For Office	Use Only
Date received:	
Scanned /Saved:	
Plotted:	
Site Ref:	
SHLAA Site Ref:	



'Call for Sites'

Warrington Borough Council Local Plan Review

Call for Sites Registration Form

October 2016

<u>Please note this 'Call for Sites' is for five or more dwellings or economic development</u> on sites of 0.25 ha (or 500sqm of floor space) and above, Gypsy, Traveller and Show People and Minerals and Waste sites.

The identification of sites does not imply that the Council considers that the site is suitable for development, either now or in the future. It cannot be taken as representing either an intention to allocate these sites, or as a material consideration in the determination of a planning application.

Potential sites that have been identified will be further tested through the Plan-making process, including through the Spatial Distribution and Site Assessment Process, Sustainability Appraisal/Strategic Environmental Assessment, several stages of public participation and independent examination.

Please also note that all the responses and information received as part of the 'Call for Sites' will be published and made available for public viewing as part of the open and transparent Plan making process.

NOTE: Please read the accompanying guidance note <u>here</u> before completing this form and complete a **separate** form for each site that you are submitting to the Council.

Please return your completed form and any accompanying supporting material to Planning Policy, Warrington Borough Council no later than 5.00pm on Monday 05th December 2016.

By e-mail: ldf@warrington.gov.uk

By post: Planning Policy, Warrington Borough Council, New Town House, Buttermarket Street, Warrington, WA1 2NH

Should you require further advice and guidance on completing this form, please contact the Planning Policy Team by telephone on 01925 442841 or by e-mail to ldf@warrington.gov.uk

Please provide Agent's details	your contact details an as our primary contact.	d those of your agent (if applicab	ole). Where provided, we will use your
		Your details	Your Agent's details
Name			
Position			
Organisation	1		
			il-
Address			[
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1000		-
	Town		
	Postcode		
Telephone			
Email addre	ss		

separate form. Name of site /c	ther names					
it's known by	mer names	Land surrounding Brook head farm AKA Abbeys Farm				
		Brook Head Farm				
Address		Tan House Lane				
		Burtonwood				
	Town	Warrington				
	Postcode	WA5 4BZ				
Ordnance Surv Grid Reference		Easting : 357221		Northing : 392139		
Site area (hecta	res)	29				
Net developabl (hectares)	e area	27				
What is your in		Owner	V	Lessee		
site? (please tick	one)	Prospective Purchaser		Neighbour		
		Other	3 (1)	Please state:		

		Residential	Gypsy & Travellers	Employment	Retail	Leisure	Other*
Preferred futu	ire use	✓		✓	V	✓	1
Alternative fu	ture use(s)	V		✓	✓	✓	✓
Potential Cap	pacity	houses: 850	Number of Pitches:	SqM	SqM	SqM	SqN
Employment	Use Class (E.g. B1)					
* If "Other", p use(s):	lease indica	te which	school and	training facilitie	s		
Potential Density							
Benoity				planning work of any proposed		Yes	No 🗸

	ite sheet. Please in		etaring pr	ilidividual ialidilo	iding(s) on	Secretary.		
lf you do no	t know who owns t					-1		- 7 9
		Owne	r 1	Ov	ner 2		Owne	r 3
Name								
Address								
	Town							
	Postcode							
Or: I do n	ot know who ow	ine the ei	to					
				0.000	11111	San Soria	c2.	
Has the o	wner (or each or record these deta	owner) inc ils for the 4	dicated s	support for pro	posed red (where ned	developm essary)	ent?	
		-	l and our	Josquein e imere	<u></u>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1	
Yes ✓					<u> </u>			
No								
160					Detail to the	94 10		
Don't	know				15			
Don't Are there	know	in						
Don't Are there Restrictive	know any					27		
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S	know any e s & Strips				4,	, Ph		
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S	know any e s & Strips							
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S	know any e s & Strips					14.1		
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S	know any e s & Strips							
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t	know any e s & Strips							
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t	know any e s & Strips he site?	opriate cate	egory belo	ow to indicate wh	at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t	know any e s & Strips he site?	opriate cate		ow to indicate wh	at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t	know any e s & Strips he site?				at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t (5) Mark Please choose	know any es & Strips he site? Ket Interest ose the most appro	oper			at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t (5) Mark Please choose	know any e s & Strips he site? Ket Interest ose the most appro- rned by a develor option to a de	oper	A		at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t (5) Mark Please choo Site is ow Site unde	know any e s & Strips he site? Ket Interest ose the most appro- rned by a develor option to a de	oper	A		at level of m	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:
Don't Are there Restrictive Covenant Ransom S affecting t (5) Mark Please choo Site is ow Site unde	know any es & Strips he site? Ket Interest ose the most approved red by a develor option to a der	oper	A		at level of n	narket intere	est there is in	n the site:

Please record the land uses.	e current use(s) of the site	e (or for vacant sites, the previo	ous use, if kr	nown) and t	ne neighbouring
Current us	se(s)	multi use site, equestri	e, industri	ial storage	
Neighbour	ing Uses	Agricultural			1 1 1 1 1 1 1
If vacant	Previous use(s)				
	Date last used				
		ease answer the following		by buildin	
How many	buildings are there o		questions % in % de	use: 100 relict:	buildings %
How many What prop	buildings are there o ortion of the buildings	n the site?	questions % in % de % va	s: use:100	buildings % % %
How many What prop Are any ex	buildings are there o ortion of the buildings	on the site? s are currently in use?	questions % in % de % va	use: 100 relict: cant:	buildings % % % rrently
How many What prop Are any ex	buildings are there of the buildings on the of the site not cover	on the site? s are currently in use? e site proposed to be conv	questions % in % de % va	use: 100 relict: cant:	buildings % % % rrently
How many What prop Are any ex For the parts What prop	buildings are there of ortion of the buildings on the of the site not cover ortion of the land is contion of the land is continuous are the site not cover ortion of the land is continuous are the land is continuous are there or the land is continuous are the lan	e site proposed to be converted by buildings, please	questions % in % de % va rerted? answer th	use: 100 relict: cant: not cui	buildings % % % rrently
How many What prop Are any ex For the parts What prop What prop	ortion of the buildings isting buildings on the of the site not cover ortion of the land is cover ortion is greenfield (rortion is previously or	e site proposed to be converted by buildings, please surrently in active use? not previously developed): developed and cleared?	questions % in % de % va verted? answer th	use: 100 relict: not cur ese quest	buildings % % % rrently
How many What prop Are any ex For the parts What prop What prop What prop What prop	ortion of the buildings isting buildings on the of the site not cover ortion of the land is cover ortion is greenfield (rortion is previously or	e site proposed to be converted by buildings, please surrently in active use?	questions % in % de % va verted? answer th	use: 100 relict: cant: not cui ese quest	buildings % % % rrently tions: % (A)*

(7) Cc	nstraints	to	Development	
--------	-----------	----	-------------	--

Please tell us about any known constraints that will affect development for the proposed use, details of what action is required, how long it will take and what progress has been made.

Please use a separate sheet where necessary to provide details. If using separate sheets, it would be helpful to make reference there to the particular constraint, e.g (7)(e) – Drainage.

	Yes, No or Don't know	Nature and severity of constraint *	Action needed, timescales and progress	Confirm technica or by s provi	service
a) Land contamination	no				
b) Land stability	no				
c) Mains water supply	no				
d) Mains sewerage	yes		mains sewer for the whole village runs through the east of		
e) Drainage, flood risk	no				
f) Tree Preservation Orders	no				
g) Electricity supply	no				
h) Gas supply	yes	no current gas supply to the site	to be actioned by developer on release of land		
i) Telecommunications	no				
j) Highways	yes	As part of the development the developers have committed to a considerable section 106 to develop the current road	to be actioned by the developer on release of land		Œ,
k) Ownership, leases etc.	no				
Ransom strips, covenants	no				
m) Other (Please provide details)	no				

(8) Site Availability

Please indicate when the site may be available

Excluding planning policy constraints, when do you believe this site could be available for development?

Immediately (Note: to be "immediately available", a site must be cleared, unless being considered for

conversions.)

If not immediately, please state when it could be available:

If the site is not available immediately, please explain why – e.g. the main constraint(s) or delaying factor(s) and actions necessary to remove these:

(9) Any Other Information

Please tell us anything else of relevance regarding this site if not already covered above that will ensure that it contributes positively to the achievement of sustainable development. Please use a separate sheet/s if necessary. Please ind sheets attached

Planning Policy- Warrington Borough Council, New Town House, Buttermarket Street, Warrington, WA1 2NH

> Idf@warrington.gov.uk 01925 442841

This form is available in other formats or languages on request.

Supporting Documents for the proposed development of land at Brook Head Farm.

Site history: The site has been owned since 1972 by through various companies and operations as well as personally. Farmers lane and Tan House Lane both had significantly more houses post war, our site alone housed 10 cottages until we demolished them in the early 1970's. There has been a significant area of industrial tipping during the late 80's early 1990's that have been cleared of contamination and passed by planning for the erection of Chicken rearing units in 1997 under application 97/36077. These sheds were never erected by the company that bought the land and company Abbey Pack Eggs Ltd bought the land back in late 2017, although it is understood that the 192 application for commencement of works could be enacted due to new evidence after an attempt to enact it in 2015 failed. 10 x 500meter square sheds and associated feed bins, car parks, communal workers areas and road infrastructure are all still possible to be erected under this scheme. Originally farmed this soon became economically unviable as explained below

The site has been in continual use for the production of horses for leisure for over 25 years. This has not just been limited to the buildings but to the land surrounding the farm.

This diversification began due to the fact that united utilities has enforced through legislation a no nitrate spreading zone of 500 meters from the pumping station at Forest Farm Cottage. This has left the land surrounding this unusable for the growing of crops and adequate grass as the lands drainage schemes all run back to the area covered by the no spreading zone. This is shown on map appendix 1. Although other farmers in the area continue to spread against the banning order we deemed it prudent not to continue the practice of spreading due to the huge fines that were being actioned against farmers that have broken these restrictive orders.

This has therefore lead to further diversification on the site into leisure facilities. We house a dozen rugby and football teams training in a floodlit area (over 20,000 watts of light pollution lighting up virtually the whole site), with over 100 cars a night entering and leaving the site between October and March every year, coupled with an established monthly equestrian event and show clinics on a monthly and weekly basis pushing well over 100 vehicles on and off the site, the infrastructure of the surrounding roads onto the site would allow significant development without necessarily altering greatly the road structure. With this in mind all the parties interested in developing the site are committed to the improvement of pedestrian, cycle and vehicular access along farmers lane, tan house lane and clay lane/burtonwood road in order to help develop safe access for all people living in Burtonwood village wanting to access both the work sites at omega and the motorway junction.

Further more all parties interested will have a restrictive covenant to build a brand new school for the parish of burtonwood big enough to accommodate all and any proposed increase in school age population, this is required immediately due to the Victorian school building that is unsuitable for 21st century education currently being used. Coupled to this would be leisure facilities to allow both football and rugby to be played safely on purpose built all weather training facilities to replace and upgrade the ones that are currently on site enhancing the provision for all in the village. These facilities would be gifted to the council / schools trusts as part of a 106 agreement along with the titles for the land.

There are currently nine access points onto the site. One on Barn Lane Which developers have said they would upgrade to a road and cycleway if required, 3 on clay lane and 5 on tan house lane. More than adequate to allow access onto and off the site without causing significant disruption to the road networks whilst under construction and when the site is developed.

Added to this there is currently a commercial gym and sports rehabilitation clinic onsite that again would be maintained in new purpose built buildings as part of the training facilities and leisure hub.

Developers I have spoken to are aware that there is no gas to site and that the capacity for electricity and gas into the village is already at capacity, they have therefore undertaken to work with providers to ensure increased capacity for the whole of the village and not just the site that would be developed. This is far beyond the economic reach of smaller sites that have already been proposed for development.

The site would also complete the roll out of superfast broadband to the remaining properties within the village boundaries, and it is anticipated all new houses would have FTTH capacity, as well as car charging ports and be as energy efficient as possible.

The developers would also have to agree to a significant amount of affordable housing in the region of 30% to ensure future generations are not priced out of living within the village boundaries.

Burtonwood feels like a forgotten part of Warrington, underfunded by the council with significant pockets of deprivation, whilst areas of Dallam, Whitecross and Bewsey have had significant funding to improve schools, sports facilities and opportunities, we have been left behind with second rate services. This is not due to our councillors not putting forward our case but a seeming unwillingness for the area to be developed both socially and economically by the authority as a whole. Building significant amounts of houses in the south of the borough would bring the economic regeneration to an already significantly affluent area with some outstanding access to facilities. They have significantly more than one bus an hour, have infrastructure that isn't breaking or at capacity, and yet we choose to develop there rather than in an area that requires significant investment that the developers are willing to put in.

I implore whomever looks at this application to reconsider the paltry 150 houses earmarked for the village and the lack of significant improvement that that would bring through direct 106 agreements and compare it to the proposed new school infrastructure leisure facilities and employment opportunities a significant development of 800 plus houses would bring. This is not a greenbelt site other than by name, the light pollution alone as well as the continued development of non agricultural businesses mean that failure to progress this site would lead to either a proliferation of agricultural buildings for the production and slaughter of animals and further processing of vegetable and animal products, or further into mixed leisure use that would ultimately denigrate further the surrounding area and not enhance the desirability and infrastructure of the rest of the village.

APPENDIX Brook Head Farm BURTONWOOD AND Burtonwood inton's Farm 00m no spread zone orașt farm Consoa

