considered. Should more be planned around Omega, as there is plenty of land

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, but it could be in other areas too

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Clearer details about education, health, and social facilities. These often get raised but then watered down once a commercial or residential development starts.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm unclear why a higher demand for houses above the minimum requirement has been assumed

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would like to understand whether all land within built up areas has been maximised when calculating the number of homes, in particular the density per hectare calculation. I would also like to understand whether all 'brown field' sites becoming available over the next 20 years have been taken into account

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think that too much green belt land has been planned to be released to the detriment of the area. The use of green belt land should not be used in exceptional circumstances and this doesn't seem to meet this criteria.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree with strategic objectives W1 in relation to making Warrington a new city and why a minimum of 22,260 homes need to be delivered

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think you have under estimated the impact of the proposed south garden suburb on the impacted areas. It will significantly change the character of the area and destroy its heritage and history. Equally, there is no detailed traffic plan and a development of this size will significantly impact the existing network of roads

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think you have over estimated the development needs of Warrington and will actually make it a less desirable place for people to live by ruining the the natural countryside within it

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The main issue with the city centre is congestion and I don't see an adequate plan for dealing with this

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This would completely destroy the character of the area, countryside would be ruined to the detriment of all Warrington residents and no detailed plan has been included on the impact of the roads and traffic

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't understand enough about this area to be able to agree

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is already congested with its proximity to the M6. New building on a vast scale will not only add to this but also pollution and the loss of greenbelt land which makes South Warrington such an attractive place to live. Many visitors have commented on the amount of green spaces. the proposed building plans would destroy this habitat for future generations. People would no longer choose to live here. Why is so much focus on South Warrington with minimal expansion to the North?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

These plans are fantasy as we do not have enough skilled people in the UK to build all these homes and if our infrastructure is so poor which companies would move here to create your over-optimistic job creation?

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

To destroy so much needed farm land and only leave a few pockets of greenery is not an urban city but a carbuncle on the Cheshire countryside.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Some affordable housing is needed but not such grandiose ambitions.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your lack of consultation is breathtaking and an insult to local democracy.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Perhaps the political leanings of South Warrington have influenced your decision to punish this area but it is so noticeable that you are protecting your electorate in the North.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Modest expansion is all we can accommodate until the traffic, bridge and infrastructure problems have been addressed including health provision.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If building is on brown field site, go ahead but Warrington's traffic issues are a disgrace.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Brownfield building only.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Complete fantasy and hubris by councillors aiming to achieve city status at the expense of neighbourhoods and greenbelt which will be lost forever.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No idea due to poor consultations.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have no idea what this means!

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I thought there was enough at Birchwood and Burtonwood already.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No idea or information on this.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

What do you mean?

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with releasing green belt to meet housing and employment needs when the future of Fiddlers Ferry is not known and in section 5.3 of the Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation July 2017 it states that major brownfield sites could be unlocked with infrastructure improvement.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why hasn't Fiddlers Ferry been considered and the brownfield sites referred to in section 5.3.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with green belt release for housing and employment as an extension of the Appleton Thorn estate. Firstly the future of Fiddlers Ferry is not known and the consultation documents refers to brown field sites which have not been included in the plan (section 5.3). Secondly Warrington should not be giving away valuable green belt land to distribution jobs as stated in section 2.17. With 15 years experience in this industry I can say with certainty that the jobs it provides are generally low paid, insecure and easily replaced with automation which are not the types of jobs we should be targeting for our residents. If we are giving away our green belt we need to be targeting skilled employment opportunities for the current and future residents of Warrington.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with green belt release for housing and employment as an extension of the Appleton Thorn estate. Firstly the future of Fiddlers Ferry is not known and the consultation documents refers to brown field sites which have not been included in the plan (section 5.3). Secondly Warrington should not be giving away valuable green belt land to distribution jobs as stated in section 2.17. With 15 years experience in this industry I can say with certainty that the jobs it provides are generally low paid, insecure and easily replaced with automation which are not the types of jobs we should be targeting for our residents. If we are giving away our green belt we need to be targeting skilled employment opportunities for the current and future residents of Warrington.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with green belt release for an extension of the Appleton Thorn estate targeting distribution jobs. Warrington should not be giving away valuable green belt land to distribution jobs as stated in section 2.17.

With 15 years experience in this industry I can say with certainty that the jobs it provides are generally low paid, insecure and easily replaced with automation which are not the types of jobs we should be targeting for our residents. If we are giving away our green belt we need to be targeting skilled employment opportunities for the current and future residents of Warrington.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no mention of planning for the elderly residents of Warrington. We know that people are living longer and that dementia is going to affect a great number of us. I am currently self employed and a third of my customers are single (usually after the death of their spouse), retired but living in 3/4 bedroomed family homes and reliant on private transport. Recently a customer moved to the south east of England to a retirement village which catered specifically for retired people whether married or single, in good health or poor health. My customers are staying in their homes which by their own admission are too large and too expensive for them because there is no viable option for them. Has consideration been made for a retirement village with both private and social housing? This kind of development on green belt land would be easier to understand rather than the current housing proposals.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whoever worked out how much can be accommodated in the Warrington South area obviously doesn't live in Warrington or have to travel on the local road or motorway network at busy times!

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You should reduce the % green belt destruction from previous years as there is less available now due to previous destruction. Also, because the amount of brown belt land available increases as more regeneration options become available, the proportion of green belt needed should reduce, not stay the same as the past.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand the need for additional housing and commend the intention to grow Warrington with further employment opportunities. However, I am at an absolute loss at understanding why you are so intent on destroying so much Green belt land. I moved to Warrington only 15 years and chose it specifically because of the this. I think the idea of a garden suburb in an area that already grid locks regularly is ridiculous. The motorway junctions are already at capacity and the M6 is regularly crawling past J20. When Therwell viaduct is closed, the whole areas South of Warrington is totally gridlocked for the whole day. In addition, there is no train line anywhere near south Warrington and the local road network will just not cope, regardless of how many bus routes are created. This will limit peoples interest in the area and drive prices up in the North of town but down in the South. I don't understand why most of the proposed development is in the land-locked south of the ship canal. I believe there are lots of brown belt land available now or in the near term which should be considered and planned for prior to any more green belt being destroyed. Fiddlers Ferry power station has had a brief reprieve until 2019 but its very doubtful its life will continue beyond then and regardless, the government has already stated all coal powered stations will be closed before 2025. This year, we experienced the first day with zero electricity generated by coal. This will only increase month on month now and if the council doesn't plan longer term here, we will destroy a huge amount of green belt and then have brown belt sat derelict for years.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand the need for additional housing and commend the intention to grow Warrington with further employment opportunities. However, I am at an absolute loss at understanding why you are so intent on destroying so much Green belt land. I moved to Warrington only ■ years and chose it specifically because of the this. I think the idea of a garden suburb in an area that already grid locks regularly is ridiculous. The motorway junctions are already at capacity and the M6 is regularly crawling past J20. When Therwell viaduct is closed, the whole areas South of Warrington is totally gridlocked for the whole day. In addition, there is no train line anywhere near south Warrington and the local road network will just not cope, regardless of how many bus routes are created. This will limit peoples interest in the area and drive prices up in the North of town but down in the South. I don't understand why most of the proposed development is in the land-locked south of the ship canal. I believe there are lots of brown belt land available now or in the near term which should be considered and planned for prior to any more green belt being destroyed. Fiddlers Ferry power station has had a brief reprieve until 2019 but its very doubtful its life will continue beyond then and regardless, the government has already stated all coal powered stations will be closed before 2025. This year, we experienced the first day with zero electricity generated by coal. This will only increase month on month now and if the council doesn't plan longer term here, we will destroy a huge amount of green belt and then have brown belt sat derelict for years.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Appleton Thorn / Ballycastle industrial estate is already too busy and the local roads, very dangerous. I've recently take 15 minutes to get from the estate to the M6 J20 with the queue of traffic extending all the way at snail pace between the two. I would only support extending the use of this industrial estate if extra slip road provision on the M56 could be made.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More development to the West of Warrington to accompany the Fiddlers Ferry regeneration area and also more development to the East of the M6 at junction 21. Maybe considering a new link road from M6 J21 to M62 J11 at Birchwood thus create a better access into Birchwood and resolving the bottleneck at Croft interchange (which continues to have millions of pounds spent on it with no improvement evident).

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Jobs do not equate directly to homes, a lot of people who live in Warrington work elsewhere and vice versa. so your calculation is flawed. Also putting your main employment land on the M6 and M56 junction means it will be easily accessible for people living outside Warrington so Warrington people will not benefit from the increased jobs created, and we also will not need to so many houses as the people working are likely to come from outside the town. We don't know what the future holds but if you plan for a large increase in employment land then the town may grow even bigger. However, Bridgetoot roundabout is not going to get any better. so you should plan for growth smaller than any of your three options as the town cannot cope with the current traffic let alone expanding the suburbs.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is the right thing to do to concentrate this type of development within the existing built up area, but i doubt the figures and if true Warrington town centre is already too congested.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

given the assumptions you have made a i have not reason to doubt your calculations, but i question your assumptions.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, the objectives are fine but unachievable, you cannot reinforce the character of the countryside by building houses on it! you could minimise the impact on the environment by adopting lower growth estimates.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree that safeguarding the character of the villages is a particularly important consideration when it means that development land on the edge of the villages is ignored in favour of huge extension to the urban area in the SE of Warrington. The key garden suburb development also relies on putting a road through Grappenhall, so you are blighting one part of the town to safeguard the character of the villages. i don't agree with that approach.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree that Warrington employment will grow at anything like the rates experienced in the last 20 years or even your lower number, Warrington doesn't have the infrastructure to support such large increases in employment land and houses. Besides putting the employment land by the M6 M56 junction will mean many of the people who work that will not live in Warrington so we don't need so many houses. it is also a bit circular, you calculate how much housing you need to support an assumed growth in jobs, you then need to provide the land to accommodate the new employment. The obvious answer is not to allocate so much employment land meaning you don't need so much housing.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your video says this will only be possible if you provide a wide range of new infrastructure. One of the key bits of infrastructure is the proposed road along the route of the Trans Pennine Trail. This would have (and is already having) a very serious impact on the residents of Grappenhall, destroying a national cycle path and replacing it will either high level road or, if you remove the embankment, a massive engineering project in a very dense urban area. Your plan is clear and it is the only new road in the area. yet when i went to the public consultation one of your staff said it was a mistake and it was just the consultant's idea and not what he would do. Another one told me i was twisting his words when i said the council was proposing a road. He said it just indicated that there needed to be a high level crossing of the MSC and it just demonstrated an option. Yes an option, the only option, so it is a clear proposal. This road is only needed to accommodate a massive expansion of Warrington that is not needed. The plan is clear and is now blighting many homes, would you buy a house next to a place where the Borough Council has published a plan (not the your consultant's, these are WBC's plans) showing the route of a new road right next to it. One of your staff was amazed that a house sale had fallen through, how naive, of course house sales will fall through, it doesn't need to be on the local searches to stop people buying a house in Grappenhall when the council has published a plan with a new road along the Trans-Pennine Trail. If the garden city suburb is dependant of such a road then it should not be built and development should be directed to the villages or areas to the west and north which would not require such a road through an existing housing area. You also need to train your transport officers in dealing politely with the public.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

i am sure you can increase these areas without destroying the area in the way you are proposing to destroy Grappenhall with a road along the Trans Pennine Trail. you said you didn't want to increase the infrastructure to allow an increase in the villages, but you will need to build a massive amount of new infrastructure to accommodate the garden suburb so why not direct that infrastructure into the villages so they can benefit from it and the villages can expand?

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your plan demonstrates that in order to accommodate the the new employment land we need a massive increase in housing and that that is not sustainable and needs a lot of new infrastructure including a road on the Trans Pennine Trail. therefore if you don't allocate as much employment land then you don't need the houses. and as the employment land is next to the M6 junction most people who work there won't live in Warrington anyway, so again you don't need so many houses.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No proposed road on the Trans Pennine Trail and a much smaller garden suburb, oh and stop calling it a garden suburb, it is just an expansion of Warrington into the countryside and everyone knows that, no one is fooled by a such a pretentious name.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation July 2017 As a resident of [REDACTED] years in the village of Appleton Thorn, I recognise and appreciate the beauty and appeal of living in a semi-urban green environment with immediate access to beautiful countryside, and at the same time the proximity to an excellent motorways network and two major national cities. I live here because I love here. My young family will also enjoy the benefit of living in a facilitative and rewarding location. I understand completely therefore the reasons for further substantial development as outlined in the Preferred Development Option on Regulation 18 Consultation. As a headmaster of a local independent school, I will benefit in time from the increased population and local affluence, which further provides positive support for the proposals. I ask you however to seek the very best quality for the New City that you envisage, seek beauty in its creation and build a lasting legacy worthy of economic, environmental and aesthetic satisfaction. Only then will the aims of the proposals truly be fulfilled. Please do not compromise your ideals. Yours sincerely [REDACTED]

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam Preferred Development Option Regulation 18 Consultation July 2017 As a resident of [REDACTED] years in the village of Appleton Thorn, I recognise and appreciate the beauty and appeal of living in a semi-urban green environment with immediate access to beautiful countryside, and at the same time the proximity to an excellent motorways network and two major national cities. I live here because I love here. My young family will also enjoy the benefit of living in a facilitative and rewarding location. I understand completely therefore the reasons for further substantial development as outlined in the Preferred Development Option on Regulation 18 Consultation. As a headmaster of a local independent school, I will benefit in time from the increased population and local affluence, which further provides positive support for the proposals. I ask you however to seek the very best quality for the New City that you envisage, seek beauty in its creation and build a lasting legacy worthy of economic, environmental and aesthetic satisfaction. Only then will the aims of the proposals truly be fulfilled. Please do not compromise your ideals. Yours sincerely [REDACTED]

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Local Plan review and the Council's initial Local Plan 'evidence base' was formulated between 24th October and 5th December 2016 with an input of 78 responses mainly from developers and landowners as a trawl for land. Other responses were also received from Parish Councils, local residents and other stakeholders. This indicates that the plan is property / land speculator led and NOT community led from Warrington's citizens + ratepayers. Its prime purpose appears to be land speculation; not environmental quality or community led. The PLDP document is an imbalanced report in that whilst it says much about south Warrington 'development', it says virtually nothing about how it intends to improve the urban environment in north Warrington (including the town centre) which has significantly deteriorated over the years – a top priority. Any Local Plan should focus on improving quality, bringing the town's communities together and not accommodating urban sprawl. The quality of consultation with the public in relation to the PLDP has not been satisfactory such that the draft proposals give the appearance of being set in stone as a fait accompli to be tinkered with. The public have not been consulted upon the framing of any plan aims, principles, values or proposals for Warrington. The public have been put in the negative position solely as objectors rather than as contributors to the purposes and aims of the plan. Warringtonians need to be fully informed as to the precise and transparent procedures of public consultation, government guidance on this issue, WBC policies and as to how and by whom the consultations, comments and contributions will be independently assessed for the benefit the quality of life and urban environment for citizens. The Local Plan Review provides limited, if any details regarding how traffic management and the road networks will be updated to accommodate the massive increase in traffic that will accompany any increase in houses. Most significantly however, we are concerned about the way the Local Plan has been worked out to give little consideration to the people of Warrington who already live here. The council's drive to create a City shows a complete lack of understanding of what the majority of Warringtonians need and want.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The 'evidence' base and assumptions of the plan are difficult to access for interrogation. Due to the limited information available it is an assumption that the 'Wider Urban Area' illustrated on the preferred development option (grey area on map) states an existing supply of 4,869 homes. The Council are therefore proposing to squeeze 6,324 new homes, 4 primary schools, 1 secondary school, a new health facility, sports and leisure pitches, new country park, new district park & 3 local centres into the 'Garden City Suburb' Green Belt land released. There is no justification or explanation as to how this will be possible in such a limited amount of space, with no details of the huge traffic / transport implications for Warrington provided in the PLDP as there are no detailed traffic models to illustrate how an already overloaded road network will cope with existing

roads and routes experiencing increased traffic congestion and pollution e.g. noise. Consequently, it is hard to comment on how the numbers have been worked out as there appears little logical justification that underlies how they have been working out! Furthermore, in the absence of any traffic management planning (a crucial component) it seems pointless to comment on the suitability of how the number of homes and amount of employment land have been worked out, as in reality without developing the road infrastructure first, any new housing population will simply create even more intense traffic congestion and pollution throughout an already congested town. This will completely negate any benefit which might accrue from the proposed western by-pass to the town center.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The main comment relates to a complete lack of justification behind the councils proposal to release so much Green Belt land for development, which will result in a significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland and the rural character that makes up the town of Warrington. What determines 'poorly performing' Green Belt land? The information provided by the Council in support of this plan offers no explanation with regards to on what grounds it is 'poorly performing'. To state that once the Green Belt boundaries have been revised through the Local Plan Review they will be protected for at least 40 years, is cold comfort as the majority of Warrington's irreplaceable Green Belt will have already been lost as a consequence of this review. The Local Plan Review provides limited, if any details regarding how traffic management and the road networks will be updated to accommodate the massive increase in traffic that will accompany this number of houses. Should a road traffic plan not be developed, approved and implemented before any Local Plan review for housing within Warrington? The land that has been safeguarded by the PLDP is a minute space in comparison to what we currently have on our doorstep, consequently what is proposed will result in:

- Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character.
- Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.
- Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.
- Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolition
- Rural lane character under threat.
- Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.
- Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington

The fact that a country park has been created to replicate the feeling of green space, is in itself illustrative of the fact that the amount of land to be safeguarded is inadequate.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

NO. Warrington can only be extended so far. People come to Warrington from Liverpool or Manchester as they don't want to live in a city the council Local Plan Review seems to want Warrington to prosper at the

expense of the green belt. There is a great concern that development is taking far greater precedence in south Warrington resulting in the complete decimation of the green belt. The draft proposals for Warrington would require more disruptive road widening and engineering works to accommodate increased traffic, with the north to south traffic movement likely to become considerably increased with significant pressure on the rural lanes of south Warrington and on protected Heritage Assets on the Bridgewater Canal which will most likely 'require' their demolition or significant alteration; the new local plan presents a significant threat to the rural landscape, heritage assets and lanes of south Warrington that will be hugely destructive. The 'evidence' base and assumptions of the plan are difficult to interrogate and the plan's 'evidence' justification appears to be presented by commercial/ business consultancies, suggesting the plan is a business venture for WBC without a substantiated social and environmental basis for the people of Warrington.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a resident I am not aware of any clear documented basis to justify how the spatial options have been determined and subsequently assessed (other than the commercial/ business justification presented in the plan's 'evidence'). A fair assessment of the process that WBC went through to 'assess' the different spatial options from the perspective of Warrington's citizens + ratepayers does not appear readily available. Again, it simply appears that plan places greater precedence on property / land speculators at the expense of the residents living in north Warrington and near the green belt. The PLDP is about quantity and NOT quality for Warrington as an integrated town. It hardly refers to Warrington north of the Manchester Ship Canal where quality of urban design, street-scape and open space should be top priority. The town and inner urban areas are in dire need of very high quality urban design which respects Warrington's unique historic architectural quality. Over the years, development control, enforcement and highway works have done little to improve the quality and attractiveness of Warrington. The town centre should create pride and identity with Warrington.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The public have not been consulted upon the framing of ANY plan aims, principles, values or proposals for Warrington. The public have been put in the negative position solely as objectors rather than as contributors to the purposes and aims of the plan. The PLDP document is an imbalanced report in that whilst it says much about south Warrington 'development', it says virtually nothing about how it intends to improve the urban environment in north Warrington (including the town centre) which has significantly deteriorated over the years to a top priority. Any Local Plan should focus on improving quality, bringing the town's communities together and not accommodating urban sprawl. There is no detailed transportation / traffic / road infrastructure chapter in the report nor evidence of any computer modelling and analysis of the environmental, road widening or social impact of this 'development' plan throughout the borough. These are issues that will have a massive and potentially detrimental impact on us.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. The PLDP is about quantity and NOT quality for Warrington as an integrated town. It hardly refers to Warrington north of the Manchester Ship Canal where quality of urban design, street-scape and open space should be top priority. The town and inner urban areas are in dire need of very high quality urban design which respects Warrington's unique historic architectural quality. Over the years, development control, enforcement and highway works have done little to improve the quality and attractiveness of Warrington. The town centre should create pride and identity with Warrington. The land that has been safeguarded by the PLDP is a minute space in comparison to what we currently have on our doorstep, consequently what is proposed will result in:

- Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character.
- Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.
- Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.
- Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolition.
- Rural lane character under threat.
- Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.
- Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington.

Details of the huge traffic / transport implications for Warrington have been omitted from the PLDP because no detailed traffic computer models appear to have been tested for the road network. The implications for south Warrington, north Warrington, Latchford and west Thelwall are potentially disastrous. Existing roads and routes will experience increased traffic congestion and pollution e.g. noise. This is a crucial component without which the plan cannot be fully assessed.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WBC has not been able to demonstrate consistency in its architectural approach to Warrington as a town centre, with buildings of traditional historic character and scale mixed with the new mega-structures in the town centre that are destroying the traditional roof-scape, skyline and intimate urban grain of the town and have not contributed to local distinctiveness and attractiveness. Importantly, there are NO existing or proposed conservation areas indicated on PLDP for the 'City Centre'. The 'City Centre' indicates land-uses on numbered development parcels. Such a notation indicates that WCB views the town centre as 'speculative property development' parcels. City status will put pressure on Warrington Town Centre to demolish even more of Warrington's unique architectural heritage and replace it with more anodyne, characterless and clone-town buildings. Warrington is becoming a Mall Town in which property speculators now own extensive tracts of central Warrington including streets formerly in the public realm. Warrington town centre increasingly does not belong to Warrington.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

â€¢ Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments.â€¢ Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.â€¢ Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolitionâ€¢ Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of Warrington

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Green City suburbs of Warrington will merely function as suburbs to Liverpool, Manchester and Chester. They will essentially function as rate-income sources for WBC. The land that will be safeguarded by the PLDP is a minute space in comparison to what we currently have on our doorstep, consequently what is proposed will result in: â€¢ Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, woodland rural character.â€¢ Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.â€¢ Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.â€¢ Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolitionâ€¢ Rural lane character under threat.â€¢ Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.â€¢ Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

â€¢ Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character.â€¢ Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.â€¢ Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.â€¢ Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolitionâ€¢ Rural lane character under threat.â€¢ Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.â€¢ Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

â€¢ Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character.â€¢ Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.â€¢ Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.â€¢ Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolitionâ€¢ Rural lane character under threat.â€¢ Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.â€¢ Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. Because of the southern location of housing adjacent to the M56, it is likely that any new incoming 'residents' will most not be employed in Warrington per se and will not identify with the town; they will use the M56 + M62 to work elsewhere. The proposed extensive warehousing to be provided on the 'employment land' is unlikely to provide substantive employment for the incoming local professional classes and will be of limited benefit.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

In light of the poorly thought through planning proposals presented by WBC, which provide no detailed traffic computer modelling to test the road network and illustrate its suitability for the massive increase in cars that would accompany with this massive increase in houses; a review of the suggested approach to dealing with Gypsy and travellers and travelling showpeople is not a significant concern. A complete and significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character is something that does concern me and feel WBC should be focusing on!

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The approach to be taken in relation to Mineral and waste is of little significance if WBC continue to proceed with a PLDP that proposes

- Significant loss of Greenbelt, landscape, ancient woodland, and rural character.
- Loss, destruction and / or alteration of heritage assets and local village character and architectural distinctiveness e.g. listed buildings, ancient monuments, ancient woodland.
- Traffic congestion / transportation: result in intensive and destructive road works throughout ALL Warrington both north + south.
- Latchford + Bridgewater Canal listed bridges and under-pass under very severe threat of widening, alteration, demolition
- Rural lane character under threat.
- Poor consultation with residents to determine how best to use the greenbelt to support Warrington: residents not contributing to the principles of the PLDP.
- Lack of a detailed plan to preserve and enhance the urban quality of north Warrington

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no detailed transportation / traffic / road infrastructure chapter in the report nor evidence of any computer modelling and analysis of the environmental, road widening or social impact of this 'development' plan throughout the borough. Any traffic modelling for Warrington and its sub-region should take as its basis the existing physical capacity and quality of environment of the roads such that there will be NO congestion, road widening, road works, widening of existing bridges. In other, words, the existing roads, streets, lanes, bridges and junctions in Warrington should set the absolute limit to existing traffic flows and traffic capacity AND to any increase in the urban foot-print of Warrington. Without such a restricted urban capacity based plan, residents, councillors and decision makers will not be able to assess the PLDP because of such an important omission? Any proposed traffic model based on environmental and social parameters should be analysed and assessed by independent consultants. Any additional housing population introduced as a consequence of the PLDP will create even more intense traffic congestion and pollution throughout the town thereby negating any benefit which might accrue from the proposed western by-pass to the town centre. The existing roads and lanes should contribute to defining the absolute limits to urban 'growth'. There should be no more urban growth and 'development' around all Warrington in order to preserve and protect its green environs, rural character + setting. Alternatively, WBC should be presenting a detailed transportation / traffic / road infrastructure management plan for implementation over the next 10 years. ONLY once such a plan has

been successfully implemented across Warrington's already congested road network, should WBC even consider proposing any additional houses.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More houses means a greater strain on already stretched infrastructure. The Roads, for example, Rushgreen Road in Lymm is busy that any more traffic will result in greater safety risks for pedestrians & cyclists. More building work over several years will result in disruption for existing residents. we need investment in Lymm - yes, but certainly the development of more and more housing.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green Belt was originally designated for a reason - to preserve areas of the environment for the benefit of residents and visitors.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

plan puts unreasonable duress on existing infrastructure

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is already a huge amount of building around Warrington, green space needs to be preserved, who asked us did we want to be a city?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much green space is being used, traffic problems seem ignored, increase in pollution (noise, light, exhaust) is to be expected.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The land around Broad Lane will be destroyed. What a ridiculous concept the Garden City Suburb is. Area assigned to the park is too small. This is all unnecessary...just leave it as it is if you want a garden!

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Concentrate on smartening up what we already have in Warrington, not building more.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too little detail.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much burden on green belt land in South Warrington, particularly Grappenhall, Thelwall and Appleton.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please develop brown field sites fully, then areas to East of M6.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is good use of urban development.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too dense, not enough preservation of green belt and environments. No need for garden City suburb, leave area alone, it acts as a green space now with plenty of people using quiet roads for walking, cycling, bird watching etc.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is already too much capacity with plenty of unused units.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

These sections of our community need consideration.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have no expertise so have to accept your judgement.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Preserve more green space, extend further out so houses/employment land are not so dense.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

By the fact that the last plan was challenged in the High Court by developers shows that the need for new housing is being driven by people and speculators with vested interests and not the planning department. The new figures have shown to be over the top and should only be reviewed when Brexit becomes a reality

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

17000 properties can be built without touching green belt. The latest calculations have shown that this to be more than adequate. The only reason that WBC wants to vandalize green belt is because this is the place where developers can make some serious money

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The objectives are based on inadequate information. The fact that a traffic plan is still being formulated means that the very vague roads as shown on the pathetic excuse for a plan submitted by WBC have no design basis. The objective of becoming a City is laughable when WBC presides over a Town centre that is an eyesore at best.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As the PDO exceeds government criteria and guidelines I can only think that this is a vanity project by WBC with certain individuals hand in glove with developers

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Anything that enhances that area must be good for the town centre

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WE are a town not a city !! The loss and destruction of green belt, local village character where all the areas of Grappenhall, Appleton ,Pewterspear ,Stretton and Appleton Thorn are merged into one is nothing short of destruction of quality of life for the existing residents. Unless Warrington town centre suddenly becomes like Knutsford or Chester the people that will inhabit this housing estate will continue to view Warrington as a dormitory town

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Once again without a transport consultation I do not see how you can justify how much employment land you need. Last time I looked there were plenty of empty properties on Appleton Thorn trading estate. Also the impact of Brexit is unknown

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment. Irrelevant !!

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan should be scrapped in its entirety and a proper consultation process with the people of Warrington should be entered into

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe that you have cast a net too wide from the existing centres of villages particularly burtonwood. There are sufficient areas that bound the current village centre where both brownfield and green belt land exist. The criteria used should always be to build and release land adjacent to the existing populos enclave therby ensuring that sprawl does not exist. This will also allow services to be centred where required ie within the most populous areas. In other words gaps should not exist between housing developments. This would tehn allow greenbelt to continue to exist on the outskirts of Burtonwood village.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Agree that 150 houses should be built within Burtonwood village providing the criteria set out in my previous response is adhered to in order to prevent sprawl to outlying green belt areas.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Per my two previous commentaries.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If outlying areas of villages in green belt are to have land brought into development then it should only be available for very high quality housing to maintain the aesthetic of the green belt. This would also free up lower cost housing within the village centres.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If you go ahead with the motorway planned on the route of the old railway it means demolishing houses & disrupting families & especially pensioners, would'nt it be better to let them live their lives out in peace?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I've made my comments in the previous question.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm 100^ behind Warrington going forward

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We need more homes

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We are minutes away from the countryside. We need homes.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Great work.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Forward thinking.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Rather stay a Town

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It will be beautiful

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Beautiful

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Great option

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Excellent

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Our town needs more jobs.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Presentation to Public Consultation of a 56-page document that contains a lot of jargon and technical speak is not likely to result in real public opinion as so much of the text means little to anyone who does not regularly have cause to use or understand such jargon and technicality. Many of the maps are illegible on line and again many people find it difficult to read maps and visualise or assess impacts. I have no doubt the rationale for these calculations but as with most statistics it does depend what you are trying to achieve, much of this is driven by aspirations that may be far too extreme for most residents.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my response to previous question

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my previous comment

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not share all of the objectives of WBC, for example I do not aspire for Warrington to be a city. Loosing control to Central Government may not be a bad thing, I struggle to understand or agree that this plan is in the

best interests of the people of Warrington. It feels as though WBC are being led and steered by the Private Sector whose interests lie in Shareholder profit and not necessarily delivering benefits to the Town's residents. As presented I do not see those potentially conflicting view points being in balance.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As my previous comments

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst I see lots of numbers I fail to see how these options are really assessed, the assessments seem to be totally subjective and the selected options appear to be those that meet the answer WBC want rather than what residents want or need.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

How could I if I am unconvinced by the Warrington's future development needs as presented.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What City? Where are the benefits stated for this change and why spend money on a title rather than on vital infrastructure and services

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This Development seems almost totally dependent on the Western Link currently out for Public Consultation. The Public seem to be less than supportive and are questioning what they have been told about this Link and it's benefits. There are no fixed plans for this as it is dependent on a finalised route for the infrastructure that of course may not be built or are WBC trying to hold a gun to Governments head to get the funding? I am sceptical about this rail link to Port Warrington from the West Coast Mainline, and how that route might affect residents lives and sleep. Where does all the traffic (new residents and construction) from these developments that deliver in the next five years gain access? Certainly Forrest Way and Barnard street would be inadequate for access purposes, and add further congestion in Sankey Bridges and Sankey.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green Belt is there to improve our lives not something we should reduce without a fight, yet the plan seems to be prepared to roll over on such an important asset.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Is there really a business case for a new canal?

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Does the Plan adequately address the impacts of expansion in terms of vital services and infrastructure in these areas. We understand that at the highest level the Council may be working to understand the potential impacts for existing services and infrastructure, but I am not convinced that is translating to experience from a resident's point of view or to staff at lower levels in the Council

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My previous comments apply

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not really sure I can condone legalising what is currently illegal activity. I accept it may be the course of least resistance.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would feel more comfortable if I more fully understood and had been given more detail in this regard, eg the location of the proposed rail Waste Transfer Station and its impacts on residents. I would have expected to see something about fly tipping as I fear it will become a bigger issue as time goes by.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would have expected to see more about impacts and what is being done to ensure essential services will be improved and funded by these plans. There is very little on impacts of folk living longer and resultant impacts on essential services. Whilst I note existing infrastructure is being stretched it is not clear how 24,000 homes with their families and cars are really accommodated beyond a the proposed Western Link Road. I would like to see more about Hospitals, I note that the Warrington and Halton Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Summer publication available to all hospital users bemoans the lack of planning for improved Health care and better hospital provision. I feel there is insufficient assurance about the impacts on schools, emergency services, social and youth services, care for the elderly, impacts on our sewers and drains and impacts of the proposed development on flooding and areas currently designated as flood plain. Seems to be little about wildlife, birds, flora and fauna and the avoidance of invasive species.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is unclear as to how you have calculated the requirements for future residential, commercial and industrial needs for the next 20 years and beyond. Does the figure for new homes taken into consideration the number of new homes that will be required by residents displaced by the new developments where homes have been demolished.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is unclear as to how you have calculated how existing built up areas will be further developed. Does this include CPOs on current dwellings and commercial properties? The town's infrastructure is not coping adequately with the existing levels of transport: additional town centre developments will only add to the level of traffic.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is unclear as to how you have arrived at the acreage required. Is there a prescribed density of new build: does this vary from brown site, to green belt etc. or it is the same i.e. 30 / HA? It is unclear as to how you calculate the relative performance of green belt areas. Having worked in environmental management for 20 years, experts will always give differing analysis of the same statistics.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not in there entirety. According to you documents, all local plans must be subjected to rigorous procedures of community involvement. In Latchford the first we heard of the plans were after they had been published, so obviously this has not happened. With massive increases in volumes of traffic what ever happens, Latchford will require some form of Bye-pass due to the bottlenecking caused by swing-bridges. The development of a port in Warrington will only add further waterborne traffic to the Manchester Ship Canal and even more disruption to the traffic in S. Warrington. This may help improve Salford / Manchester's environmental footprint, but it will not improve Warrington's with further congestion, traffic jams and emissions.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

By choosing to put the largest development to the S.E of Warrington, you are increasing the traffic density in an area that is already very high. The traffic density also gets worse when there are problems on the M6, with traffic being diverted along the A50, through Latchford one way system, onto Kingsway, Winwick Road and onto the Junction 9 on the M62

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

By choosing to put the largest development to the S.E of Warrington, you are increasing the traffic density in an area that is already very high. The traffic density also gets worse when there are problems on the M6, with traffic being diverted along the A50, through Latchford one way system, onto Kingsway, Winwick Road and onto the Junction 9 on the M62

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

By choosing to put the largest development to the S.E of Warrington, you are increasing the traffic density in an area that is already very high. The traffic density also gets worse when there are problems on the M6, with traffic being diverted along the A50, through Latchford one way system, onto Kingsway via Winwick Road and onto the Junction 9 on the M62. The development of a port in Warrington will only add further waterborne traffic to the Manchester Ship Canal and even more disruption to the traffic in S. Warrington.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is unclear as to how you propose to cater for increase traffic density in the town centre that will obviously be generated by these proposed developments. An existing example of short sighted planning was allowing the siting of the Halliwell Jones Stadium at the bottom of Winwick Road on a site shared with Tesco Super Store. Match days bring even more pressure to bear on Winwick Road, which is the main route to and from the M62.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why does Warrington need a Port? It is unclear as to whether it is for loading or unloading freight. It will require its own specialised infrastructure to handle either of the above. If it is for loading for onward shipment to Salford docks, it will increase the traffic on the canal with the knock-on effect of the untimely use of swing-bridges and further traffic hold-ups throughout the working day.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

By choosing to put the largest development to the S.E of Warrington, you are increasing the traffic density in an area that is already very high. The traffic density also gets worse when there are problems on the M6, with traffic being diverted along the A50, through Latchford one way system, onto Kingsway via Winwick Road and onto the Junction 9 on the M62. This size of development will ultimately result in the loss of Green Belt Land. It is unclear as to how this area was chosen. Are the ARUP environmental assessments available to the public so that the decision can be scrutinised. It is unclear as to how you are going to get the new residents of this new

Garden City to work in the new local economy and not add to the already congested routes through the town. The development of a port in Warrington will only add further waterborne traffic to the Manchester Ship Canal and even more disruption to the traffic in S. Warrington.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again it is unclear as to why these areas have been chosen. Is it because developers have already carried out a land-grab and now want to turn their investment into profit. It is also unclear as to what sort of housing will be built e.g. Affordable, Housing Association properties, rentable, upmarket.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, what are the exceptional cases for acquiring green belt acreage

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand that there is a legal requirement to provide appropriate facilities for these people, but it has to be undertaken sympathetically so as not to impact on other local residents who have differing lifestyles and expectations.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington had a perfectly well operated landfill site at Sankey Bridges but local pressure was brought to bare to have the operation closed as it upset too many local residents. Our waste is now transferred to land fill sites located in other towns and upsetting them. We need to manage our own affairs and be self sufficient with adequate facilities located around the town. RDF / SRF energy from waste must be given due consideration, but this will be rejected on the NIMBY brigade. All excavated material and other dry construction / demolition wastes should be used wherever possible, but existing environmental legislation could restrict this. This has affected the likes of the utility companies who have wanted to back-fill excavations but cannot as the material excavated does not meet the criteria for the back-fill.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is the fear that these new plans will undermine what Warrington has become over the many decades, by knocking down existing communities, hoping that they will be replaced with new ones

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst sustainable growth is desirable and supported, I can see no evidential basis to support the proposed growth over the Plan period. The strategy of promoting exponential growth is inherently problematic with the policies of sustaining and enhancing the natural environment, particularly in the manner proposed in the Plan. The growth rates appear to bear no semblance to historic rates of growth or demand.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The development density applied for new homes needs careful explanation. It appears that the Local Planning Authority has materially overestimated the amount of land required to accommodate the identified need, in addition to exaggerating the identified need.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst some release of land from the Green Belt is inevitable, the Local Planning Authority does not appear to have explored fully the recycling of brown field sites and redundant or low value employment land in the Borough. Moreover, as noted, the release of land is predicated on unsustainable growth rates and low development densities.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

To a degree, but the objectives appear politically motivated (namely, a bid for City status) rather than sound town and country planning strategies.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The spatial options, quite frankly, appear amateurish. There has been a distinct lack of research on the options, particularly for the 'City Garden Suburb', in terms of delivery. The proposals are vague and languid. New development can bring about substantive benefits to the population; not least by opening up areas of land to public use for casual and formal recreation. The current options do not explore delivery mechanisms, nor do they create any distinct advantages for the existing citizenry of the town. In short, many of the options appear undeliverable and, as such, they run the risk that they may be partially delivered without community benefits.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would repeat that the exploration to intensify use in existing settlement boundaries has not been exhaustive. The assessment also appears predicated on a high growth scenario that has not been justified.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The development is space-hungry and squanderous of natural resources, without creation major benefits to the town. A more modular solution to planned growth may be more appropriate.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I support this Option.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As noted above, sustainable town extensions can bring about substantive benefits to the population in respect of opening areas for public use. The garden City Suburb is a very unsuccessful proposal that seems ill-conceived and amateurish in execution, and relies on land outwith the Council's control in order to be deliverable or beneficial to the area.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is wholly unsustainable and wholly unjustified.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Employment land should be accessible by a range of means of transport. The proposed employment land to the south of the town, whilst being commercially attractive, will inevitably encourage private car use.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You do not have the infrastructure to meet these targets and the use of green belt is unacceptable.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

These are using huge areas of green belt. Warrington does not have a good enough infrastructure for its current residents

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt should not be used. There are enough brown sites to fulfill these needs.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They are unrealistic and a contradiction to the climate agreement. Furthermore these will be built by private firms.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consideration to the lack of infrastructure

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Infrastructure not considered

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt should not be used

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It isn't a city. Try making it a very good town before worrying about the word that follows Warrington

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Further affecting the environment and wildlife

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is this restricted to one area!? id support the bus across the town.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not needed and I imagine is purely a form of collecting more council tax. The infrastructure is not good enough and under enough strain already

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Use of green belt not supported

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The jobs you bring are low skilled and cause more issues with the infrastructure. Junction 8 is a prime example. Nice to see the next generation of warehouse operators have lots of options.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They pay no tax, you won't collect green waste but you will clear their sites and provide much needed land for them to ruin further.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A complete upgrade of all existing infrastructure, sort the m62 bottleneck after junction 9

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is little support for Warrington to become a City apart from the Council. I have yet to meet anyone who wants Warrington to become a City. Councillors should listen to their residents on this topic.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are plenty of brownfield sites not included in this plan which could be developed. If you want new homes to house people, brownfield works. If you want to be led by money and developers, greenfield sites work. It is obvious where the Council's priorities are here.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Before new houses are built, you need to sort out chronic congestion, full schools, and full GP surgeries first. Then and only then can we look to expand.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am not a professional town planner and do not understand this phrase. Please use normal English for future surveys.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Ref R18/036. There are 7 separate owners of this parcel of land. Furthermore, there is an Agricultural Tie in favour of a further 3 separate parties which has at least another 10 years to run. Achieving agreement between all the 10 parties involved would be problematic.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See earlier answers.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Ref R18/036. There are 7 separate owners of this parcel of land. Furthermore, there is an Agricultural Tie in favour of a further 3 separate parties which has at least another 10 years to run. Achieving agreement between all the 10 parties involved would be problematic.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The road structure now is a nightmare and the infrastructure will NOT cope with more houses comes more vehicles

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Sheer common sense

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Common sense

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Common sense

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would first like to know where you get your figure of 24000 houses from and how you plan to manage the knock on effect of all these new homes. Schools? Hospitals? etc. Then the road infrastructure is at the limit as it is without all this new traffic which will be added to it.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Are you going to use GREENBELT land ?

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly Disagree!!!

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is not what the People of Warrington want.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't want to be a City

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not needed !!! Obliterating existing villages. It's just greedy developers making a killing

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I Don't believe we need so many

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe you could use more Brown sites

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Far too many houses for the local amenities to deal with and local transport systems already over capacity. unnecessary destruction of local rural environment which a main reason for choosing to live here.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't want to live in a city. I chose to live in a semi rural village aspect

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I get great pleasure and enjoyment from visiting the countryside in this area. The size of the proposed Garden City Suburb would completely change the character of the area and destroy its history and heritage. All the local settlements will be engulfed in one huge urban sprawl. The ability to access and enjoy the countryside is a vital amenity. Loss of such a significant amount of green space will be detrimental to the whole town. No detailed traffic survey has been included in the Plan and the roads roughly pencilled in do not remotely address the transport needs for this huge development. Any new roads would add to the destruction.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is the area I spend a great deal of leisure time walking and enjoying the existing scenery. Any development would completely destroy the character, history and heritage of the area. Loss of such a significant amount of green space will be detrimental to the whole town. Similarly the topography would be decimated if adequate new roads were built to cope with the proposed development, the existing wildlife would be seriously affected.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Same points as previously made. Why can't more Brown sites be used?

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

if this means building amenities to cope with the new developments then my previous answer applies.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The traffic issues that already exist need to be sorted before any further building of houses. The issues are likely to be worst after the tolls are imposed on Runcorn bridge and more local people head through town, we need improvements to the M6 to take the increases in traffic, it will need to be 5 lanes plus around Warrington, this could be achieved by removing the hard shoulder. All brown field and urban sites should be fully built on before any consideration of building on Green belt. This means you don't need to do any building in Greenbelt areas until 2035 or after, maybe even longer (hopefully never) if built to a higher density in the town centre. A way needs to be found to stop traffic coming off the M6 and causing gridlock to central Warrington on far too many occasions. The resulting pollution to the residents and the obviously nightmare to our citizens just trying to get around town is already a major issue, just stop building and sort the roads out, but don't just add to our issues by building roads that are alternatives to the Motorways.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We clearly should not be releasing any Green Belt land. We do not need to expand our economy and certainly that shouldn't be done by destroying our Green Belt.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't need to build on Green Belt and destroy the environment that we can currently enjoy. There is sufficient Brown Field in the North West to achieve this.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You don't appear to include time frames in your decisions. From your own decisions we don't need to build on any Green Belt areas until after 2035, but I cannot see any comments on this. We need to protect green belt for as long as possible - hopefully forever. We can't just carry on building.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As above

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

City? Do you mean Town?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Its not needed

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not needed

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I didn't see this in the plans

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Didn't see it in the plans - no option on the questionnaire for no comment

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Timeframe

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The consultation process has been too short, the meetings so oversubscribed that I was unable to attend and information provided by the council has been extremely limited

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why are you so determined to give up the green spaces we all enjoy.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You say you have worked out the appropriate amount of land to be released from Green Belt. I would like to know which formula was used. The amount of land planned for development in Higher Walton seems wholly inappropriate.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree based on the over estimated scale of housing requirements. Based on the information I have received, there needs to be far more consultation with the residents of Warrington. The consultation period in my opinion has been far too short for the scale of the proposals

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Based on the information I have received, there needs to be far more consultation with the residents of Warrington. The spacial options are far too small when one considers the potential population growth

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

To potentially lose so much Green Belt land will destroy the character, history and heritage of the smaller settlements such as Walton, Hatton and Stretton. These areas would become one huge suburban development completely changing the rural aspect.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The land proposed for development in the Higher Walton area is Green Belt. Its use for agricultural purposes is extensive. The land and hedgerows supports a huge variety of flora and fauna which will be decimated by the vast housing, school and local center development plan. The National Planning Policy Framework says Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances. WBC has not made clear why these are exceptional circumstances. It is apparent one reason for such a huge suggested increase in housing is the councils desire for Warrington to be considered for City status. This is not something I agree with. When there is any problems on either of the local M56 or M6 motorways, the traffic congestion becomes intolerable, with a further 1800 houses, potentially 3500 extra cars joining the congestion will be completely unmanageable. Surely there are more appropriate Brown Field options for expanding the housing stock. For instance the land currently occupied by Fiddlers Ferry power station. This land will become available within the planned time frame.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Far more information is required in order to assess the impact of the potential traffic burden.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You don't seem to have taken Brexit and tighter immigration controls into account. Native population growth is unlikely to be as large as predicted.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You're releasing too much!

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not all of them. I don't think we need to chase City status when we already have two major cities in close proximity. We're in danger of becoming a huge conurbation in the area (though that's in the far future).

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too expansive, too many houses. Perhaps it's okay to start in the urban areas as planned, then reassess based on new data in 10 years time. (Though having a plan in place isn't a bad idea.)

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I like it!

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

That's pretty good.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not sure about this one.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A bit on the fence about this one.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More indication of transport infrastructure and its effect on the local environment.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

the road system is out of date, there are too many cars going through Burtonwood already. the internet is not good enough in Burtonwood, also with all these new houses there are not enough jobs for people in the area. bus service is not good enough, not enough things to do for kids now never mind another 24000 homes. Warrington has a litter problem already imagine what it would be like with a lot more people.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

most of the businesses bring old staff with them so all their jobs are not for local people. also it has created far too much traffic in Warrington especially Burtonwood. The businesses should employ at least 70% of local people.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

too much green belt is being used, why not grow more or use for more cattle. As we leave Europe we will need to grow our own product

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

too many houses being built and not enough going into looking after what we have already.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

City centre? I thought Warrington was a town?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

all the waterfronts and water ways should be cleaned up

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

too many big businesses already they should be employing local people.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Assumption that jobs growth will be same as last 20 years is not underpinned. Do we want any more jobs growth in retail and warehousing. Also many low wage workers living in poor quality rented flats - why would we want this as a future vision? Gemini vision was for high tech design jobs - where are they? I would like fewer jobs but higher calibre jobs. We need to invest in technical training centres linked to blue chip employers and universities to build in the engineering expertise at Daresbury and Risley etc. This needs to be in collaboration with the wider North West and not be bounded by a 'Warrington - inward looking view'.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You seem to have taken every available space and considered it as a possibility. Larger areas of land should be reserved for 'quality of life' purpose. Why do we want growth and city status - I don't. We have had our fair share of low spec development. It should now be limited to 'high quality'. Developers will sit on the land you give permission to develop and your targets will not be met. They will restrict supply and keep prices up. They are running rings around you - can't you see it?

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. One of the attractions of Warrington is the ability to walk and cycle to green spaces. If you ruin this people with any 'get up and go' will leave and move to the areas where councils have more robustly defended their green belt from government targets and developer's greed.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. Do not want growth at any cost. I want 'QUALITY' to take precedence over 'QUANTITY'. Make developers make quality of life improvements as part of every development. Reduce the assumed housing density. Protect our leisure facilities. Warrington's infrastructure is overloaded now. If you think developer's will want to pay think again. The council will have to fund the infrastructure to allow developer's to make profit.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The analysis of each parcel of land is not robust or transparent. Developer's will want to develop straightforward land (eg a green field) and where they can get highest prices (ie south warrington). Why have you gone along with their wishes???

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

South warrington is a desirable location partly because it has open space. Why ruin it. Surely a more limited development next to existing centres would be more palatable and not unduly overload already stretch infrastructure. Why not mix employment and housing locally?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your assumptions of what is 'good' and future vision is not a shared view and you do represent the view of the people.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is not a city.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Great

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Total object. Inappropriate development grossly out of proportion to need. This is clear from your idea of making green belt into a city!

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too near chemical plant installation.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Omega, Woolston, Stretton areas are full of low paid dull jobs in logistics. This attracts people to Warrington creating demand for schools, doctors roads, etc. If more of the same what a wasted opportunity. Analyse why Omega did not go according to original development strategy and why Daresbury managed to do it. Learn and correct your approach please!

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Sandy Lane tip should be moved to Barleycastle trading estate. Land should not be sold to an exploitative developer cashing in on old people's desire to down size. Use the land to create a local hub - day centre for older people etc

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Future leisure strategy, how it will deliver low carbon objectives (eg reduced car use), how it will transform quality of employment and serve the 'left-behind' young people.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your method of calculating housing need is out of date.To quote the Secretary of State it is an opaque mish mash

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your method of calculating housing needs is incorrect.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's abhorrent.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It will be one big car park

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The loss of green belt is tragic, whoever planned this is an embarrassment to the people of Warrington

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It totally ignores government regulations protecting green belt. Particularly paragraphs 79 and 80 to prevent neighbouring towns merging.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community. PROTECT the GREEN BELT

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

City? Why? Who wants this?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is all about profit for developers and gives no thoughts to residents or community. Garden city?? Transport infrastructure?? Can you get Peel Holdings to maintain the swing bridges.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not support the way that Warrington BC has worked out the need for new homes and employment land over the next 20 years. I am unsure where the aspiration to become a city has derived from? The residents of the town do not appear to be in favour of major urban development. Could it be the council employees looking to further their careers, at the expense of our town environmental and economic needs?? The plans for growth are incredibly ambitious and seem to stem from desires for the Borough to gain City status. The desires for the Warrington to make a transition from New Town into a New City have very little public support and it would be useful to understand where this concept has originated from. Whilst the ambitious levels of growth proposed are in accordance with the LEP's Strategic Economic Plan, they are significantly over and above the more realistic baseline economic forecasts for the Warrington Borough. Whilst it is understood that there is significant private sector interest in the area, this level of growth cannot be sustainable for the region as whole and would raise concerns that this threatens the ability for other authorities to achieve their own growth goals. I understand that the authority is under pressure from central government to provide a substantial amount of new builds, yet the proposed develop options appear to be drastically inflated. Little consideration of the environmental, cultural and logistical impact these developments would bring, have been explained to my satisfaction.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The calculations I have read to establish the number of new homes to be built appear to be illogical and misleading. The over-riding desire of the council leaders to achieve a career advancement or gain acclaim appears to skew the figures astronomically. The calculation assume that the residents of Warrington are in dire need to additional employment opportunities, whilst living in cramped, concrete villages. There seems to be a reluctance to acknowledge several brown sites that exist around the town, as they often lie in less desirable locations. This will result in limited turnover for the sale of land and potential remuneration from investors in the buildings. The release of the safeguarded greenbelt will benefit a few individuals, yet make thousands more considerably more miserable

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would appreciate more transparency in the calculations that have been provided for public consumption. It would appear to me that the developers are being extremely economical with the truth and many statistics are hidden when convenient. I remain unconvinced that the destruction of the green belt land will benefit anybody but the council leaders and construction companies. For this same reason, I also do not agree that there is a need to include Safeguarded Land within the Warrington Local Plan, and would suggest the deletion of this in its entirety from the plan. The future of Fiddlers Ferry is currently unknown, but if this large brownfield site becomes available within the plan period, this could be an additional reason why there is no need to safeguard land beyond this. Furthermore, the area of Safeguarded Land proposed as part of the South Warrington Garden City is particularly unjustified because the release of this land is based on the provision of a link road and new Manchester Ship Canal crossing which is currently nothing more than an arbitrary line on a plan based on a single consultant's 'Vision' for the area. There has not been any feasibility work undertaken to understand if the proposals for this link are viable, cost-effective or even deliver the traffic relief that it suggests (particularly given that the traffic model hasn't been completed). It is unclear as to whether a business case could be established to justify any funding bids, what the overall costs would be and importantly whether the benefits arising from a proposed new road link would out-weigh the potential impact on the residential amenities of existing residents particularly in the Thelwall and Latchford areas. Areas such as the Trans Pennine Trail (TPT) are the focal point of the community it dissects. With a densely-congested area, such as Grappenhall, there is limited opportunities for the residents to escape the stresses of everyday life and retreat to the relative calm of the TPT. The village uses this lifeline of vegetation of exercise, walk their dogs and exercise as a community. In fact I taught all of my children to ride a bike on the TPT, as it is the only extensive, traffic free area in the south of the town that is flat enough to allow young people to roam, without fear of losing their life. Coincidentally my eldest child is disabled and uses the TPT to access her trike, the only facility that enables her to be included in our family outings.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with objective W1 which seeks to enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City. Very little information has been provided as to why this is desirable and what the benefits of this would be to existing residents. The appeal of Warrington is its size. It is already large enough to offer attractive employment, retail and recreational opportunities. Those who want to experience a busier 'City lifestyle' or access sub-regional shopping and leisure opportunities can easily travel to Manchester, Chester and Liverpool. I can only support objective W2 where Green Belt releases are properly justified. I understand that Warrington does need to plan for its future and allocate sufficient sites to meet employment and housing needs, but have concerns about the level of growth proposed and therefore the extent of Green Belt releases. I support objective W3 which seeks to strengthen the role of Warrington town centre as an employment, retail, leisure and cultural hub, but any growth and investment in this area should be appropriate to Warrington as a Town and not a City. I support objective W4 in so far as it seeks to reduce the need to travel and encourage active lifestyles. I support objective W5 which seeks to secure high quality design which reinforces the character and local distinctiveness of Warrington's urban area, its countryside and its unique pattern of green spaces. I support objective W6 which seeks to ensure that development makes a positive contribution to improving Warrington's Air Quality.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe that the Council should have consulted with residents about the different spatial options prior to publishing the preferred options document to enable more discussion about and a better understanding of all the options, especially given the potential for them to have a significant impact on the lives of existing residents. At para 4.65 it is concluded that option 2 performed best against the strategic plan objectives. I would welcome sight of information which demonstrates for the proposed South Warrington Garden City Suburb: 1. How such significant growth in one area can make a positive contribution to improving Warrington's Air Quality. 2. How the proposed link road and proposed new crossing over the Manchester Ship Canal makes a positive contribution to improving Warrington's Air Quality. From what I can ascertain, the proposed link road would take additional traffic through the existing residential areas of Thelwall and deliver this to Latchford, an already highly congested area. 3. How development of such a scale in this location will be able to reinforce the character and local distinctiveness of the area and its unique pattern of green spaces. 4. How the delivery of new employment uses as an extension to the existing Appleton Thorn/ Barley Castle Estates (which are most likely to offer low-skilled distribution/ warehouse type jobs) meet the needs of the existing and proposed local population thereby reducing the need to travel (objective 4). 5. How the proposed link road encourages active lifestyles when it potentially results in the loss of a valuable and well-used recreation resource; the Trans Pennine Trail. I do not consider that option 2 does any of these things. Furthermore, the absence of a traffic model does not allow a number of these conclusions to be reached. I would request that residents are re-consulted once this becomes available.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is a town and not a city and development should be of an appropriate scale to support its position in the sub-regional hierarchy. There has been significant expansion of the out-of-centre Junction Retail Park and this should not be at the expense of investment in the edge-of-centre Riverside Retail Park which serves residents to the south of the City Centre. Loss of retail in this location would result in an increased need to travel. Its allocation for residential uses is disappointing and whilst I fully support town centre living, it would be useful to understand what other options have been explored to save the loss of this important shopping offer.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The development plans are far too ambitious. The additional infrastructure and investment that would be required to make this a thriving and vibrant city is too significant. The financial cost of these developments are not the only consideration. The environmental, cultural and recreational losses that would be suffered, would be irreversible. The future of the town is positive, this place all that in danger. At what point will the town be engulfed into a sprawling metropolis, that offers its residents no opportunities to engage with nature and function as a inclusive community

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is a town and not a city and development should be of an appropriate scale to support its position in the sub-regional hierarchy. There has been significant expansion of the out-of-centre Junction Retail Park and this should not be at the expense of investment in the edge-of-centre Riverside Retail Park which serves residents to the south of the City Centre. Loss of retail in this location would result in an increased need to travel. Its allocation for residential uses is disappointing and whilst I fully support town centre living, it would be useful to understand what other options have been explored to save the loss of this important shopping offer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposals around Warrington's Waterfront are also ambitious, although I welcome residential development in this location, which is in close proximity to the town (not City) centre and the public transport network. I also welcome the regeneration of this brownfield site. The port development does, however, have potential to generate considerable traffic and in the absence of a traffic model, would request that residents are re-consulted on these proposals once this becomes available. I understand that the Warrington Waterfront development is very much dependent on the new 'Western Link' which is subject to separate consultation. I would be interested to learn more about the implications of the proposed Western Link on the road networks through Penketh and Cuerdley, particularly in light of the increased traffic that is likely to be generated by those seeking to avoid paying tolls on the Mersey Gateway/ Silver Jubilee Bridge.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

First and foremost, Warrington is not a City and nor do its residents want it to be! This will never be a Garden City, it is nothing more than urban sprawl. I would support the a planned approach to build a proportional amount of new homes. I fully understand that this is the best way to deliver the services and infrastructure required to support the growing population. I welcome provision for new school, doctors' surgeries and the local centre. However, whilst I am not against the concept of new homes and am in full agreement that new houses do need to be built in this area to meet the needs of future generations, there is some concern amongst residents about whether the existing road network can accommodate the level of growth proposed. The traffic model does not yet appear to have been completed so conclusions in this regard cannot be reached. I would also like to express my concerns about the amount of land allocated for housing and question why the Council feels it needs to safeguard land for beyond the plan period given the already aspirational level of growth assumed and the very likely release of land around Fiddlers Ferry (which has not currently been taken into account). I raise very specific concerns about the area of Safeguarded Land proposed as part of the South Warrington Garden City and believe that this is particularly unjustified because the release of this land is based on the provision of a link road and new Manchester Ship Canal crossing which is currently nothing more than an arbitrary line on a plan based on a single consultant's 'Vision' for the area. There has not been any feasibility work undertaken to understand if the proposals for this link are viable, cost-effective or even deliver the traffic relief that it suggests (particularly given that the traffic model hasn't been completed). It is unclear as to whether a business case could be established to justify any funding bids, what the overall costs would be and importantly whether the benefits arising from a proposed new road link would out-weigh the potential impact on the residential amenities of existing residents particularly in the Thelwall and Latchford areas. The proposed link road would take additional traffic through the existing residential areas of Thelwall and deliver this to Latchford, an already highly congested area. It would result in the loss of a valuable and well-used recreation resource; the Trans Pennine Trail having a negative impact on the active lifestyles of the existing local population. It is also extremely unclear as to how the Council will look to acquire the land to enable to link road and crossing to be implemented – the project ahead is huge, even just in terms of exploring feasibility alone, and the Council should not be looking to add this proposal to the Local Plan before it is known if, how and when this can be delivered. I would suggest that this area of safeguarded land be removed from the Local Plan along with the proposed link road and new canal crossing and this be revisited as part of the next review only if more is known about the feasibility and deliverability of this route.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a resident living next to the Trans Pennine Trail, I feel very angry at the way you have gone about this whole process. You seem to be bullying your plans through, after being discovered quietly slipping them past us. I have lived in the same house for [REDACTED] years and knew nothing of your scheme until somebody posted the news on Facebook. How can that be considered open and above board? Much more time is needed to consult and debate every aspect of this expansion plan. Regards [REDACTED]

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am the owner of a Warrington business employing a hundred people. I would like a chance to consult and debate the reasons behind your plans, and how you believe them to be reasonable and sustainable.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous answers

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough debate and consultation. Again, as a lifelong resident of Warrington and a local employer with a business turning over Â£20m. I find it absolutely incredible that I have not received any information from the council regarding this subject.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you sent me this document? Have you sent me a leaflet outlining a way to obtain said document and why it is important? No.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe I am a well informed resident of Lymm however I was unaware of the council's ambition for Warrington to become a City. Through the process I have become familiar with the Warrington Means Business documents and the Startegic Economic Plan

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Hard to assess whether the total amount of Green Belt to be released is appropriate.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't see that the growth implied has been fully reconciled with the borough's infrastructure, particularly transport.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Focusing on Lymm, the planned number of houses can probably be accommodated, subject to adequate corresponding increase in major infrastructure and services - transport, education and health.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I note that coal resources remain part of the minerals approach. It seems doubtful that such resources will ever be exploited, given the environmental constraints on coal's use and rapidly falling costs of renewable energy sources.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel there is insufficient land safeguarded compared with released from the Green Belt

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears a very strong bias towards developing south Warrington

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plans do not take into account fully the impact on local traffic

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This plans to create a very significant number of dwellings in the area. Whilst there may be some infrastructure within the Garden City Suburb, there will still be a massive increase in traffic through south Warrington and Warrington itself which already cannot cope with current levels.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The scale of the plan is significant and this area which is semi-rural would change beyond recognition. The traffic issues already in these areas are such that the roads, bridges etc cannot be expected to cope with all of the vehicles which would result from such a large expansion of homes.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe the demand is overstated, in the interests of developers. I also believe it does not reflect the likely economic impact of Brexit.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am unconvinced. There seems to be an inbuilt presumption that green site development is necessary.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not support any release of green belt land.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am doubtful that increased urbanisation will help Warrington. I see no justification for becoming a 'city'.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, I sense a presumption in favour of green belt development, led by developers looking at financial return.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Failure to adequately look at brownfield usage.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not understand the argument for increased growth, which will probably be a contradictory mix of low-paid Amazon warehouse jobs for local people and expensive housing for professional class and commuters. This does not build a sustainable local community.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Impact on local traffic will be unacceptable.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I doubt that this middleclass commuter-ville meets the needs of local young people.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Correct design and implementation of the western link is essential.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Insufficient use of brownfield sites.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What will be done for the Cobbs Estate?

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The need for additional housing in the area is questionable particularly given the premise some will be built on green belt land. The town has had thousands of new homes built within recent years, which has pushed the infrastructure surrounding and inside the town to breaking point.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, there are far too many proposed across the town which will lead unchecked sprawl and merge towns/areas into each other.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is absolutely no justification to build on the green belt areas of Warrington, which is a large part of the appeal of living here. Warrington is not a city and nor should it aspire to be with its planning intentions and actions. The existing infrastructure is at breaking point and building on green belt as opposed to brownfield sites puts additional burden on services, whilst destroying open spaces and allowing unrestricted sprawl between the individual sections of Warrington. There is a large quantity of housing stock that could be upgraded without the need for a land grab.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not see that these objectives are either required or achievable without putting infrastructure and services into gridlock.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

How these options have been developed beggars belief, particularly as the full impact of mass building of additional housing stock in the Chapelford, Sankey and Burtonwood areas are yet to be fully experienced.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WBC are trying to fulfil a government quota for housing growth as opposed to consider what is truly a correct level of housing for warringtonWBC have not outlined a supporting document which supports the estimated level of job growth. This would need to include proposals from major job creators in the public and private sector.The proposed plan does not outline how Brexit would affect migration and housing needs in Warrington

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

not been fully informed regarding this point

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not considered high school and health service locations and where they would fit into the plan. Unless these would be built on brown field sites there is no proposal for where these would sit

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No.Housing requirement plan is over inflated and doesn't represent the needs of the townAmbition to receive city status is due to the council's ego and to receive extra funds as opposed to how it would benefit warrington

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have not been consulted on spatial options and require more information.this information was not available at the Pyramid consultation event that I attendedPlease send this information to [REDACTED]

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not explained why certain large brown field sites within Warrington can't be used for housing.Not negotiated with the owners of the brown field sites and incentivised them to develop the land into housing

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe the population growth for Warrington will not continue at the rate it has done over the last 20 years due to Brexit and overpopulation of the region.Liverpool's housing development will be a bigger draw for people moving to the northwest as opposed to Warrington.There is an increasing desire for people to be moving to more rural locations as we continue to advance technologies which allow people to work remotely

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not fully consulted people on how wildlife would be affected surrounding the Mersey. Nor have you consulted animal charities, RSPCA etc on how animals and birds would be displaced.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I oppose the development whole heartedly. Not enough consultation around these plans. I have not received any communication directly from the council which outlines data, ambitions, requirements. I have only learned of the plans through social media. I believe there is a lack of empathy from WBC for people who use the greenbelt land that would be assigned for the Garden suburb. Not outlined where the high school would be. No mention of health centre/hospitals/doctors surgeries for the garden city.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough consultation given

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

More brown field sites should be used to provide employment land. These options need to be exhausted before green belt is considered and they have not.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There has not been enough information provided to the general public surrounding this point

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is not enough information surrounding this point nor have i been fully consulted on your approach for dealing with minerals and waste

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

How pollution would increase and to what levels. revised figures for expectation of jobs provided and housing required following the brevet votenot enough information surrounding the new transport link from the proposed garden city suburb. What vehicles would make use of the route. Not enough information surrounding who the biggest beneficiaries would be in the plans Not consulted us on whether local schools would be demolished to make way for plans not consulted on if there would be a new hospital built. Warrington hospital already at capacity

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

- Figures based on 2012 projections- No consideration of impact from Brexit, a changing economy, changing migration patterns and changing needs of the nation- seems an over estimate of requirements

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I still dont feel you have exhausted brown field sites primarily and to build on so much green belt ruins the character of what the area has as an asset

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

#NAME?

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

#NAME?

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We should never encourage them in our Town

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many homes planed over 20 years ,as per government guidelines . why not just plan for n 10 years at a time.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More consultations and information needed

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The advertisement of the public consultation meetings was very poor and not held in the right areas, such as Grappenhall and Thelwall, where most of the development is proposed. The information given and the associated maps are unclear. The amount of housing proposed is more than required by government standards. Brown field sites should be used first for development before any greenbelt land is used. South Warrington is a desirable place to live and will be less desirable with this development. There has been no consideration for the negative impact this will have on existing residents' quality of life, as this huge development will increase traffic volume and air pollution massively. The online documents for the transport

route are inadequate as they do not explain fully where the routes go. For example, using part of the Transpennine trail. The Transpennine trail is a well loved and very well used path by both locals and visitors to the area, and it would be a disgrace to see this and the wildlife destroyed. There has been no consideration of the extra pressure that will be put on the health service and other public services.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The developments is all in south warrington and not much in the rest of the borough.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The advertisement of the public consultation meetings was very poor and not held in the right areas, such as Grappenhall and Thelwall, where most of the development is proposed. The information given and the associated maps are unclear. The amount of housing proposed is more than required by government standards. Brown field sites should be used first for development before any greenbelt land is used. South Warrington is a desirable place to live and will be less desirable with this development. There has been no consideration for the negative impact this will have on existing residents' quality of life, as this huge development will increase traffic volume and air pollution massively. The online documents for the transport route are inadequate as they do not explain fully where the routes go. For example, using part of the Transpennine trail. The Transpennine trail is a well loved and very well used path by both locals and visitors to the area, and it would be a disgrace to see this and the wildlife destroyed. There has been no consideration of the extra pressure that will be put on the health service and other public services.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is a town not a city.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The advertisement of the public consultation meetings was very poor and not held in the right areas, such as Grappenhall and Thelwall, where most of the development is proposed. The information given and the associated maps are unclear. The amount of housing proposed is more than required by government standards. Brown field sites should be used first for development before any greenbelt land is used. South Warrington is a desirable place to live and will be less desirable with this development. There has been no consideration for the negative impact this will have on existing residents' quality of life, as this huge development will increase traffic volume and air pollution massively. The online documents for the transport route are inadequate as they do not explain fully where the routes go. For example, using part of the Transpennine trail. The Transpennine trail is a well loved and very well used path by both locals and visitors to the area, and it would be a disgrace to see this and the wildlife destroyed. There has been no consideration of the extra pressure that will be put on the health service and other public services.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More consultation time and true information needed

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

more consultation time

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

should be using brownfield sites not greenbelt

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

need more information on where plants may be built

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

more details on the transport infrastructure

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If we need extra housing the land selected should be chosen very carefully

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The land must be chosen carefully

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If the Lymm area is covered by this question then local consultation is important

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Do not know

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I can't see the need for so many houses

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is it referred to as a City ?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again why City ?

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Surely enough Brownfield sites in the Town Centre

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Better safeguarding of the Greenbelt

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lack of local infrastructure in the form of performant roads, school places public transport giving rise to safety concern and overcrowding in the areas surrounding Stockton Heath. The infrastructure is at breaking point already. Of equal concern is the destruction of so much green belt land across the whole of South Warrington. This is why we chose to move here from Manchester many years ago. Access to the environment in its natural state on our doorstep is a reason to live in the locality. It's also a key contributor to nature's removal of flood water, rain and the surge tide rises we now see far more of in non-coastal areas. The development seems excessive and centres around the seemingly inevitable desire of our council to achieve 'city status'. Warrington is and should remain a proud and large town. There is seemingly very little desire on the part of the constituents to become a city. The objections of pretty much every household in South Warrington is one I agree with wholeheartedly. Putting it simply. no need, too many and no need to destroy our countryside. Regenerate the brownfield sites as a priority and make our town a greater place to visit.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

From your attendance at the recent consultations at Parr hall and the Park Royal, it's very clear that the majority of locals object for common sense reasoning not just as the plans seem to be ill thought through, particularly as regards infrastructure and a whitewash of all ecological matters highlighted by locals and key bodies.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I've not seen sufficient evidence to comment on this other than at the Parr hall meeting recently. the local planning team openly admitted to modelling based on a database, not on real data. Seems terribly wrong to do so given local knowledge and experience including many of the Warrington councillors.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not at all. I believe it is fuelled by the desire for city status and the associated funding at any cost. There is simply no need for this.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This has been unclear throughout. Not the plan....the justification.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

I've had insufficient time to understand this fully

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not at all. An inconclusive need delivering an incomplete solution that compromises our surrounding area without justification. A poorer local quality of life results.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Redevelopment of the town centre is fabulous and appropriate though not within the guise of a much larger plan as proposed. Make our town proud by creating town centre communities through redevelopment. Moving people into the core of the town fuels local growth and community look at Manchester, at Liverpool

and the regeneration in the core. When people move inwards, the whole town benefits. Redevelop the brownfield as a priority and polish what we have already.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's not been a focus of mine due to available time to fully understand the information available

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See above. This is my primary area concern. Loss of quality of life for this and future generations.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough time to fully assess

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm not convinced by the reasoning or location. Seems poorly justified.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand this though the growth of the traveler site in Appleton thorn is a disgrace to our planning and compliance team.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough time to fully consider.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Local impact. It's a reason to leave the area

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I object to Warrington Borough council yet again, building more houses, the town hasn't the capacity, infrastructure or road network to deal with further expansion of the town. Warrington has grown out of proportion over the last 30 yrs, lets stop this insane plan to make it any bigger. The good folk of Warrington are sick of more developments, and the expansion of our little town. Were also sick of more road congestion, more traffic lights, an over strained hospital, lack of access to doctors, all due to the councils aggressive growth plan to over populate Warrington. You should feel ashamed of what you have done to our town .We have lost so much of our green belt land over the past 30yrs. I strongly object to more developmnet of Green Belt land in the Burtonwood are. Especially the Phipps Lane scheme as this will have major impact on the rural community in the area, the roads in this area are already full to bursting point, especially within peak times.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, the majority of Warrington people work outside of the Borough, and commute into local Cities and towns. The major employers of warrington have all shut up shop and relocated.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have sacrificed too much green belt lad for development.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The people of Warrington ,who you are suppose to represent, don't want the town to keep expanding, we don't want to loose more and more Green belt land.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consultation with local house holders. No correspondents from the council to let us know of your plans, let alone consultation. Feels back handed .

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is full..

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Garden City Suburbs???? Sounds like another name for building on green belt land.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt land again.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not sure what you mean by outlying settlements, I guess you mean building on green belt land and destroying the last of Warrington small village communities?

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I agree with this if the sites are brown field, and the developments are labour intense businesses. I disagree when you build massive warehouses which employ very few people, most of which are agency workers, from Eastern Europe.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington has taken our fare share on Gypsies there are a number of current sites, no more please.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is surrounded on all sides with waste sites and tips, most of which is driven in from other cities and towns. Not sure why the council has let this happen over the last 30 yrs?

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The needs are grossly exaggerated.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The targets do not bear any resemblance to reality.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Any intrusion into Green Belt must be avoided.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They are based on completely erroneous figures.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously, there should be no intrusion into Green Belt land, there are sufficient brown field sites to meet the limited development needs.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The needs are considerably overstated.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is one area which could be developed along the lines described.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I cannot see the need for this development.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Resist all Green belt intrusions.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

But it will be far less than envisaged.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst we are aware of the need for new homes in Warrington. We do have concerns regarding the building of 150 new homes in Burtonwood. The village is already struggling with the amount of traffic passing through to the Omega site. There has been no improvement to the road services or any investment into providing walkways/cycle paths up to the M62. We have had 2 pubs demolished in the village and that land is lying empty and an eyesore when entering. How is the village going to support the amount of people/cars etc that 150 new homes will bring. There are no amenities in the village to support this amount of people. We currently have 1 small coop. Our house was bought a number of years ago at a premium price because of the green belt land which our [REDACTED] looks out onto. [REDACTED] What will happen to the value of our home?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see previous comments.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unsure of the objectives

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comments

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see previous comments

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As explained previously. Burtonwood village has had no investment from the council for many years. There is a vast difference between one side of the M62 junction and the roads leading into Burtonwood village. The throughput of traffic and the size of the vehicles passing through the village to Gemini and the Omega site is ridiculous!!!!

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Don't know what this is.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Our concerns lie with how future development of Burtonwood.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see all previous comments

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see all previous comments regarding our concerns for Burtonwood village

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The number of houses planned to be built under the PDO is significantly larger (circa 3.5k) than WBC's own calculated need. WBC have chosen to use only the data which points to more housing required and ignored any contrary evidence, eg. Effect of Brexit, the pipedream that is HS2/3, job growth etc etc. Therefore the need is one of aspiration rather than a requirement. WBC should use the ONS figures which allow for falling net migration. WBC have not taken into account government whitepaper on 'fixing the broken housing market' currently out for consultation in which calculations are set for housing need. This would fix the amount at circa 17k houses maximum, which can be met using brownfield sites. As with most of the plan, calculations have not been done correctly, have misinterpreted guidance/data or is missing altogether. The proposed commercial properties do not bring the range of employment required for a wide society mix. The jobs will mainly be in the lower end of the wage profile and therefore will need housing of a particular price-range. This is not what is planned to be built in the south of the town. There is no absolute need for the plan to be 20 years. Indeed, it could be argued that a smaller term (ie 15 years) would better fit the needs of the town and be more flexible moving forward.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

In this case data has been misused to ensure that not enough housing can be located in existing urban areas. The number of brownfield sites has not been assessed properly (in some cases conveniently ignored) and the development that is planned is not designed to supply housing but build whatever the developer/land owner wants. For example the use of 'Port Warrington' as a large scale commercial area alongside housing means less housing and also bringing more commercial traffic into the centre of town . . . where large scale traffic and pollution problems already exist or are at breaking point. This design appears to be one of the landowners' as it makes no sense otherwise as commercial traffic via waterways is a mere pipedream. Another example is Fiddler's Ferry. This would be ideal as brownfield housing development and is on borrowed time as a power station. Indeed the site MUST close before 2025 under current government directives. Therefore this falls well within the timescale of the PDO and should form part of the plan for sustainable housing but has not been considered. WBC have also not considered fully the unoccupied homes within the area or, importantly, sites with existing permissions being land-banked by developers.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As the housing need is an aspirational target then the amount of housing required on the greenbelt is at best exaggerated, or even not needed. They have taken unrealistic growth targets for the area and not used the ONS figures which should allow for falling net migration. WBC have not taken into account government whitepaper on 'fixing the broken housing market' currently out for consultation in which calculations are set for housing need. This would fix the amount at circa 17k houses maximum which can be met using brownfield sites. As WBC have not correctly assessed brownfield sites then, again, the number of houses required on the greenbelt is incorrect. WBC have chosen to remove land from the green belt as it is more profitable for any developer rather than actual need. Use of greenbelt sites should be a last resort and only when exceptional circumstances have been proven. The PDO in its current guise does not demonstrate such exceptional circumstance. WBC has been very selective in the locations of greenbelt released " areas with the highest priced housing. I suspect to give the developer more profit rather than real need. The PDO requests that all land within it is immediately released from the greenbelt, rather than apply on a site by site basis. Releasing the greenbelt in this way is totally irresponsible, and will mean that WBC will lose control of building in the area, allowing developers to 'land bank' and perform other unacceptable practices, further impacting residents across the borough. The PDO uses a greenbelt assessment as part of the justification for its release. This assessment is remotely (and very poorly) done. It has a disclaimer on the front page that it should not be used by third-parties (ie it is indicative only) and is not formally signed or attributed to qualified individuals. The methods used within are questionable and do not follow known industry standards. Therefore this assessment cannot be used as justification that the greenbelt land around the borough is suitable for development. The PDO is a 20 year plan (rather than the usual 15). This is being used to justify a larger building requirement and, when matched to an instant release from the greenbelt for all sites, makes it appear as though this is a deliberate attempt to ensure that the greenbelt is developed whilst the people of Warrington are looking the other way. It certainly makes me wonder what WBC's true motives are and who is 'pulling the strings'. A 15 year plan with a calculation using government guidelines/ONS figures and the correct brownbelt assessment would remove the need to build on the greenbelt at all. Yet this has not been considered at all.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

NO! The plan is aspirational and without solid foundation. It would appear through the documentation provided and that available on the WBC website that it forms part of a masterplan to become a 'new city'. This is something that the vast majority of the electorate do not want and the council do not have a mandate for. Yet the plan puts this as its primary objective (W1). W2 " sets an objective as release of the greenbelt " despite not proving the need for this action. Within the boundaries of the motorways, Warrington will be left with very little greenbelt to preserve. Of course no one would generally argue with points W3 " W5. The problem is that WBC cannot deliver such things alongside the planned objectives W1 & W2. The plan hands over control of Warrington's future to developers whose only interest is profit. WBC will not hold the developer to account, and have a poor history of doing so. The high court challenge to the original plan is a good example. Despite losing on 'affordable homes' WBC have bend over to give the developer everything

requested.WBC have produced such a poor and undeliverable plan that one wonders what the real plan objectives are.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan has placed most of the development loading in the South of the town. This is purely to fit the aspirational need of the plan and not the housing requirements for the populous who work around the town. Most of the jobs being currently created in the town, and those projected in the plan, are in the lower paid spectrum " ie retail, warehousing, transportation, goods handling etc. Building larger houses in the south that these jobs cannot fund has no justification. Building commercial units in place of housing in the centre of town makes no sense, if indeed the town really needs housing. Little, (or no), consideration has gone into who people will travel around the town and the Transport strategy document of 2017 does not give any information on how people will commute under the plan. The 2011 document is much more revealing and demonstrates how choked Warrington's infrastructure is. Adding a couple of bus routes does not do anything to help solve the problem. With no multimodal transport assessment, this plan is not deliverable. I suspect the results of such an assessment will demonstrate how fundamentally flawed the current PDO is.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Poorly! The main development options, none of which are particularly sympathetic to WBC as a whole, are pretty much undeliverable as described. The chosen options appear to suit development on greenbelt, and for profit rather than any firm justification. Choosing to release the majority of the greenbelt giving some 8k homes is ill thought. WBC have chosen the name to make it more appealing to the casual observer. The design is far from being a true Garden City and sullies the name of such schemes as it only takes some of the less expensive aspects of such ideals. This further solidifies the thought that the PDO is designed around private developer profitability. WBC will not be able to control the development of this scale leading to poor delivery, land banking, planning amendments etc etc. The chosen option, and indeed all of the options as described and supported in the PDO are undeliverable & unsustainable.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. WBC have chosen to have a plan that is longer than necessary to increase the number of houses required (based on a poor premise and incorrect calculation) as justification for release of the greenbelt. It is not based upon true evidence based development need, therefore the PDO is unsustainable and undeliverable. The PDO needs to be realistic and reflect the true position Warrington finds itself in and not a 'flight of fancy'. The present proposal lacks almost any ambition or development in respect of sustainable and 'green' transport. If Warrington is to be an important town of the future, serious investment needs to go into bus services (perhaps tramways), cycle lanes and walkways. Indeed, with Warrington being so flat it is tailor made for a genuine cycle network and plan to support commuting, business and leisure. The present proposal appears to be trying to solve transport infrastructure by building more roads. The evidence available tells us that building more roads does not ultimately reduce congestion, but merely adds more cars (and pollution) to the area. The proposed road at Latchford, crossing the Manchester Ship Canal, is unbelievably short-sighted and will simply drop more and more traffic into a central Warrington road system which is already heavily congested. The future development needs of Warrington would be better served by finding ways to reduce car use, not increase it. The proposal produces no data on the likely work destinations of those who will occupy the new houses; no modelling studies of traffic flow. Highways England do not agree with the proposals and do not currently support any development that would increase the traffic onto the surrounding motorways. WBC are relying on the Highways Agency to design and implement capacity improvements to make the plan work and yet no funding is available. The effect of any infrastructure developments are simply a blind guess, therefore the plan is undeliverable. Again, the growth requirements are based on an aspirational need rather than fact and therefore it cannot be that the plan is best suited to the town's requirements.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As already described the plan would merely bring more traffic to the already congested centre and does not get the best from the available land. This is because WBC appear to be led by the developer in an attempt to get other sites redeveloped. Whilst that can mean improvement in some areas the overall effect is driven by profit rather than benefit to Warrington as a whole.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This builds new commercial property in the centre of Warrington, this will need the use of the road network to carry freight to & from 'Port Warrington'. . . placing much more traffic on to routes already congested and likely to be much busier due to the Mersey Gateway Toll bridges “ and that's before any development! The addition of extra housing, commercial property and the Mersey Gateway will bring Warrington to a permanent standstill. It matches other parts of the plan in that it does not have the right assessments in place to provide evidence the development is sustainable and deliverable.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A rather ridiculous name which, I'm sure, was chosen to make the development more appealing. As discussed earlier, it removes most of the remaining green space in the south of the town, effectively destroying the character of the area. Being designed on its own, ie not part of a full city development it cannot follow the Garden City ethos, indeed it will merely be a type of suburb of North Warrington. The whole 'Garden City Suburb' development area cannot be justified on housing need (see my previous comments). From the lack of detail, assessments and poorly drawn out schemes it is neither sustainable or deliverable. Removal of the greenbelt cannot be justified for the current PDO design as essential need has not been demonstrated. The area's core infrastructure is already at breaking point and there has been little or no assessment of how the extra traffic (for example) created by the plan would be handled or mitigated.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is another development of the greenbelt which cannot be justified with the detail given in the PDO. The site impinges on a nature reserve and amenity the whole area uses. As previously described the PDO lacks the level of detail to make the option sustainable or deliverable.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As described earlier there is no justification within the PDO to release Greenbelt for development. Essential need has not been demonstrated and there is a lack of transparency in the strategy of the PDO.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No as it does not bring the level of sustainable jobs Warrington needs. Small retail/commercial/warehousing tends to be the lower end of the spectrum for pay scale, this does not match the requirement for those able to afford much of the new housing. These jobs can be very much 'boom & bust' and therefore any down turn in the UK economy tends to effect these businesses quickly, leaving the town over exposed to economy downturns.Places like Port Warrington are poorly located due to the traffic constraints. The other commercial units are located near the motorway network but the available vehicular capacity will mean that the units are not viable.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is insufficient detail within the plan to make a thorough assessment. Who will handle the domestic waste produced? No local waste or sewage units are shown within the plans, so I assume that these have not been considered.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Much improved transport infrastructure. Provision of a tram network. Cycleways that do not impact on the road user and vice-versa.Provision for long term protection of the greenbelt and green spaces across the

borough. A vision which is realistic, less based around becoming a city (whether real or in name only) and one that matches the wishes of the electorate. A plan which has then required data to be sustainable and deliverable.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We shouldn't be using Green Belt land in the first place. There seem to be lots of Office buildings which are empty. Why not use these sites?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The schools in Lymm are already full. Why does the plan not show new schools in Lymm if the intention is to build 500 new homes? Expecting young children to travel outside Lymm to attend primary school is not feasible.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not taken account of the slowdown in the economy and the affect of Brexit. I believe your prediction of large increases in numbers is over the top

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You don't seem to have looks at the pressure it would put on roads in Lymm if houses were built on the locations shown. Cherry Lane in particular could not cope with all the extra traffic

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much green belt being used

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We want to keep our villages not become a city

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much green belt being used

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We should be trying to creep green spaces around warrington

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

New schools for Lymm

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The sheer number of houses in the Great Sankey area, in my opinion has become excessive

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

While I understand the need for housing units within the Warrington area I believe that the attitude being taken by Warrington Borough Council of proposing the removal of greenbelt area and expanding the village-like character of many of the surrounding areas to be misguided. Looking at Croft and the proposed sites there it would appear that a statement of 'Overall, the site makes a strong contribution to safeguarding the countryside from encroachment due to its openness and non-durable boundaries' to be the polar opposite of what is being proposed. All of the land in question is countryside and far from safeguarding encroachment the plans do exactly this. Secondly I see no provision taken for the many footpaths used frequently by villagers and visitors to the area which would be greatly impacted, if not destroyed, by potential developments. Lastly there is a question of traffic levels, infrastructure and other amenities which, while already stretched, would need enhancing and by doing so would further reduce the very village-like appeal of the surrounding areas. For these reasons alone I believe the selection of rural sites especially in and around Croft to be the wrong approach.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No I do not agree the the objectives as these appear to be removing green belt from the surrounding areas of Warrington rather than protecting them. This is not safeguarding greenfield sites.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

For the surrounding area assessment there appears to have not been any consideration made for protection of footpaths/bridlepaths and other rural walking areas. The impact of urbanisation on facilities and infrastructure does not appear to be included within the assessments made.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

too much green belt area is being proposed which will completely alter the nature of Warrington's surrounding areas

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

While I understand the need for housing units within the Warrington area I believe that the attitude being taken by Warrington Borough Council of proposing the removal of greenbelt area and expanding the village-like character of many of the surrounding areas to be misguided. Looking at Croft and the proposed sites there it would appear that a statement of 'Overall, the site makes a strong contribution to safeguarding the countryside from encroachment due to its openness and non-durable boundaries' to be the polar opposite of what is being proposed. All of the land in question is countryside and far from safeguarding encroachment the plans do exactly this. Secondly I see no provision taken for the many footpaths used frequently by villagers and visitors to the area which would be greatly impacted, if not destroyed, by potential developments. Lastly there is a question of traffic levels, infrastructure and other amenities which, while already stretched, would need enhancing and by doing so would further reduce the very village-like appeal of the surrounding areas. For these reasons alone I believe the selection of rural sites especially in and around Croft to be the wrong approach.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you taken into account Brexit in your forecasts. Even the Treasury model is forecasting a 4-5% GDP reduction.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What commitments do you have as to whether potential employment will appear in Warrington. What knowledge do you have that employers will re-locate and leave the area

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you done any work on how many homes are vacant in the area at present or some areas can be better utilized by modifying to include more potential inhabitants

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see my uploaded file for details.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I believe this would be another example of an ill thought out plan. If passed, this would take forever and go massively over budget and end up being a mistake.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

please see my comments within the attachment

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A government standard methodology has not been used. Three different reports have been used to varying degrees of confidence and subjectivity which means the number can not be relied on. The council should postpone until the government standard methodology can be adopted. Growth figures of the council should not be uplifted with the economic uncertainty over Brexit. Especially over the short term of the next 20 years which is going to see significant disruption and less housing needs. People will exit the UK for better prospects within the EU or simply for passport reasons. The current generation of Youth is content to stay at home, which reduces the need for further housing.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous answer. Current generation is more inclined to stay at home than seek a new home. Brexit will mean less housing demand on the country as a whole and in Warrington. The research is out of date in line with the significant shift in the past year

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt land should remain Green Belt land. It was designated as Green Belt for a reason. There is significant Brown Belt land and areas which are more suitable. It creates the wrong impression eating up Green Belt. Where do you stop? Will any continue to exist? The council should look at regeneration rather than land grabbing

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See answers above

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Development should be on existing sites, not the easy option of using more green belt. It is designated green belt for a reason. Those reasons have not changed, in fact Green Belt is more important now, with air quality reducing and mental health increases

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Based on parcels of land, you have not studied impact on existing road infrastructure, amenities to sustain larger numbers of housing, schooling and social impacts.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a long term resident of the west end of [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I have deep concerns over the impact on the environment of the massive number of houses and supporting infrastructure near between Walton and Halton. Since moving to Warrington [REDACTED] years ago, the traffic has grown ever worse, particularly on Chester Road, and surrounding areas. Additional population will no doubt increase that load significantly. Anyone who has approached the junction of Ellesmere Road and Chester Road will know how log jammed the area is, particularly at peak times or when the swing bridge is open for ships to pass. I am also deeply concerned on the effect this will have on the greenbelt area, which is one of very few left in the South Warrington area. I strongly oppose any building on the Green Belt area near Walton or Daresbury.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a long term resident of the west end of [REDACTED], I have deep concerns over the impact on the environment of the massive number of houses and supporting infrastructure near between Walton and Halton. Since moving to Warrington [REDACTED] years ago, the traffic has grown ever worse, particularly on Chester Road, and surrounding areas. Additional population will no doubt increase that load significantly. Anyone who has approached the junction of Ellesmere Road and Chester Road will know how log jammed the area is, particularly at peak times or when the swing bridge is open for ships to pass. I am also deeply concerned on the effect this will have on the greenbelt area, which is one of very few left in the South Warrington area. I strongly oppose any building on the Green Belt area near Walton or Daresbury.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a long term resident of the west end of [REDACTED], I have deep concerns over the impact on the environment of the massive number of houses and supporting infrastructure near between Walton and Halton. Since moving to Warrington [REDACTED] years ago, the traffic has grown ever worse, particularly on Chester Road, and surrounding areas. Additional population will no doubt increase that load significantly. Anyone who has approached the junction of Ellesmere Road and Chester Road will know how log jammed the area is, particularly at peak times or when the swing bridge is open for ships to pass. I am also deeply concerned on the effect this will have on the greenbelt area, which is one of very few left in the South Warrington area. I strongly oppose any building on the Green Belt area near Walton or Daresbury.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a long term resident of the west end of [REDACTED], I have deep concerns over the impact on the environment of the massive number of houses and supporting infrastructure near between Walton and Halton. Since moving to Warrington [REDACTED] years ago, the traffic has grown ever worse, particularly on Chester Road, and surrounding areas. Additional population will no doubt increase that load significantly. Anyone who has approached the junction of Ellesmere Road and Chester Road will know how log jammed the area is, particularly at peak times or when the swing bridge is open for ships to pass. I am also deeply concerned on the effect this will have on the greenbelt area, which is one of very few left in the South Warrington area. I strongly oppose any building on the Green Belt area near Walton or Daresbury.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with the Council's Growth aspiration, as no plan should be based on over and above baseline economic jobs forecasts. The housing target set by Government for Warrington should be challenged as the growth is unsustainable given the scale of Warrington and the available (non green belt) land.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Further assessment of urban land and brownfield development options should be undertaken and the Council should adopt a brownfield only approach to development.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with Growth aspirations set out to make the transition from a town to a New City. Warrington has neither the scale of land nor infrastructure to sustain such an increase in development and any growth at the scale proposed will have significant negative impact on the environment. The roads in Warrington are already gridlocked, any further growth in housing will increase traffic congestion, pollution, flooding and environmental damage.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed South Western Urban Extension and Garden City Suburb and outlying areas Green Belt housing development all result in significant loss of green belt which will result in loss of amenity to residents and damaging impact on the natural environment.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed South Western Urban Extension and Garden City Suburb and outlying areas Green Belt housing development all result in significant loss of green belt which will result in loss of amenity to residents and damaging impact on the natural environment. It will create further traffic congestion, drainage and flooding risks, and have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of local residents.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Local Plan should focus on delivering housing on brownfield land and identify opportunities to increase density in sustainable urban locations. For example further consideration should be given to development around existing railway and transport modal points. This will create sustainable locations for accessing employment in both Greater Manchester and Liverpool City Region. Much of the land around the stations is taken up by low grade commercial industrial uses which could generate greater value from quality housing development. This would have beneficial impact on the town centre.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Creating new employment space will only be viable with viable access to the waterfront land. If new access to the sites can be generated without significant loss of green belt then it could be supported.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed South Western Urban Extension and Garden City Suburb and outlying areas Green Belt housing development all result in significant loss of green belt which will result in loss of amenity to residents and damaging impact on the natural environment. It will create traffic congestion on already congested networks causing increased pollution and flooding risks. The scale of infrastructure proposed is unsustainable. The Development proposals will have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of local residents.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed South Western Urban Extension and Garden City Suburb and outlying areas Green Belt housing development all result in significant loss of green belt which will result in loss of amenity to residents and damaging impact on the natural environment. It will create traffic congestion on already congested networks causing increased pollution and flooding risks. The scale of infrastructure proposed is unsustainable. The Development proposals will have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of local residents.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed South Western Urban Extension and Garden City Suburb and outlying areas Green Belt housing development all result in significant loss of green belt which will result in loss of amenity to residents and damaging impact on the natural environment. It will create traffic congestion on already congested networks causing increased pollution and flooding risks. The scale of infrastructure proposed is unsustainable. The Development proposals will have a negative impact on the health and wellbeing of local residents.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposals for employment land are predicated on creating new link roads across green belt land. Only proposals which will have minimal impact on the green belt would be supported.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The sites should remain as green belt and locations should be identified within urban areas.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Consent should be required for extraction of minerals

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Over reliance on greenfield development over brownfield, increase in traffic in Warrington.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previous there is much too much sacrifice of green space and a resulting huge inflow of traffic from south to north. The principal crossing points are all controlled by swing bridges - the result will be gridlock and a deterioration in overall environmental quality in Warrington. This is already a major pinch point.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer: overuse of green space, pressure of increase traffic and urbanisation in south Warrington

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Massive growth around Croft is out of proportion and will irreverably change a semi rural area into an urban one, with traffic increases that cannot be handled by the local roads, and increasing the gridlock in Birchwood. No no no!

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand there may be a need for additional housing due to possible demand notwithstanding changing economic circumstances. However I am concerned that land already designated for development has been standing undeveloped for so long that nature has taken over again. I am concerned that there may be a lack of thought and planning for this situation. Also the current green spaces and footpaths are now in a terrible state of maintenance. Footpath widths in Appleton alone are on average half of what they were designed and constructed to be due to overgrowth. Furthermore there is already an endemic problem with dog owners not cleaning up after their dogs and or/discarding black bags in green areas. I am concerned no thought has been put into this situation.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am concerned the current traffic routes will be abused and not modified adequately to deal with the problems that additional vehicles will cause. Pollution and anti social driving are already issues in the area, and road kill alone identifies this issue.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt cannot be replace once taken away. There are enough brown field areas etc that should first be utilised.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not accept that a single urban park can adequately compensate for the loss of land to development. Already pedestrians, cyclists and dog owners are at loggerheads on the diminishing pathways that are available for public use. Even cycle tracks are now becoming overgrown. For example the road feeding to Appleton Cross has a bike painted on the track but only the back wheel is now visible due to growth. Clearly landscaping maintenance is either underfunded or inadequate. Has thought been assigned to this issue.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Trying to congregate people into a more concentrated area for recreation will cause problems as pedestrians, children, dog owners and cyclists compete for space.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Where South Warrington is concerned the Broomfields recreation centre is now inadequate. It is older than Fordton was which has since been demolished and replaced with a larger entity at Orford Park. South Warrington needs something of a similar standard if not more.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are many aspects of life in Warrington that are suffering with poor service from Warrington Borough Council. How these issues can be addressed with even more infrastructure to look after is a mystery.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington centre has undergone many supposed improvement schemes. The underlying issue is that people do not wish to be looking at their watches all the time to avoid punitive parking charges and fixed penalty notices. Until Warrington responds to the need for adequate free parking it will never recoup trade lost forever to the likes of Cheshire Oaks, and the Trafford Centre.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not think this is a good idea as already discussed in previous points. Walkers, dog walkers and Cyclists all have different needs and should be allowed their own areas to satisfy these needs without the conflict and littering that currently takes place.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt areas and villages should be protected at all costs. There are few places that wildlife can safely be displaced to if this is not upheld.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are still plenty of brown field sites not utilised. These should be used first.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

They should be controlled in a manner which ensures they contribute to local services, pay taxes and do not cause problems to others or flytip after many of their activities have taken place and they have moved on.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There should be a major effort to control dog littering, keep footpaths at their correct width to ensure proper use, and guidelines as to what the public can expect where maintenance of public space is concerned.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

where seems to be a big push not to renovate run down areas which then become less attractive for investment to improve them. then building new housing these areas are left to become even more run down and the new builds are put on the green field sites thus increasing the urban spread into the green belt area which is one of the reasons that has made this town sort after in the housing market. basically improve the run down areas before cutting up the green belt, because once you do that it'll never return.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

employment land - I see that there are many new shops and many more olds one that are not being used in the town centre, what plans are in place to entice business back in to these. a couple of years ago lymm village was one of the top place in the country to live and grappenhall and stocktonheath are also well sort after places to live why is this. is it because they are villages? these new build houses are more like urban sprawls with no centre and no group of amenities shops pubs churches school etc.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

all green belt areas should be safe guarded that why it is call green belt so that its stays green belt for every one to enjoy.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

a poorly thought through idea. trying to be rush through and passed as quickly as possible by passing all the people it will effect. so much so that the local parish council hardly knew anything about it.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

try involving the local parish councils there the people who have the voice of the local people in those areas it might be more productive.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

has this plan been thought through properly and not just a whim of some council meeting. ie some of the previous road changes have shown a shocking lack of thought for how the traffic actually flow meaning a modification to the outcome at the tax payers cost.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

its green belt and should stay green belt. its a easy target to dig up green fields rather than renovate the run down areas of the town. which will kill the village atmosphere of the south warrington areas of Appleton stocktonheath grappenhall thelwall and lymm.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

the new road is really required to remove the traffic from bridgefoot but again it looks poorly thought through, what happens to the traffic at it hits the road at sankey bridges. does it just disappear? it'll will clog up cronwell avenue

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Burtonwood is a small village, we want to keep it that way and retain our rural identity. We do not to spoil what green belt we have left. We don't need anymore housing in the village. We do not have the infrastructure of a big town like Warrington. We in the village will fight you all the way if you decide to go ahead in spoiling our village.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is enough empty housing and waste land in Warrington, no need to spoil our village life.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Build on the brown sites, there's plenty of them.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't need more houses, we need our rural status and will fight all the way to retain it.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's [REDACTED] !!!

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

[REDACTED] !!!

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's [REDACTED] !!

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

[REDACTED] !!!

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

■■ !!

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

■■ !!

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More ■■ !!

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Build next door to your houses.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

■■ !!

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

■■■■ !!

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Get some sense.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The assessment should take more account of the HS2 project - as currently proposed this will surely have a detrimental impact on the viability of Warrington. I appreciate this is well-nigh impossible, but at the very least would support the incremental approach to development to keep options open. The assessment should also take into account the current Government's failure to invest in east-west railway routes which will affect the potential commuter market and the need for housing within the Borough

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The housing need figure requires breaking down into categories of housing (eg starter homes, social housing, extra care) to allow the prospective allocations to be fine-tuned to suit the land supply

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Assume this is based on the criteria set out in 3.4 (average net site area of 75% and an average density of 30 dwellings per hectare). This is a coarse assessment and must be fine-tuned to encourage creative / more efficient development strategies at site level.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have to take this on trust !

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Note - I suspect you have been working on this for ages ! Rightly or wrongly this review / request for comments has only just come to my notice leaving little time for coherent study. So - again - has to be taken on trust

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

From cursory examination it appears there are comprehensive / mixed use development proposed for land to the north of Croft and Culcheth which is total nonsense

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Don't agree with the quest for city status

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As before - don't agree with the city status aspiration. otherwise it's OK

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This seems to be the sort of coherent planning that should accompany a local plan, hinting at character and urban form as opposed to pure land use.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Expansion of settlements creates pure dormitory areas, requiring commuting to employment centres and exacerbating the impact on the green belt. Note also the current decline in investment in rural / urban fringe public transport which forces commuters to use the (already overcrowded) roads

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Emphasise that green belt release is a last resort and that justification needs to demonstrate 'exceptional circumstances' and include an Environmental Statement to examine all potential impacts of the development. If this is not done, developers will address the identified sites as the 'low hanging fruit' providing the best return for their profit.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst I understand the need for more homes including affordable ones. I do not want green belt land used for such projects. I am also extremely concerned that traffic movement , which is already unsustainable has not been planned correctly. I object to any such proposals and will continue to do so until I see some cohesive and robust plans presented.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Whilst I understand the need for more homes including affordable ones. I do not want green belt land used for such projects. I am also extremely concerned that traffic movement , which is already unsustainable has not been planned correctly. I object to any such proposals and will continue to do so until I see some cohesive and robust plans presented.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No green belt land should be utilised. There are many more other areas surrounding Warrington particularly to the north of Warrington that could be utilised.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are several issues facing Warrington none of which are address by this proposal. Traffic congestion is getting worse by the day and adding more housing and or business premises without satisfactorily tackling the traffic management situation will only exacerbate the situation. Taking green belt land for new housing is completely unacceptable. There is plenty of brown field land that should be utilised first. Transport links to the town are also terrible you can hardly get up the M^ motorway to get to Warrington at present let alone in the future. The Council are not in tune with ordinary peoples needs and requirements and I totally reject the proposals as they stand.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Obviously some has beeb reading too many books of how town planning should look in the future. Common sense has been abandoned at the expense of a utopian view.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Very badly and without common sense though process.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington faces a number of issues none of which are addressed in these proposals. Traffic is getting worse by the day and will only get worse unless proper traffic management is put in place now, and that is only going to solve today's issues never mind all the new issues you proposals will bring.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't need a Garden City Suburb we have lovely space at present which you should just leave alone.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Ban them altogether

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Get a new council on board with some sensible people on it to actually meet the needs of the residents of Warrington in a manner that is beneficial to all concerned. You the Council are not representing the peoples views, may I remind you that you are our servants and it is I who pay your wages.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As my Wife has previously mentioned, we have had no communication from you in relation to your proposals nor the chance to meet face to face and hear what you have to say. I wish to put in writing that after having read about the many things you wish to change we strongly object to your proposals. We want Warrington Borough Council scrap the entire Preferred Development Option plan. We require that the 'aspirations for massive growth', the adverse impacts of which would significantly and demonstrably outweigh any supposed benefits, be forthwith abandoned and that Warrington Borough Council concentrate on delivering a draft local plan which reflects the true needs and aspirations of the residents of Warrington. We require that the housing target is significantly reduced to reflect true local need rather than through any forced, unsustainable growth of the town. We require an environmentally friendly plan which is genuinely based on community and citizen led needs rather than one which is land speculator / developer led. A plan which respects, protects and reflects our historical and cultural identity, our landscape, greenbelt, green fields and natural habitats and which contributes positively to our health and wellbeing. We insist on our right to 'self-determination' and insist that we are granted fair and genuine opportunity at all times and in all circumstances to participate fully and effectively in shaping our future and the future of the town we live in. Furthermore, we strongly oppose the Preferred Development Option of the Local Draft Plan 2017 currently proposed by Warrington Borough Council. We do not agree with Warrington Borough Council's aspirations and arguments for massive urban growth and/or a New City status. We believe that the proposed housing target calculation of 24,000 additional homes to be built over a 20-year period is not substantiated and does not reflect of the needs of Warrington and its people either in type, number or distribution. The suggested 'need' for increased acreage for employment sites is grossly over-exaggerated. The release of Green Belt land for the purposes of urban development and sprawl in any area of the town is unacceptable and unnecessary. Green Belt should remain Green Belt. Green fields, woodlands, natural habitats should not be destroyed. The proposed supporting infrastructure of roads and highways will be destructive, invasive and difficult to build. It will not alleviate congestion/pollution in the town. The consultation period has been badly timed over school/family holidays leaving people insufficient time to thoroughly consider the plans and thus depriving people of the opportunity to participate effectively. Warrington Borough Hospital is at present unable to serve the town's residents and no adequate provision for healthcare has been suggested in the plan. This plan does not constitute 'sustainable' development. Warrington has already suffered far too much destruction of its historic buildings, distinctive identity, local culture and character by the building of shed shops, malls and huge car parks. Many of its local and town centre businesses have disappeared. The massive, un-sustainable growth proposed will result in the destruction of Warrington and its environs which we know and love. Burtonwood, has already seen most of its beautiful land built on, we do not want city status or a huge bridge which requires you to compulsory purchase peoples houses! How awful, please reconsider. Yours sincerely [REDACTED]

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You tell me, where is this information

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

how?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have already written about my concerns

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have already written about my concerns

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you supplied me with this information? Sent it to me by post?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Where is your literature?

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No to this idea

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is inadequate infrastructure within the village to accommodate further development. There is no safe passage out of the village other than in a vehicle. Money needs to be invested on the village environment & services prior to increasing numbers of residents and more traffic volumes. The village has been forgotten after building a enormous industrialised area called omega investment in infrastructure stopped at the M62. I think the council have a cheek in wanting to development agricultural land to house employees cor the area without fundamental change to existing first.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It was originally explained that each area of Warrington would take a share of the development limited to 10% of current households, this was deemed to be 60 for croft so why has a proposal been put forward by Peel to build in excess of 200 homes?

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Poor thought for the area of Burtonwood that is already overcrowded. No school places for extra children. No improved public transport. No monies for footpaths and no improvement in health provision. Land being applied for is prime viable agricultural green belt. Other green areas in the village are better accessed to the road network have been brought under a nitrate free zone so are unviable as agricultural land. It also doesn't take into account the historical cottages and previously developed land that is now seen as green belt. Or land that has been passed for other large developments in the recent past before, closer to the motorway and with less need to invest in the village road structure. The plan for Burtonwood is a mess and will produce little or no benefit for the people who already live there

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Little thought has clearly been given to land viability before looking at which areas are to be released from the green belt. Agricultural viable land should not be released before land that is covered by nitrate banning orders for example. Or land that borders major road networks should be used before siting large developments in the middle of villages when the roads cannot be expanded to take extra traffic! Or land that has previously had housing on it or been industrial works. Or even farms and surrounding properties that have historically had multiple cottages removed within living memory. In Burtonwood there have been over 40 cottages removed over the last 40-50 years that could be reinstated without causing major development in the village itself.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It takes into account only land that it has received applications for before. Was not made available to all landowners to propose development and will allow companies to buy and sit on land as an investment without actually solving the problems of poor and non existant affordable housing that is greatly required in the borough. Omega housing development is a case in point that could have been high levels of affordable housing stock, which it isn't. It shouldn't have ever been released for housing or warehouse depots and should have complied with the original strategic plan for science and high skilled employment areas

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Land viability clearly wasn't a major factor in determining release from the green belt. Has all the brown field sites really been used up??? The town centre is like a ghost town and surely lots of the empty shops could be used to house families with some small amount of physical change before we rip up the green belt in Appleton and north Warrington?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It doesn't meet the needs of the lowest income families or those in the most deprived areas of the borough, little or no visable investment in infrastructure to support the development of aoutlying areas has been brought forward. Let alone the communications and warren structures that need replacing

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It doesn't need to be built on the scale or in the location as there are more suitable major road side locations in the green belt that would cause far less problems

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The land identifies in lots of areas is still viable agricultural land and unviable land is not included

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

An impact study on exciting residents. The schools and other infrastructure that's required for increased development. We have built junction8 not big enough and has already been expanded twice in7 years. This isn't planning for the future it's working on the hoof and the strategic plan already looks the same way

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

you have not taken into account the traffic problems we already have 800 new houses will make things worse, plus the pollution that residents will have to endure

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

housing goes right up to the moterways where is the green belt?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

do not agree with them

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

more nature reserve will be ruined

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

we need affordable housing that young people can afford also social housing, not a free for all to benefit the national house building companies

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

the residents have not been considered

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

the industrial estate near the m6 junction seems an extension of the appleton thorn estate, and the traffic will not come into the town

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Over a number of years living in the Warrington and Appleton area I have witnessed a large amounts of green and pleasant countryside disappear to be swallowed up by housing. I believe the present infrastructure is insufficient I can't see an improvement with the present proposed development making a significant difference in my opinion it will be worse. Therefore I oppose this development wholeheartedly.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

To much green land is being taken all designated green belt should remain green belt.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Designated green belt land should remain green belt land.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt land should remain green belt.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Providing employment land is no guarantee of employment.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

24000 seems over excessive especially if bulldozing over majority of green belt. Council seem obsessed with becoming a new town/city.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt should be protected. South Warrington especially looks like it will be turned into a big housing estate

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Infrastructure is not in place to accommodate 24000 new homes

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Good job on waterfront upto now! Should continue development on waterfront as should be made prime location to live

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes if it's going to built over all of the greenbelt

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Attached

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Already many office buildings unoccupied after many years - particularly Woolston Grange

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are delusional if you think the world needs more commercial sites and top rnd housing .We are entering a global depression not a crack up boom do your homework

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is an absolute disgrace and you should be ashamed of yourselves, Your hypocrisy is beyond belief . You are proposing to eradicate a nature reserve !!!!You are by definition planning to eradicate a Village Look up the definition if you do not understand what makes a village.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

see previous answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

common sense

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Objection to plans to build homes on green belt land in Croft Parish in Warrington. This is based on the disruption to the Croft village from the increase in traffic and the impact on wildlife and natural habitats within Croft village. The building work itself will cause large disruption to the village. It would ruin the current footpaths due to having quiet walks disrupted by the houses, noise from residents and an unattractive outlook onto houses instead of fields and trees etc.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Although the documentation (Preferred_Development_Option_Final_July_2017_LR.pdf) states 60 houses to be built on green belt land in Croft, other information from Peel Holdings proposes 200 houses to be built within the village of Croft.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plans to building on green belt land in Croft is viewed to have a detrimental impact on the village due to increased traffic and impacted views and wildlife.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Plans to building on green belt land in Croft is viewed to have a detrimental impact on the village due to increased traffic and impacted views and wildlife.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Objection to plans to build homes on green belt land in Croft Parish in Warrington. This is based on the disruption to the Croft village from the increase in traffic and the impact on wildlife and natural habitats within Croft village. The building work itself will cause large disruption to the village. It would ruin the current footpaths due to having quiet walks disrupted by the houses, noise from residents and an unattractive outlook onto houses instead of fields and trees etc.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Suggested approach is unclear

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your predictions are based upon the LEP figures, which following Brexit are now out of date. eg. European funding (not now guaranteed) and reduction in expected European population growth in Warrington. The 'Warrington Means Business' document states that we are located closely between two major cities. New jobs created in Warrington will not necessarily attract employees who want to buy a property in Warrington. Our Local MP has stated that only a 15 year plan is needed at present, so why a 20 Year Plan put forward. All areas in the North West are developing plans. Why would Warrington be the preferred choice for people who are not locals, to move here and so create a housing need so much greater than the 'Local' current and future needs. Your predictions are that there are less young working people in Warrington (and the rest of the UK) over the next 20 years. Who is going to be employed in all these new businesses? Back to BREXIT again... the numbers will not be certain. The Plan needs to be put on hold or revised when UK is in a more certain position.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is nonsense to build any more industry in the centre of Warrington. Changes to the centre such as shops and the new Market, are not succeeding. Shoppers liked what they had for general everyday shopping. Changes to compete with Manchester, Liverpool, Trafford Centre and Online shopping are not realistic. Stockport found this out to their detriment! The only people who want these changes, are those with a vested interest in making big money from the initial development and subsequent outrageous service charges and Bank Interest payments, that the people of Warrington will have to cover, for many future years.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much land from the Greenbelt and not time specific. NO land in greenbelt should be released before ALL brownfield sites are exhausted. This includes Fiddlers Ferry Power Station Land when this is decommissioned. Developers should be required to build ONLY types of houses urgently needed first and the planners must

make them 'Contract' to provide all the stipulated terms and conditions of planning e.g. % of affordable housing, with the relevant auditing and accountability, that Contracts are performed in these areas.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plan is trying to attract new people to Warrington with facilities that the Council THINKS they want, at the expense of the existing population which is very happy with what they have.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are bringing more traffic into Warrington Town Centre, to enable your plans. What residents want is to take all development and traffic away from Warrington. We do not want HS2 HS3 in Warrington

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The needs are incorrect so the Plan is also

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The whole of the Town Centre should be closed to traffic. Warrington will never be a city. Manchester and Liverpool would never allow it! The townspeople of Warrington don't want it. Only the Councillors seem to want the 'Power and Influence' that this will bring.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why despite all the evidence, are you insisting on developing a Flood Zone. The 'New' People of Warrington will suffer when their new homes and businesses flood.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The name says it all. This is just a way to get your hands on the relevant funds. Building on this greenbelt is a crime and the suggested new roads are never going to solve the traffic problem. What chance have you when you can't even manage existing road structures. Even the new road surface from the Town Centre to the M62 is still flooding badly, after spending all that money resurfacing.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much development, which is not required. Small developments would not require the road structures you are suggesting. There are not enough GP's, Hospitals, to cover this area even now and emergency ambulance

responses are dire in Warrington. I do not believe that anyone in WBC is experienced enough in all these areas to make such sweeping judgements about the Towns way of life.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Development in outlying settlements is joining all areas as one. Places are loosing their identity.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

New employment land may or may not be needed, But your habit of giving away WBC held land to the likes of Peel Holding is suspect.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why do you make special provision for them. What about concessions for the rest of us.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Don't agree with bringing more waste to Warrington from outside the borough. Local tips are better for recycling. The rest of the waste disposal should be sited away from housing developments

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A tramline from Altrincham to Warrington on the old railway line.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No justification for the large increase in numbers/areas from the 2014 Local plan

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

your calculations/guess have no substantiation

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

green belt land,which may be vital in the future for food production, should not be squandered,even if this is not what developers want to hear

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I accept there has to be development,but not on the scale proposed

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

too much of the development ,no doubt the preferred location for the developers is south of the MSC

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do't want to see Warrington reaching 'city'size--who will benefit from this?

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously stated damage to green belt and scale of proposals are not being accorded sufficient prominence

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Do we need this?-a gigantic suburban sprawl,which Warrington will be left with when the developers and planners have moved on

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes -see previous responses

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

YES-SEE PREVIOUS RESPONSES

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The detailed documents are by necessity full of assumptions and judgements. Any number of homes could have arisen; 5000, 40000 ?. The PDO should reflect the uncertainty .

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comment regarding uncertainties.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The need to release green belt land is a result of the initial exam question. This initial philosophy recieved very little public feedback. You failed to consult effectively.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Assessment of Option 2 is very subjective. Some are pure motherhood justifications.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my letter. Warrington will never be a pretty town. It will never attract money. You are dreaming. Please do not create a white elephant;

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous. It cant compete with day Salford Keys.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See my letter.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Get compensation for traffic to divert away from the new Mersey crossing.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is there minimal development here ? Less infrastructure would be required per unit home.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Stretton & Appleton. Could face critical competition from areas closer to the HS2; these will be of far greater scale.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why not just evict us non-labour voters from our homes.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment. I have not read this particular section.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

They are going against all previous promises mde

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It should not be a priority. We should be improving what we've got

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have let many people down

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not in the interest of the town

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

They are not clear and not in the common interest

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You haven't assessed you have just barged hed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It has nothing to do with needs

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's not a city and shouldn't be

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Already too much money edited on this over the years

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No justification fix what broken before doing more

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Waste of resources

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not in the common interests

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There too much already

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Waste of resources

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington doesn't have the infrastructure for new homes. The ship canal causes logistical problems for the whole of Warrington. As well as this broadband and schools aren't equipped for a huge increase in population numbers.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Far too many homes, please see previous comments regarding capacity in terms of schools, roads and broadband.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No, far too much green belt land being taken away. The area doesn't have enough green spaces and I feel that it will be turned into an urban metropolis.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington doesn't have the infrastructure to cope.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I live in Croft and feel that the traffic in the village is too much currently. More houses will cause a problem.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington doesn't have the infrastructure, especially north of the town.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Croft has poor broadband, traffic issues and the schools in the area are full. More bourse here will cause further issues.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

These people leave rubbish wherever they go. As well as this crime increases when they are in the area. Giving them a permanent base without paying tax is nothing short of a insult to hard working people.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No more houses

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The so-called need for new homes and employment land is based on pure conjecture and crystal ball gazing. There is no foundation in fact. Politicians and developers want it for self advancement and profit.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The extent of 'brown field' sites has been underestimated

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much green belt will be destroyed.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not enough care taken to protect the existing quality of life.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

not enough protection of the green belt land

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unacceptable destruction of green belt and total failure to address transport infrastructure.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

From the early days of the New Town Development Corporation it was always accepted as fact that any development to the south of the ship canal would absolutely necessitate at least one new bridge over the Bridgewater and Ship Canals, and much additional road infrastructure. Without this, and other provisions, any additional housing will create untenable traffic congestion in all southern parishes. Any Council who willingly inflict this burden on their residents are clearly guilty of criminal negligence.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are more brown field sites to be used first

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The government has issues guidance on the degree of housing required for the next 20 years for each area. The preferred development plan goes well beyond this guidance based on some very suspicious and dubious projections. The fundamental assumption of turning Warrington into flawed. If the projections were based on realistic assessment of Warrington remaining a town they would not be so extreme.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Several brown field sites within the existing built up areas have been dismissed out of hand (this is flawed) in the preferred development plan in an attempt to make a case for extreme circumstances to as to make a green belt land grab.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As already stated the preferred development plan makes ludicrous claims about housing requirements over the next 20 years in a blatant attempt to reclassify green belt land for development. The whole plan appears geared towards the wishes of greedy property developers and not the needs of the actual community.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, the principal assumption in the plan is that Warrington is to become a city. The council has no mandate to turn Warrington from a town to a city. The objectives in the plan do not reflect the wishes of the community and appear to be geared towards greedy developers.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The council has only consulted on the preferred development option having readily dismissed other options out of hand. The amount of building suggested in the plan is flawed ergo any assessment of the different spatial options are also flawed.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The council has made a seriously flawed case for extreme circumstance in the preferred development option in a bid to release green belt land. Other less 'profitable' development locations (i.e brown field sites) have been readily dismissed out of hand to ensure that the suggested main development locations maximize developer profits. I find it very hard to believe that large houses with large gardens in expensive parts of the region are the correct assessment of Warringtons housing needs over the next 20 years.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As previously stated, I think the central assumption of turning Warrington into a city is seriously flawed. The council has no mandate for such a plan, there as been no referendum and the plan has not appeared in any electoral manifesto. If I wanted to live in a city surrounding by dual carriageways I would have bought a house in Manchester or Liverpool! Additionally I believe the assumptions in Preferred Development Option are all geared to justify the green belt land grab.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There are several brown field sites in the city centre that have been dismissed for development in favour of building on the green belt.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have not investigated the Waterfront development enough to comment on it.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The amount of green-belt lost to build this so called Garden city is appalling. If the council had done a realistic assessment of Warrington housing needs over the next 20 years they would have come to the conclusion that a Garden city suburb is not required. Also the proposed development site of the Garden City suburb will attract people work in Manchester or Liverpool NOT Warrington so the projections for capital growth are flawed.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, if we prioritize brown-field development over green belt then extent of the urban extension is not required. I do not want Warrington to become a city and all this urban development is not wanted.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The village nature of the outlying settlements are already in danger of been lost due to urban spread. The unique characteristics of these outlying settlements should be preserved and the council should fighting to preserve the green-belt that separates the outlying settlements not attempting to build on it.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Creating employment land means factories and warehouses. These industries employ lots of low skilled people on minimum wage. If the council frees up green belt for developers to build large expensive houses (which they will) then where will all these low paid people live. The councils assessment of housing needs and projections are misleading and inadequate.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand the council has a legal requirement to cater to gypsies and the like. However the has simply used this fact to lend weight to their case for green belt land grab. The council does not appeared to have consulted with gypsies and travellers to actually find out their needs.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As per the last question, the council has used the case for dealing with minerals and waste as an argument for green belt land grab.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes, a new realistic plan that actually caters to the wishes of the residents of Warrington and not the developers. They should present a local plan that maximizes the amount of green belt retention by developing on brown fields.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I was never asked if I wanted to live in a city! If I wanted to live in a city I would live in Manchester or Liverpool! What is wrong with being 'a thriving and successful large TOWN'? Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see attached file for objections raised

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

a reduction of housing development!

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please refer to covering objection letter

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is clear that the Council have based the plan on a number of homes which much exceeds that required leading to a level of green belt land use that is not needed and definitely not exceptional circumstances . It is clear from the plan that these numbers are driven by the council's desire for our town to become a city - not a desire that seems shared by the majority of residents.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There has been no account taken of the potential release of further 'brown field' sites over the time period of the plan.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The National Planning Policy Framework says that Green Belt land should only be used in exceptional circumstances. In no way can the release of Green Belt under this plan be classed as 'exceptional circumstances' when other options have not been explored fully.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe that strains on infrastructure has been properly taken into account. The already overstained transport links from South to North Warrington will be made much worth with the excessive development in the south of the town and the associated additional traffic.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe that strains on infrastructure has been properly taken into account. The already overstained transport links from South to North Warrington will be made much worth with the excessive development in the south of the town and the associated additional traffic.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is excessive and unnecessary use of Green Belt land and too little focus on the effect on already overstretched infrastructure and transport links and additional pollution.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The addition of over 7,000 houses will completely change the character of the area, subsuming currently separated settlements into one huge urban area. There is no comprehensive traffic survey in the plan and the increase of thousands of vehicles will put a huge strain on a traffic network already creaking and which contains a number of unresolvable bottlenecks over the canals and river. The use of Green Belt land cannot be justified as 'exceptional circumstances'.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, the addition of nearly 2,000 houses will completely change the character of the area. There is no comprehensive traffic survey in the plan and the increase of thousands of vehicles will put a huge strain on a traffic network already creaking and which contains a number of unresolvable bottlenecks over the canals and river. The use of Green Belt land cannot be justified as 'exceptional circumstances'.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The use of Green Belt land cannot be justified as 'exceptional circumstances'.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Comprehensive environmental and traffic surveys.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree with property being built in Green belt areas.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't want Warrington to become a City.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is the focus for new houses in the Lymm, Grappenhall & Thelwall areas?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

How you going to address the traffic problem in the town centre?

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am 110% against this!! We are going to lose all the green areas in south Warrington.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

They need to make effective use of brownfield sites before building on greenbelt & also the loss of the trans pennine trail

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Use brownfield sites

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The new Latchford flyover needs to be stopped, along with the development to the garden city suburb

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The decision to plan for growth over and above the baseline economic jobs forecast is based on the council's ambitions to make Warrington a New City. As a resident I do not share these ambitions - we are situated between two established cities in the North West and expanding Warrington seems an unnecessary step considering the impact it will have on the NW infrastructure which already struggles to cope with the demand from Manchester and Liverpool (specifically the M6, M60 and M62), which will not be eased by proposed improvements to road connections in the Warrington area. Also, the expansion plan is based on economic growth forecasts rather than housing needs which can be determined by studying trends in the housing market and demand on assisted housing. An increase in property price or rental would indicate a need for more housing, rather than using economic activity rates. In addition, people rarely work close to home therefore housing demand is not closely correlated to economic growth, and vice versa.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The amount of land to be safeguarded seems irrelevant - it is currently safeguarded by being designated Green Belt but that has not stopped this development proposal. Green Belt has been designated for a reason to manage the environment and I do not agree that the expansion aspiration of the council are sufficient reason to release Green Belt for development. I do not accept the exceptional circumstances for release of green belt. Increasing development will overall increase traffic in Warrington, and then the subsequent release of green belt to be replaced by pockets of green space will surely have a detrimental effect on the environment. If Warrington continues to expand into Green Belt along with other towns and cities eventually there will be no Green Belt left - Green Belt needs to be protected, as is the purpose of Green Belt.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with the initial objective (W1) to transition to a New City, and the scale of the housing and employment area requirements which as stated earlier I do not believe have been calculated in an appropriate way. I do not agree at all with the use of Green Belt for development (W2). I agree with W3. I agree with the principle of W4, but only in so far as the infrastructure does not cope with the demand currently placed on it. Improvements need to be made to deal with current congestion, and any necessary expansion would need even more investment in infrastructure. I agree with W5 but I do not think this plan for the Green Belt areas adheres to this objective - it is contradictory given the aim to remove green space in order to facilitate expansion. I agree with W6.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green Belt release should be an absolute last resort and not the basis for 2/3 of the options considered. The spatial options should be reconsidered based on the actual requirements, given the lack of resident support for expansion into a New City.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why were areas North and East of Warrington discounted? The result being that any development would disproportionately affect residents in the South and West of Warrington, where the current public transport links are weakest (i.e. no train line).

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't think any of the options are suitable for the area, they all assume a use of Green Belt and an unnecessary level of development to match a growth aspiration without appropriate underpinning, i.e. based on economic growth and the councils aspirations to become a city rather than housing demand.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This seems to be an appropriate level of development for the town centre and will improve the current environment.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Disagree with the use of Green Belt for development without a clear need for expansion on the scale proposed.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a Stockton Heath resident living along [REDACTED], the proposed area for development would directly impact me I am concerned about the impact on:1. The value of my property - currently overlooking greenbelt land, any significant development would certainly have a negative impact on the desirability of the area and subsequently my property.2. The impact on the character of the area - the local area currently enjoys a balance of country side and accessibility. Expanding Warrington would place us within the middle of the City rather than on the Town/Country border with significant impact on the character of the area.3. Traffic - Already a concern for local residents, any development would increase traffic and any improvements to infrastructure should be done with the aim of improving the current situation. I do not believe that

improvements will be sufficient to handle the increase from construction which will last for a significant period, and ongoing commuter traffic.4. Environmental impact - a significant increase in the number of homes, business and subsequently vehicles, as well as the removal of green space, will impact on the environmental cleanliness, air quality, noise pollution and light pollution.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Disagree with the use of Green Belt release for development without a clear need.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Disagree with the use of Green Belt release for development without a clear need.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Assumes agreement with Warrington council's aspirations to be a city. New employment land should not be found using Green Belt release but utilising existing sites which are not currently being used for businesses (i.e. brownfield sites) and existing open spaces within the town.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Gypsies and travellers do not pay council tax, why are special provisions being made? Would they pay tax rates to stay on this land? The release of green belt should only occur in exceptional circumstances, this does not seem to be an exceptional circumstance.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Improving existing infrastructure to ease current congestion issues without considering expansion, for example train links in South Warrington.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Takes no account of Brexit effect, no indication of type of employment land - not more retail parks surely? With the demise of manufacturing, a lot of industry in Warrington is now warehousing and distribution which should be placed near the motorway network. Stretton Airfield is not included in the plan - why not use a brown field site instead of destroying green belt in the Walton South West area?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I can't see what is being proposed for the numerous brown field sites within Warrington boundaries. There are plenty of waste ground sites that can take affordable housing very close to the town centre

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Can;t see any calculations, what type of houses are being proposed - 4 bedroom detached houses take up a lot more room than a 3 storey apartment complex as I'm sure you know. What calculations have been done for proposed council tax income across the new dwellings?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think the objectives have not been explained well. What sort of industry are you looking to attract? Most new houses in South West area will be for people who commute out of town so what is the benefit to building houses there apart from higher council tax incomes? Warrington and Runcorn New Town was a failure in terms of housing, roads and facilities. What lessons have been learned and what is being done to remediate it? I see Runcorn have redeveloped a lot of the terrible housing and estates that were put in. You only have to look at New Town House to understand where the mentality comes from to create a Local Plan like this.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is extremely Warrington centric and appears no consideration has been given to surrounding areas, predominantly Halton. It appears to be this is what we are going to do - hard luck and trying to create a single urban conurbation from Runcorn all the way through to Warrington. Why are you destroying green belt land so you can put in local parks in the new housing areas - defies logic to me.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is very hard to drive into via the A56 and drive through most times of day and especially when there is an incident on the M56 or M6. You need to look at Stretton Airfield instead or land North of the canal that is already ex-industrial and non agricultural. The sizes are the same and access is easier surely?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No - Warrington town centre is dead, there are many interesting buildings allowed to go to ruin and has no atmosphere or town centre feel about it. Adding thousands of homes won't make it better because Warrington is hard to get into and when there it's not attractive. Warrington isn't a desirable place to live and play and people will commute and shop elsewhere. You'll just have more sprawl and still be not a nice place to go.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington will never be a city as it is not visitor or shopper friendly, it's just a place to cross the river and now all the new bridges will make it easier to avoid Warrington it will get even more lifeless. There are too many out of town retail parks and the only places in Warrington of character are Palmyra Square and potentially Bridge Street which is currently a dump. You should compulsory purchase a lot of those buildings and do something with them, although from past episodes they will likely be knocked down.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No foresight - Warrington BC let Walton Lock area be built over which would have made a great Peel ports wharves and also a place to turn ships. The proposed area to the North of Moore Lane and proposed West Coast main Line connection are a good idea but won't benefit Warrington per se and why place houses directly opposite that, opposite the chemical works and next to the new 90 feet bridge to be built?

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You should use Stretton Airfield instead and keep the fields

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consideration given to impact on Moore residents, traffic is bad as it is and the new houses won't help. It's opposite a chemical works, destroys green belt and agricultural land and driving from Warrington to Runcorn will be one long drive through houses

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many brown field sites that can be consumed first

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many empty warehouses and wasteland on existing industrial areas. Needs to be close to a motorway so why not use Stretton Airfield or regenerate Barleycastle Lane area. What makes Warrington BC think Widnes and Runcorn and St Helens and surrounding areas also provide employment areas that would compete with Warrington's proposals? You'll end up with more emptiness. Their town centres feel more like town centres and have character.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Need to be well away from residential areas due to the blight they bring

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Mineral preservation sites I do agree with, I didn't see a waste document

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A vision for Warrington as a town and as a community Most people in South West and Garden areas will go to Manchester, Liverpool, Chester for work and for entertainment because Warrington as it stands at present is a dump.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly disagree with the plan and the reasoning for new homes over the next 20 years.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think this figures that you have worked out have been grossly enlarged, sees we have Brexit and our economic growth has declined. We do not require nor do we require 24000 new houses to be built across the South Side of Warrington. I am most displeased with these figures and feel distress and sickened with your proposal of such a large amount of houses eating in my our Green Belt sacred areas. There are only 13% of Green Belt left across the country and you wish to take this away from the public.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Have you worked out these number of houses on the back of a fag packet, as it seems so to me! Our road and motorway network is heavily congested currently and to add 24000 houses with vehicles on this network is incredibly thoughtless. Highways England Road investment Strategy has not taken into account for such a large amount of vehicles and road freight which will be created by this onslaught of houses being built. If this proposal goes ahead the congestion would be unbearable. The Ramp Metering site at M6 J20 NB is already struggling to merge the current congestion on the main carriageway over M6 Thelwall Viaduct with the traffic be it road freight /vehicles from local road network.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think you have talking your Magic 8 Ball again!Where we I run, cycle and walk with the children if you build on it!?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No, I DO NOT agree with the new Local Plan objectives. It has not got the local community best interests in mind. It is just a money making scheme for the council and the developers. If the council did care about local opinion then they would stop this plan altogether immediately.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your 'spatial options' for Warrington future development, as you so called it. You have not gave us any options. You have already made your decisions and now you are pretending to go through the motions. I utterly disgusted with Warrington Council and how they have gone about with this whole process. I just hope this stop with proposal in its tracks.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

you have picked the South side where you can make the most money!!

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You asked your Magic 8 ball again. Your calculations have been made up by a 2 year old which you have trebled and times by 10. to make as much money as possible for both you and the developers? Well prove me wrong and stop the proposal now!

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is a TOWN not a city for starters, Liverpool and Manchester are cities just to let you know.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

just left it alone. do not develop it. I enjoy running along the waterfront - thank you

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I DO NOT like the Warrington Garden City Suburb. Warrington is a town not a city. you can keep you designated rubbish small park and you live there.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I wish you not to build anything on the South side as it is already heavily built upon.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I wish you not to build Outlying Settlements and preferred development options. Build on the field outside the Warrington Town Hall & park

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with this new employment land approach, I think it has been mismanaged and misinformed. Over developed to maximise profits

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I disagree with your dealings / approach with the Gypsy and traveller and travelling showpeople sites. What is your thinking behind your proposal?

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Did you flip a coin on this approach or did you play tic tac toe? This is how your approach appears to me?

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

To give me a hundred million dollars!!

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I'm no expert and feel this is a very leading question. Do I agree building on Green Belt land, with insufficient infrastructure in place? No!

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This will cause massive disruption, pollution and excessive traffic congestion.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Rural area with limited infrastructure. Not in keeping with local environment.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have failed to take into account future working practices. Broadband provision is extremely sparse. Traffic increase to leave the area for work is unsustainable.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have no knowledge of the impact of Brexit and / or future issues such as HS2. You are basically guessing.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is a ridiculous question for an average resident to answer. What is the point of it?

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My objections to the developments in Croft and surrounding area is stated below. The current green belt is a vital part of the landscape and character of the local Croft environment. Losing this will result in the irreversible change to the lifestyles of the residents. Many of whom have chosen Croft specifically for its current nature. It will not be possible for the majority to recapture this anywhere else given their age and circumstances. The turn from Mustard Lane into Abbey Close is already a dangerous endeavour due to lack of visibility of oncoming traffic. Increasing standing traffic here will inevitably increase collisions and fatalities due

to speed of traffic coming from Culcheth. Additional foot traffic from any new houses on the Heathcroft Stud Farm development will use the immediate Deacons Close exit onto Mustard Lane to get to the bus stop and school. This will adversely affect the quality of life for the residents in what is currently a fairly quiet thoroughfare of closely coupled residences. The covenants on the estate disallow the erection of fences as it is meant to be an open space. This means heavy foot traffic will be very noticeable by the residents. It is also possible for foot traffic to see directly into many of the current estates living rooms. This means the residents will have to live behind curtains, curtailing their current rights to privacy. Also, damage to residents cars will be more likely as there are no gates and already constrained parking spaces. The traffic to travel out of Croft during rush hours is already very heavy and dictates that journeys are pre-planned. This will be exacerbated to a life threatening level of delay as no ambulance service will be able to reach Croft residents within acceptable timescales. This will happen on day one of the development. The pleasant walks across the fields between the General Elliot and the Plough will be lost. The primary school is currently at capacity with no expansion potential. There are no GP Practices physically located within the Croft settlement area. Dental services are practically non-existent in Northern Warrington. No convenience stores, hairdressers or newsagents are available, increasing vehicle traffic. A major settlement extension in Croft would effectively result in the creation of a new outlying settlement. It would totally transform the character of Croft and potentially exacerbate secondary school capacity issues in north and east Warrington. It could detract from the Council's New City Aspirations and would impact on the Green Belt objective. A sustainable settlement extension would see Croft increase by over 50%. This could have an impact on the character of the settlement and potentially the surrounding landscape.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It was incomprehensible, [REDACTED]

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, incomprehensible. Where is the executive summary? Very difficult to navigate the documentation. Please assess/address this.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please read my attached document.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The centre needs major work as it is currently in decline and very uninspiring to all sections of society. It needs a signature or focal point to attract inward investment and traffic.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

What waterfront?

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why destroy greenbelt to then try to recreate it elsewhere?

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

My objections to the developments in Croft and surrounding area is stated below. The current green belt is a vital part of the landscape and character of the local Croft environment. Losing this will result in the irreversible change to the lifestyles of the residents. Many of whom have chosen Croft specifically for its current nature. It will not be possible for the majority to recapture this anywhere else given their age and circumstances. The turn from Mustard Lane into Abbey Close is already a dangerous endeavour due to lack of visibility of oncoming traffic. Increasing standing traffic here will inevitably increase collisions and fatalities due to speed of traffic coming from Culcheth. Additional foot traffic from any new houses on the Heathcroft Stud Farm development will use the immediate Deacons Close exit onto Mustard Lane to get to the bus stop and school. This will adversely affect the quality of life for the residents in what is currently a fairly quiet thoroughfare of closely coupled residences. The covenants on the estate disallow the erection of fences as it is meant to be an open space. This means heavy foot traffic will be very noticeable by the residents. It is also possible for foot traffic to see directly into many of the current estates living rooms. This means the residents will have to live behind curtains, curtailing their current rights to privacy. Also, damage to residents cars will be more likely as there are no gates and already constrained parking spaces. The traffic to travel out of Croft during rush hours is already very heavy and dictates that journeys are pre-planned. This will be exacerbated to a life threatening level of delay as no ambulance service will be able to reach Croft residents within acceptable timescales. This will happen on day one of the development. The pleasant walks across the fields between the General Elliot and the Plough will be lost. The primary school is currently at capacity with no expansion potential. There are no GP Practices physically located within the Croft settlement area. Dental services are practically non-existent in Northern Warrington. No convenience stores, hairdressers or newsagents are available, increasing vehicle traffic. A major settlement extension in Croft would effectively result in the creation of a new outlying settlement. It would totally transform the character of Croft and potentially exacerbate secondary school capacity issues in north and east Warrington. It could detract from the Council's New City Aspirations and would impact on the Green Belt objective. A sustainable settlement extension would see Croft increase by over 50%. This could have an impact on the character of the settlement and potentially the surrounding landscape.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Businesses are already moving out and leaving empty spaces. Try harder to keep them in the first place.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More broadband provision to reduce traffic.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No clarity on requirements from central government or aspirations from WBC

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No underpinning infrastructure in place or clearly identified in plans , very woolly reference and sketches

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No justification for green belt release other than numerous farmers developers jumping at opportunity to release green belt never previously been creditable option

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Agree need for re development of town as it is a mess and unattractive , but expansion to south west clearly at expense of existing residents who get NO benefit from plan

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No consultation , level of detail extremely poor and only drive seems to get garden city at all costs , north eastern side has rail infrastructure and would not required new canal crossing

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No clarity on need

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dont want to live in a city , we have 2 real cities in easy reach with all facilities a City should have , make warrington really good commuter TOWN

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

If it cannot proceed without new crossing of MSC , then scheme is only beneficial to new residents at cost of current WBC residents , does that make sense !!!

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again green belt and is being released that for years has been protected, impact on local traffic, schools and environment has to be considered

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No clear use identified, is employment low tech, i.e. Warehouses or high tech ,wealth creating

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Non city option for consideration

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel that the Council has given insufficient weight to the importance of Green Belt and green spaces particularly to the South of Warrington and seemingly either ignored or undervalued paragraphs 79 to 92 of the National Planning Policy Framework. The evidence produced consists of just assertions and assumptions. The main reasons for moving to this village was that it has a distinct identity and I very much appreciate its rural feel and setting. In writing the Local Plan Warrington Borough Council has seemingly only considered the natural and historic assets within their own borough boundary and have completely overlooked that the village of Moore which immediately adjoins the proposed South Western Urban extension, is both a historic village (with a Conservation area and several listed buildings) but also provides a fantastic natural resource enjoyed by numerous residents from Warrington and beyond, who use the footpath network, towpaths to access Moore Nature Reserve via Moore Lane. The main purpose of green belt is to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another – clearly as this proposal would entail housing coming right up to the village boundary at the eastern side of the village, there would be no green belt left and Moore would be 'swallowed up' by characterless housing estates. Halton Borough Council has already destroyed significant parts of the Green Belt by allowing development on the Moss adjoining the Manchester Ship Canal within its boundary. The Port Warrington proposal indicates a large distribution centre with huge warehousing to the north of the Manchester Ship Canal. This development would have a devastating impact on the lives of the residents of Promenade Park as their homes directly overlook this area. There are 80+ homes on this site and it accounts for nearly a quarter of the population of the village of Moore. The site is beautifully maintained by the residents and is regularly commended by the Cheshire Best Kept Village Judges. The majority of residents have chosen to retire here because of its pleasant, open setting and relatively peaceful environment. Residents need the reassurance that proper assessments will be made before any permissions are granted, together with enforceable control measures, especially in relation to noise and the visual impact of development. I am also very concerned about the impact of Port Warrington on Moore Nature Reserve which is important for both wildlife and people in equal measure and must be protected for future generations. Finally, all of the above proposals would have a huge, adverse impact on existing services in the area. All the local medical centres are full (the last information that I have is that The Forge Medical Centre in Stockton Heath had 17,500 patients) and the local roads are regularly clogged with traffic trying to find a route either from Warrington or Runcorn, when there is either a hold up on the M56, the Runcorn Bridge or the M6. I would like to give more weight to the importance of Green Belt and green spaces particularly to the South of Warrington and seemingly either ignored or undervalued paragraphs 79 to 92 of the National Planning Policy Framework. The evidence produced consists of just assertions and assumptions.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have not had sufficient time or information to examine or test the veracity of the calculations of to try and identify possible sites.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see earlier comment. My own opinion is that the Green Belt particularly in the Walton area is not being sufficiently safeguarded and with the erosion of the Green Belt in Halton the failure to safeguard the Green Belt at this location results in there being little or no identifiable distance between the two Boroughs at this point.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Local Plan refers to Garden City Suburbs, converting natural rural green space and habitats into manicured pocket handkerchiefs of green dispersed amongst sprawling housing estates. However I do sympathise with the Council as they have been required by Central Government (a) to produce a Local Plan and (b) to provide a large amount of housing.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See earlier answers. I think that the option for the Walton area is misconceived,

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see earlier answers

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is not a City. Regeneration of the Town Centre is already underway. However Marks & Spencers have left the Town Centre and there is a proliferation of Pound Shops and vacant units. If this decline can be reversed, then the vision has to be applauded.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The expansion of Port Warrington would be a disaster for the residents of Moore who would lose significant visual amenity, and the destruction of Moore Nature Reserve would result in many species of birds and wildlife completely leaving the area.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Please see earlier answers. A bit pretentious isn't it?

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, please see earlier answers

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have had insufficient time to study them and try to assess the impacts. My knowledge of the Walton and Moore area has enabled me to already comment on the Preferred Development Option for that area.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Port Warrington should not expand.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have had insufficient time and insufficient information to make any informed opinion.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have had insufficient time and insufficient access to information to enable me to make an informed comment so I have opted for a default answer of No

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There appears to be no viable proposals as to how the already serious traffic congestion issues will be resolved. To avoid chaotic congestion issues plans as presented will need extensive improvements and addition to the existing roads before any significant number of houses are occupied. Medical facilities are currently stretched to the limit and Schools are incapable of catering for such a large influx of people. It is imperative that plans for all three issues are made in advance of permission being given to developers.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not had time to study this aspect in detail but transport, medical, and schooling issues have not been addressed

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Transport issues have not been addressed in a responsible manner

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington's aspirations to be a city are very premature and seem to dominate the 'planning'.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again no time to study it but with the traffic problems magnified by the slightest problem on the M6 I feel that high density housing inside the motorway 'ring roads' is not appropriate.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Spreading the additional housing north and south of the Mersey would not solve the traffic problem but would share it and to a small extent ease it

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

City status is a distant dream

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Large stores are leaving city centres as the world of shopping changes. Small retail businesses, some very established, are being driven out. Not at all clear that your approach is the correct one

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again the dream of City status clouds practicality

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again driven by the dream of City status

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Driven by the dream of City status

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Without the infrastructure not a practical proposition

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

With Warringtons transport problems ease of getting waste sites should be a prime consideration

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The need is based on the false premis that Warrington needs to expand at the rate suggested

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The extent of the proposed development is disproportionate. The prospect of development to such a scale that it will threaten current green belt is of major concern.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

'Garden City' is a euphemism for destruction of the rural fringe and the removal of green belt spaces.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The extent of this is of major concern. As a resident of this area the scale of development threatens to create a continuous built up area from Warrington through to Halton. Designated Green Belt is threatened. There is a big sustainability issue.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again, the planned expansion of outlying settlements threatens to destroy green belt areas and create a conglomeration of urban sprawl. This will mean that local villages will lose their identity.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Loaded question - should be fully aired and debated in public

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Only in the broadest terms. Should be stress-tested.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too many aspects of this that impact adversely on existing residents.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is highly unpopular and appears to pre-suppose that City status is being sought for Warrington - another untested idea.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

In principle only.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Seems broadly acceptable, but will probably raise local feelings and issues.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There should be a clear statement of intent regarding a new easterly high-level crossing of the Ship Canal, distinct from the gratuitous and tenuous inference coming from the Strategic Road/Public Transport Route .

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am opposed to any building on green belt land. There is a rapidly growing concern (national, not just local) about the continuing loss of green belt land and the resulting loss of great swathes of the English countryside and our quality of life and I share that concern. It will be argued that the appropriation of green belt land is necessary because of population pressure and to stimulate growth but this kind of thinking cannot be allowed to go on unless we choose to live like termites in a waste-land of brick, concrete and tarmac and commit our children and grandchildren to live in ever-worsening circumstances. Even if it is accepted that building on green-belt land is essential (and I don't) it should only be allowed as a last resort. Brown-field sites should always be used whenever possible, despite the reluctance of developers, and landlords of properties that have remained empty for more than a year or so should be forced to make them available or to sell them. How many such properties are there in Warrington? Does the council know? If so, what is being done about it? The house next door to us [REDACTED] has been empty now for about 8 or 9 years. The council knows this as it has been reported several times. When the council representatives came to talk to us about 2 years ago we were told that nothing could be done as there were other priorities. Also, why can blocks of flats not be built instead of double-storey buildings, to keep the footprint of such building projects to a minimum? I am not talking about huge tower blocks but imaginatively designed three or four storey blocks. I believe that there is much more that could be done to minimise the appropriation of green-belt land and I think my views are shared by a huge number of other people. Councils throughout Britain need to acknowledge this growing apprehension and to find ways of reducing it.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My only comments have already been stated.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

My previous comments should cover this question.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous comments.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What methodology has been used to determine the numbers behind the specific locations.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

If more evidence has been provided as to reasons behind the number and more thought had gone into the infrastructure with evidence for this then I would have replied yes. But at present it is unclear of what or where the new roads are to go exactly. However with the plans to create new roads through existing residential areas this will increase both noise and traffic pollution and reduce privacy levels to those in the vicinity.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

How was it determined that the old rail bridge used to cross the Manchester Ship Canal was a good traffic option for all vehicles and a possibly dual carriageway. If the line is following to bridge foot it would only compound the problems of that area and run counter to other plans put forward by the council for the redevelopment of that space. It is also not a very green option. That railway line would be better used as a nature trail or bike/walking pathway from the centre to the south of town. Running a new road past properties and schools both new and old would have a negative effect on those residents. What proposals are in place to compensate those near this revised road along the older railway line embankment as to a reduction in property prices and increased pollution.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

On the whole I agree that a new plan is needed to meet future needs, my concern is that the new infrastructure has not been truly thought through. And if it has then it is not by those affected by these changes. The roads are already clogged by traffic and yet the plan is to add more rather than looking at way to reduce it. Why not improve the public transport options to that people do not use their own vehicles to access the town centre or outlying areas.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposal of the new high level crossing of the Manchester ship canal leading to Warrington, seems to run counter to the redevelopment of Bridge Foot. Is the council planning to add another road feeding in to this junction by using the railway? This is counter to options which show that land as green belt and continues is use as green space. Also where will the bridge cross the Mersey on Chester road as there currently seem to be

different options that are both before and after the swing bridge near the residential home. It is hard to comment when there are no clear plans. There is already a road running through Latchford, work should be done to improve that and widen where appropriate to use the current route into the town centre. I see no need to have a bus running past my window on the old railway line.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposal of the new high level crossing of the Manchester ship canal seems to run counter to the redevelopment of Bridge Foot. Is the council planning to add another road feeding in to this junction by using the railway? This is counter to options which show that land as green belt and continues is use as green space. There is already a road running through Latchford, work should be done to improve that and widen where appropriate to use the current route into the town centre. I see no need to have a bus running past my window on the old railway line. The old line would be better used for cyclist and walkers to approach to town without traffic along a new green route. What research has been done to assess the suitability of the embankment to support a modern road surface?

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposal of the new high level crossing of the Manchester ship canal seems to run counter to the redevelopment of Bridge Foot. Is the council planning to add another road feeding in to this junction by using the railway? This is counter to options which show that land as green belt and continues is use as green space. There is already a road running through Latchford, work should be done to improve that and widen where appropriate to use the current route into the town centre. I see no need to have a bus running past my window on the old railway line. The old line would be better used for cyclist and walkers to approach to town without traffic along a new green route. What research has been done to assess the suitability of the embankment to support a modern road surface? Would it not be possible to run a road around Latchford to Manchester Road to reduce the strain on the current crossing or even reduce the extra vehicle by improving public transport options.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Where is the bridge going to cross the Mersey? Is this crossing before or after the swing bridge on Chester Road. There appears to be plans out there on the councils Youtube site that has both options. Is the council planning on multiple crossing into Centre Park/Arpley area or one? This is not clear.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

So long as adequate thought has been given to infrastructure and the impact on existing residents. The town is already clogged by vehicles and this will worsen if traffic increases as a result of toll avoiders or accidents on motorways.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I accept that there is a need to provide sites away from the main populated areas but how will it be enforced with a view to ensuring they keep to those sites and not pollute and litter the town as they currently do when they camp illegally? So long as proactive measures are taken to ensure they keep the permitted sites I agree with the approach.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More thought should be given to improving the existing green elements of the town as all the increase in housing will do is increase traffic and remove green space. As such more work need to be done to improve what green space is left and bring life back to existing roads and streets. More green routes into the centre and dedicated cycle or bus lanes for long use. Reusing old railways lines for cycle routes to the centre or walking routes would be preferable to motor vehicles all day and night.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposals seem to be driven by a desire for city status. I am opposed to this. The level of development sought is higher than it needs to be . A lower figure could substantially reduce the amount of green belt needed to be taken.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Existing and future brown field sites should be targeted first before any further land is taken for development. A higher density of housing could be used on these sites by the use of apartments for example within the town avoiding the need to take further land. Further consideration of fiddlers ferry and the Warrington hospital sites should be given higher priority.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally opposed to taking any green belt land for development. The justification given for taking further green belt land are not exceptional . Green belts are there for a purpose they should be maintained. This proposal will just make warrington into one big housing estate. No consideration has been given to environmental or ecological impact of the proposals.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally opposed to Warrington becoming a city, the heritage it has has been allowed to decay and has never been safeguarded, it has nothing now worthy of note to justify city status or the facilities and infrastructure that cities have.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally opposed to the use of existing green belt land for development within the plan. Green belts are there for a purpose they should be maintained. Whilst I accept the need for some development the existing infrastructure and traffic conditions on the south side of the river is totally inadequate to support the level of development proposed and its creation will completely destroy the character of Stretton, Appleton Grappenhall and Walton.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally opposed to the proposal, more consideration should be given to using brown field sites within the borough both north and south of the river. Further consideration should be given to using a higher housing density on that land already approved for development. The desire to become a city seems to be solely driving the growth which is higher than necessary. The assumption of 1113 new homes per year for the next 20 years is higher than it needs to be and no justification is given for adopting this higher figure. As I am opposed to Warrington becoming a city I see no need for the development needed to meet this aim.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As I have already indicated I am opposed to city status being sought.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally opposed to this option as it will destroy the existing character of the area and the villages of Stretton, Appleton, Thorn, Hatton, Walton and Grappenhall. The level of development proposed is unnecessary. We already have problems regarding traffic congestion, doctor and healthcare availability, parking, crossings over the canal and bridge foot. Development at the level proposed will simply exacerbate the problems to the detriment of the existing residents. Green belt boundaries are only meant to be altered under exceptional circumstances; there is no justification given as to what these exceptional circumstances the Council consider exist. The land is not spare land; it is being used for agriculture and this should be maintained. No detailed traffic surveys appear to have been carried out. The eastern link from M56 junction 10 to the north of the ship canal will attract extra traffic trying to avoid problems on the M56/M6, leading to extra disruption, noise and pollution. We already have gridlock when there are problems on the M6. This will only exacerbate problems. None of the routes proposed seem to have been seriously assessed.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am opposed to it. It destroys the strategic importance of the green belt between Halton and Warrington

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Simply converting exiting illegally developed areas into accepted permanent development is wrong. It sets a precedent that it is permissible to break the rules and ultimately get away with it. WBC totally failed to carry out the enforcement necessary to deal correctly with this problem. Their approach under this plan is wrong and should be rethought and proper provision made.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You should rethink the proposals for south of the river completely.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Document

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are not safeguarding enough

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Document

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see document

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Document

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

IT a TOWN Centre, Warrington is not a CITY

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

WE are not a City, fix the problems we already have.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Docuement

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

More Roads we are sitting ducks!

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Green Belt land needs preserving

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

It uses land for housing and development at the expense of the Green Belt

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have not taken into account the benefits of preserving the Green Belt, particularly the area between Walton and Moore. Also how the proposals will impact on Stockton Heath, where traffic congestion and use of local amenities is already at a critical level.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There should be more focus on residential and employment opportunities in the town centre.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green Belt land and the ancient woodlands of Grappenhall and Appleton need to be protected. Existing and proposed infrastructure changes would be inadequate to meet the needs of these areas and those of Stockton Heath.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The loss of the Green Belt between Walton and Moore would be devastating. It's an invaluable local amenity for residents and wildlife, and it's effect on traffic congestion and associated pollution would be awful.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What Outlying Settlements in Warrington?

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Because you are not taking local needs and preservation of Green Belt land in to consideration.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It needs more focus on residential proposals and employment for the town centre.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Misleading the public with the figures. It is not the government who have set these figures it is WBC.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I would like to know why Brownfield land cannot be utilised first there is plenty about. From what I can See you want to use the greenbelt land first

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No you haven't you seem to want build houses in the more expensive rural areas of the town which will have greater tax implications, to WBC benefit and not that of the residence.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I strongly disagree with councils Local Plan and the way the whole thing is being handled by the council.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Are there other options apart from the preferred plan?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington's needs and WBC needs are different things. We need to put right what we have now before putting greater pressure on the current infrastructure. Hospitals, Roads need to be looked at put not at the expense of current residents by adding thousands more in to mix.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

What City centre??????? We are a Town!!!!

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The PDO housing forecast has been calculated on older statistical data. The updated stats give a lower figure. The need for employment land is also pre-brexit and can only be considered as an 'assumption' which is no grounds for the PDO.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

as per question 1

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The Warrington Greenbelt is being completely destroyed by this PDO, there is far too much of what is beautiful suburb potentially being destroyed/ released and far too little being 'safeguarded'.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The PDO is flawed, from the consultation process, to the miscalculation of housing, the destruction of the greenbelt & the community within the area and the desecration of flora and fauna and wildlife habitats. The Potential catastrophic affects on traffic as Warrington becomes a 'rat run'(more than it already is). Destroying

what could be considered the most beautiful areas in Warrington instead of updating what can only be considered a deteriorating town centre and central housing.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Badly and ill advised. The geographical and location aspects concentrate on devouring a greenbelt when potentially there are brown belt sites available and it is too widely spread.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Based on old reports/ statistics which are now outdated. It has not assessed other options in enough depth before looking at the green belt land.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

this appears to be more of a money making exercise than consideration of Warrington Future development needs. The Town centre & brownfield sites should be prioritised for development/ upgrade as the current Town centre is not an appealing place for business & therefore potential economic growth.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no benefits to the residents of Warrington. Seems to be quite a narcissistic proposal by the Council. The City is run down in the centre and surely needs development from within.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Overspending where it is not needed. The Mersey Estuary works on Knutsford road is an example of this.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Green belt should remain as greenbelt. A garden city suburb is an insufficient substitute for what is already there and will not support the existing wildlife.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This is only acceptable if it is on the preferred Brownfield sites.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

These should be preserved and developed on

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Providing it is in the appropriate locations and not spread as per the PDO. The question is who and where is the employment to come from which will/ could it alter the demograph of Warrington. Traffic congestion will be a huge problem. An example on a far smaller scale is The M56 Junction 7 which has not relieved conjection in any way, attracted more if anything.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There locations should not be altered and remain.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The aim to upgrade areas within the centre of Warrington.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's a detailed study and I wouldn't wish to undermine the efforts that have been made in compiling it. It's just too much, mainly in the south and doesn't, in my opinion, take into account the impact it will have on all who live in the area.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You seem to have chosen South Warrington because you say it will be easier to provide the infrastructure. Have you ever travelled to work in the morning and evening now when access to the M56 and M6 are just gridlocked, especially if there are problems with the motorways, another 8655 homes and probably 15000 cars isn't going to help

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I always understood that greenbelt land was sacrosanct and unless there were exceptional needs this would remain to provide a reserve supply of public open spaces and of recreational areas and to establish a green belt of open space. It now seems that what was agreed in 1935 is now no longer valid. While understanding the need for extra housing your plan is now going to create an urban sprawl and many of the reasons that people want to come to Warrington to work and live will now longer be valid.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I understand the need for new homes, period. what I don't understand is the volume of homes. The proposed 'Garden City', whatever that's supposed to mean, will destroy the whole character of the area. No more communities separated by trees and fields, just one big urban sprawl, no more pleasant walks and cycles in the countryside

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Not sure what you mean by spatial options, I am just thinking about the impact this will have on all who live in this delightful area. Increased traffic, congestion every day of the week because any proposed road improvement will fall well short of what's required. Stockton Heath is delightful but often congested, this will be more congested in future. Your desire for City status which seems to drive the Council is not something that I share

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why is the bulk of housing in the South. Is this political?

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Too much green belt being taken in the south. Use the brown belt first, even though the developers won't like that as there's not enough profit for them.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The sheer scale of the option defies believe. The roads that are detailed dont address the needs and all that lovely area taken over by this urban sprawl is unacceptable

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Will every field in Higher Walton be built on??

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe that there is a need to destroy any greenbelt land as your projection for extra housing seem to be grossly over exaggerated.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

251 hectares of employment land in the greenbelt is excessive but you also don't say what could be built on it. Factories in the middle of the countryside?

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

The document is very meaty and I can't see what your suggested approach is. All I can say, from where I used to live, where we had problems, I don't want them on my doorstep now.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Couldn't find this detail after extensive looking so can't comment. If it refers to waste disposal then there will be a great need north and south not just a central one

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes I have read some of it but again it's a big document. I only worry about the scale of this development and the impact that it will have on the area, particularly with congestion and the lack of facilities to cope with such an influx of people

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No proper consolation regarding infrastructure roads.shopsSchools and parking

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You can't plan 20 years ahead. If we have another crash

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You have gone further with your estimate than the Government requires

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You should not assume a right to steal from the green belt. You are trying to do exactly what the green belt was meant to prevent.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am not aware of any residents having the aspirations to create a city. Predictions of growth over 20 years will be increasingly inaccurate beyond a few years. Green belt is not required. It is an easy option. Those who want to live in a city, probably do. A benefit of Warrington is that it is not a city.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

At 4.44 - i believe there has been no confirmation

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The development can be better integrated in one location. Splitting it dilutes the facilities

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Port Warrington has a terrible road connection to a major route

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This would create an island community, with poor links and no integration. It uses green belt land and starts the co-joining to another Borough.- ie ignores the original purpose of the green belt.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The green belt should not be sacrificed

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

At 4.73 i believe you have done little better than guessed the requirement. The land at Port Warrington is not at all easily accessed

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

People who disregard the green belt should not be rewarded in this way. wBC are taking an easy option to overcome their inability to tackle the provision of caravan sites in a proper manner.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Yes - you should consider the existing residents of Warrington to a greater extent. You should consider the benefits of not trying to grow into a city.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The two immediate proposals for Croft are to develop on existing Green Belt

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

R18 development proposals for Croft have impact on the existing village and Green Belt

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

see submitted file which shows objection to two developments proposed for Croft on the bases of impact, traffic/highway, loss of Green Belt

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with Warrington Borough Council's aspirations and arguments for massive urban growth and/or a New City status. It is not realistic to create a city out of Warrington, sitting in between Liverpool and Manchester. I don't believe the proposed housing target calculation of 24,000 homes over the next 20 years is substantiated or sustainable.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe this number of homes is needed, especially as it doesn't make sense for Warrington to strive for 'City' status. The suggested 'need' for increased acreage for employment is grossly over exaggerated. It is important to differentiate between 'need' and 'demand'. The amount of employment land is not reflective of the 'type' of employment that will likely be in demand in the next 20 years, nor the number of roles needed.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel very strongly about this point; the release of Greenbelt land for the purposes of urban development is plain wrong, unacceptable and undermines the very point of having greenbelt protected. The aim of green belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open. First ensure the 'need' is accurate (don't confuse with the 'demand') don't strive to create a city for inhabitants that don't wish for their town to become one - if they wanted a city they would live in Manchester or Liverpool for example. Green Belt should remain Green Belt. Green fields, woodlands, natural habitats should not be destroyed

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree that Warrington should strive for City status - we should focus investment on improving the town-centre and facilities, improving transport, education, healthcare and redeveloping old run down brownfield sites. I don't agree we have such a shortage of housing, the calculations are flawed. There are plenty of elderly people living alone or as couples in 4+ bedroom homes which will come on the market in coming years to allow younger people with families to occupy them. A scheme to provide better community based living accommodation for those who have retired or are elderly would give people the incentive to move into smaller homes that better suit their stage in life, in turn releasing existing housing back into the market.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Some of the Outlying Settlements will be forced to declassify greenbelt to help provide these extra homes that aren't needed, which will have a detrimental effect to their amenity. This will affect not only the local inhabitants but those who visit.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't agree with the scale needed for 'sustainable' growth, this demand (not need) will have a detrimental effect on Warrington and the Outlying Settlements. Especially with the proposed declassification of greenbelt land for extra housing. In addition, transport/highway initiatives referred in the PDO are extremely vague and unconvincing.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I don't believe the people of Warrington really want this. I don't think it is needed. I don't believe the transport links and other infrastructure can support it.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's important to allow the various Parish Councils to participate in the decision-making process should they choose to draw up their own Neighbourhood Plans and express the preferences of their own communities. I don't believe we should be declassifying greenbelt to meet these unproven demands for housing. A better calculation of 'need' over 'demand' would likely show a more moderate and sustainable number of homes to be built. This would remove the pressure to irreversibly damage protected greenbelt land and the local amenity.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Lack of clarity over what kind of jobs are being predicted - which will determine how much land space will be needed in the plan.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel that the transport and highway infrastructure plans supporting Warrington's (reduced) expansion should be clarified and included in the Draft Local Plan.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Unnecessary development designed to create a Warrington city

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

green belt release should be with local agreement only not by planners with grandiose plans

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

do not want a Warrington city

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

development has never been agreed

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

development and expansion is not required

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

again expansion which has not been agreed

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The consultations seem to have been unduly and disproportionately influenced by submissions from landowners and developers who have a clear interest in release of Greenbelt rather than less attractive and more expensive redevelopment of brownfield sites.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Above

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Your preference for option 2, incremental use of Green belt in outlying areas, ignores the fact that this option causes the maximum impact upon the widest area as greenbelt around many outlying areas is eroded in a haphazard and unplanned fashion. These developments will not be driven by an overall strategy but by the developers and landowners desire to maximise profit by developing in the easiest and cheapest way. Option 1 and 2 would allow a overall plan and strategy which could address the housing needs and the infrastructure needs in one area rather than a mish mash of separate developments all impacting on infrastructure in piecemeal ways which runs the risk of creating one urban sprawl as opposed to separate urban and rural areas of the borough.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Insufficient importance has been given to the maintain the character of the urban areas as set out above. This should be reflected in the assessment of the choice between garden suburb options and development of diverse sites. A sprawl where all outlying villages join together as they are developed piecemeal along main roads would be the worse possible result.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

For the reasons set out above the proportion of homes to be built in outlying areas is disproportionate and would have an undue effect on the character of the areas. 500 additional homes in Lymm would have a huge impact on the nature and character of the area and cause significant infrastructure issues which would be difficult to accommodate as opposed to dealing with a proportion of those homes in a larger planned garden suburb which would maintain the charter of both areas

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

YesSee above. Its simply too many homes to include in outlying areas without massive impact on the nature and character. A relatively small expansion of the Garden Suburb or Urban expansion would take a decent proportion of those homes without any effect upon the character of those areas then a more modest expansion could be accommodated perhaps even on brownfield areas or by redevelopment of outlying settlements. Any greenbelt loss could be more modest and could be done with less dramatic impact.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You've overestimated the number of houses needed

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No. Green Belt land is precious, and once we give it up, we will never get it back. You are betraying the people you serve if you give up any green belt land.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It's important to plan for future infrastructure needs. But using green belt land is a matter of principle - you must not do this.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Do not use green belt land

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You must not use green belt land

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

We don't have a city centre. It's a town centre

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

no comment

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Taking green belt land is unacceptable

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It uses green belt land. Don't

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Stop proposing to use green belt land

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As long as it is high-end employment, not logistics centres everywhere

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

no comment

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

no comment

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

no comment

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I couldn't see any reference to changes in demographics and the exact type of accommodation different demographic groups required. Are all the projected home requirements being matched to the type of residents ? Retired pensioners require different homes to families with children. There should also be a range of housing available all income levels, not just expensive executive housing for the most affluent.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I couldn't see any reference to flood risk areas (eg. flood zone 2, flood zone 3), as classified by the Environmental Agency. No more building should take place on flood risk areas, and due consideration should be taken to the impact of hard paving on previously vegetated land - this will reduce the ability of land to soak up heavy rain, and increase surface water runoff and flooding. Surface water will all run towards the Ship Canal and river Mersey, through land which is already built upon.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As a local resident, I see Green Belt as an essential feature of the local landscape, rather than a temporary classification. I do not believe that the requirements of the plan count as 'exceptional circumstances' which justify permanently losing green belt and agricultural land.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is too much emphasis on increasing the quantity of buildings in Warrington, rather than increasing the quality of Warrington.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I can't remember what the Spatial Options are, and do not currently have the documents in front of me. Rather than risk having no say on the plans before the closing date tomorrow, I've opted to comment on the areas I can remember.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Quality of life for existing residents has not been given priority. Taking away Green Belt, and destroying the Grappenhall section of the Cheshire Cycle Way whilst building a new road in it's place will all have a negative impact on local houses, schools, wildlife and a safe, green transport route.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is too much negative impact on existing residents. Permanent loss of Green Belt, loss of the Cheshire Cycle Way, extra roads, construction and permanent traffic increases close to existing houses and schools, increased risk of surface water flooding - none of these negative impacts is offset by anything in the Preferred Development Option. Why would existing residents support something which only has permanent negative impacts on them?

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I do not agree with it. Too many houses concentrated in one area, increased risk of surface water flooding, destruction of Green Belt and the walking/ cycling trail of the Cheshire Cycle Way at Grappenhall, extra pollution and traffic / risk of traffic accidents near Grappenhall schools and houses.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

'Employment land' sounds very specific, as if employment is guaranteed. Loss of important Green Belt for 'commercial land' is once again not justified. The current circumstances should not be classed as 'exceptional circumstances' as they are not exceptional.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I couldn't find any reference to the test fracking sites at Woolston and Penketh, which will have a massive impact on the area if they go ahead. A 20 year plan should take this into account. Without any plans for this, the suggested approach has excluded a considerable factor which will influence the whole area.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Impact on flooding. Impact on local roads, residents and schools (traffic, road safety, disruption, air pollution) Impact on local wildlife Impact of proposed fracking sites

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have not seen any document that demonstrates how you have worked this out and what alternatives across the North West have been considered.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See comments in attached document Response to WBC Preferred Development Plan 29.9.17.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I have never be consulted about turning Warrington in to a city. I moved here to live in a town. Who wants Warrington to be a city and why?Having spoken to council staff at the Park Royal open evening there appears to be denial over the effectiveness of the current infrastructure capability before we potentially get an additional 48000 - 60000 cars on the local roads.Our quality of life will decline and the damage to the environment is undeniable.What is the true motive for building this many houses? Which other area's of Warrington were considered before deciding to destroy the greenbelt in the south and why were they rejected.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Where is the evidence that building this number of house will generate the expected number of jobs.If price disparity is an issue why build in the most expensive housing market area in WBC excluding Lymm. Surely this will not address the issue.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Building on green belt is not appropriate, it is subjective. Have you used all brown field sites and non-green belt sites.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I haven't been made aware of the evidence to build this many houses, it feels like a whim to suit personal objectives.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The suspicion is that the locations were pre-determined and the assessment was made to fit the desired outcome.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

No evidence that these needs are real. There is doubt that you could sensibly plan 20 years out, maybe 5 years would be more acceptable.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are sacrificing green belt, the quality of life of existing inhabitants and the environment.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

You are sacrificing green belt, the quality of life of existing inhabitants and the environment.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The reason the south is desirable is because it has green belt and is purely residential.

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

It is socially mature and may lead to some form of integration.

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I assume this is manageable based upon whichever figures you use.

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Better road system and traffic management.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into

haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is

gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife,

requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people.

When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington [REDACTED]

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density

got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route - to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing

and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers.

Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor

got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route - to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing

and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our

already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra

fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes

will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their

appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their

interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't

appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Dear Sir/Madam, I am [REDACTED] [REDACTED] and have lived in Warrington my entire life, initially in Penketh and then Grappenhall since [REDACTED]. I am writing to lodge my opinion on the Warrington preferred development option. And in particular the release of Green Belt land in South Warrington. The number of proposed homes to be built in the region is staggering and disturbs me greatly. I note that it requires exceptional circumstances to release Green Belt land but cannot find any exceptional reasons outlined or satisfactorily answered by council members at proposal meetings. The land is certainly not going 'spare'. It is being used for farming and agriculture and provides an important boundary between Halton and the area that leads towards Northwich and beyond. I find the idea of penning Warrington in with the only boundaries being the M56 and the M62 very worrying. Also in the current climate we should be preserving options with regard to agriculture, noting the uncertainty surrounding the next few years with Brexit firmly in our minds. One of the things a councillor got stuck on at the consultancy meeting I attended was 'forecast'. Indeed, it was pretty much all he could say when pressed on required housing numbers. I have since found out that the 'forecast' is based on requirements Warrington will need to meet to become a city - this is not and never has been my desire. I also haven't found any appetite for the idea when speaking to friends and family and other parents of my son's friends who all live in the Warrington area. The size and requirements of the development is I think, what disturbs me most of all. The area has heritage and has been a settlement since Domesday times. To destroy it forever and for subsequent generations feels like a travesty and not something we should be leaving our children and our children's children. It also feels like a monetary decision driven by profit. I don't see an independent plan issued by local government, I see a plan developed by AECOM - a global behemoth in the top 500 largest companies in the world that couldn't possibly have anything other than the drive for profit at its core. It's notable that we're not talking about affordable housing here but rather houses built to maximise profit - these will be homes for the rich at the expense of our Green Belt. My wife and I run a small business and lose many hours sat in traffic on the surrounding motorways and roads leading in and out of Warrington. I can only see the highways being further burdened by the addition of over 8000 homes and there doesn't appear to be much of an attempt in the plans to address the issue. I see twenty years of gridlock. 8000 homes will almost certainly mean 16,000 more cars! Even if new A roads are built, the motorways are already groaning in the area and the idea of forcing more traffic towards Warrington and Stockton Heath would be a major issue in what are already very challenging areas to negotiate any time of day. So, very few plans or solutions on our already beleaguered roads but also a real lack of a plan with regard to the environmental impact, in fact I struggle to find anything at all in the plans in that respect. We have many, many endangered species in the area and I look out on bats at dusk nearly every night. I've also seen great crested newts and water voles - also endangered species. I feel the North-West is generally highly populated and industrialised compared to most other regions of the UK especially Warrington, Widnes, St Helens and Runcorn and we want to dispose of the areas of Green Belt as well? That is a terrible injustice and a blow to the people of Warrington. The plan promises more healthcare facilities - these can only be provided by the NHS and availability of staff. In other words, the council is making a promise it cannot keep or guarantee here. Allied to a massive influx of numbers. Worrying, airy fairy stuff, unaccounted for. I believe we need to maximise our other options - look into density of dwellings in brown belt areas and the town centre rather than look at eating into destroying more wildlife, countryside and Green Belt. Also other areas that will become available over the allotted twenty year period - Warrington hospital and Fiddlers Ferry to name but two. I would urge the planners to scale back and look into better use of all brown belt sites. A massive increase of size of the town in such a short space of time and at the terrible cost of destroying our Green Belt land is both unnecessary and unwanted. I spoke to a fair few councillors and have followed prominent council member Andy Farrall's takes on the plans in the local press. I also asked several if they lived in Warrington and was surprised to find out that many didn't live in Warrington but are having a massive say on its future and the destruction of its Green Belt areas. Coupled with development plans being led by a massive global company in AECOM and Waterfront plans led by the notorious Peel Holdings - (surprisingly large numbers of people I have spoken to have opinions on Peel Holdings not many of them good ones I'm afraid) I am greatly concerned that this is firmly out of the hands of the people that should matter most in Warrington - the residents. They are the people that are employing the council, paying council taxes year on year with good faith, believing that the council would surely have their

interests at heart. I didn't find out about the plans until quite recently. The timing of the consultation has been poorly handled and I thank several objectors for managing to extend the consultation period for an extra fourteen days. I've also recently noted that the official public announcement of plans was released via the Westmorland Gazette. Westmorland is around 100 miles away from Warrington. Perplexing and very hard not to draw several conclusions based on the way we, the residents and people of Warrington are receiving information from the council regarding the plans. The council have some way to go to prove that they are acting for and serving the people of Warrington and need to work on building a level of trust so that we might move toward improving the area together. On a personal note, I've also seen plans that would change the face of some areas of the Trans-Pennine trail - this is an important pathway that my family and I use regularly and one of the few areas it is safe to ride on bikes and walk with young children, a vital amenity in the area and a wonderfully unique route to Lymm and other areas of local interest. I don't think it would be anywhere near the plans if people in the local area had been consulted first. I am certainly not against Warrington progressing and welcome the plans to build a long overdue bypass if handled correctly with enough research and consultation but I believe the council should tread carefully and be transparent and honest with the people. When our Green Belt, wildlife and what little countryside we have goes and is turned into urban sprawl it is gone forever and I consider it a duty to fight for it and maintain it for future generations and the wellbeing of Warrington

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See Attachment

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am most concerned that the figures for both homes and employment land are too high and reflect a desire to achieve city status for which I cannot see a need.

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Given the importance of minimising the impact on green belt, I am unconvinced that there has been sufficient endeavour to maximise/optimize the use of existing built up areas.

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

As per the previous answer, I am unconvinced that the Green Belt should be impacted as much as is currently planned.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

I think the figures for new homes and employment land are too high.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am totally unconvinced that sufficient endeavour has been given to appraising options on the west, north west and north sides of Warrington. And there are potentially Brown Field sites such as the hospital which may be released within the period of the plan.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I am most concerned about the impact on the environment in general, and the impact on the existing individual towns/villages, thus adversely affecting . In terms of traffic congestion there is already a significant issue in the rectangle (M56 J10/M6 J20+20a/M6 J21/Bridgefoot),and the plan, even with the proposed new roads, is likely to increase the problem.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

I feel that these could be developed more.

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

A high level environmental and ecological impact survey.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no explanation why existing brown land sites can not be utilised first and where is the evidence that all these new houses will be filled. Where are the plans to improve the hospital and road infrastructure?

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Why are WBC trying to build more homes than the government have highlighted as a national average?

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

There is no justification for the use of green belt land

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Warrington is a town and should stay as a town. If I wanted to live in a city I would move to Manchester or Liverpool

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

There would be no need for this if WBC left the green belt land alone.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The existing road infrastructure will not be able to deal with these new locations as there are already existing issues especially when the M6 has troubles.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

The plans are far to elaborate for the needs of Warrington and its residents. The plans are going to create crime hotspots, more traffic issues and greater strain on the already struggling schools and hospitals.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Again just adding to Warrington's already existing issues

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

This can not happen!!! With all these extra houses, where are the children going to go to school? All the local primary school have minimal capacity and Bridgewater and Lymm don't have the space to take this amount of children. These plans will create crime hotspots like what has been created in Chapelford village with there not being enough police to be able to deal with all the incidents. I like previously said if I wanted to live in a city I would move to Manchester or Liverpool.

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Same comments as previous post. This could destroy the rural village settings and have a great impact to current house prices which could impact a number of senior residents who have their retirement wrapped up in their house price.

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Fully utilise all brown belt sites first before looking at green belt

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

As long as they are made to pay council tax like the rest of the permanent residents

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

No comment at this time

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

To fully exhaust all brown belt land and regeneration before taking the easy option and building on green belt land. Look further afield outside the UK to countries where they have faced housing shortages and find best practices to solve the solution whilst maintaining the most land possible. Just building more and more houses in the UK is not a sustainable solution as there is a limited amount of land. This housing solution is not a long term, sustainable investment and new ideas and plans need to be introduced now rather than later.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

You propose to destroy a significant portion of green belt and agricultural land, reducing the green belt by half when also considering the extent of green belt in Halton. In effect the greenbelt would disappear from approximately the Stag Inn to Sandymoor. The Bridgewater Canal as a tourist attraction and leisure facility will be 'damaged' as it will not longer pass through green belt country side but development from Walton to Preston Brook when the Warrington Area is considered with proposed developments connected with Daresbury Laboaratory.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Although I agree that there is a need for the proposed link road from Walton A56 over the ship canal and railways northwards, there is no need to 'close' the gap which at present prevents Warrington merging with Moore and Halton as a continuous built-up area.

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

See last answer. The proposed 'Peel Port' at Walton by the Manchester Ship Canal needs development which is more sympathetic to Promenade Park and to the development of an appropriate traffic system. The Nature Reserve at Moore which is of international importance must not be affected by any proposed development.

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The proposed development will have no impact on the need for social housing but a deleterious effect on traffic as it will generate more and more traffic in the proposed development locations.

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Previous answers explain

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous answers

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

The existing greenbelt is preferable

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

See previous answers

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Existing brown-field sites have not been fully utilised or explored

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Development needs to develop on Brownfield sites not Green-field sites which are essential for rural and environmental protection

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Greenbelt must be protected for rural community and natural environment. Brownfield sites have to be explored further and greenfield development cannot be considered.

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

breaking rural and environmental links to the land and community will erode the character of the area

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

full environmental impact not been considered fully not the impact on already stretched amenities

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Brownfield sites have not been fully explored

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

Developing in green belt land is inappropriate and will negatively impact environmental and cultural landscape.

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Leave the village greenbelt in place it protects rural and environmental aspects of cheshire life

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

Greenbelt land must not be built upon. we are damaging our ever shrinking natural habitat and spoiling rural communities.

QUESTION 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Yes

Please explain why you gave this answer

QUESTION 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

No

Please explain why you gave this answer