

Internal Use Only	
Date Received:	
Acknowledged by:	
Recorded by:	



Warrington Borough Council

Local Plan

Preferred Development Option

Regulation 18 Consultation

Standard Response Form

July 2017

2: Questions

Question 1

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the need for new homes and employment land in Warrington over the next 20 years?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 2

Do you have any comments to make about how we've worked out the number of homes and amount of employment land that can be accommodated within Warrington's existing built up areas?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 3

Have we appropriately worked out the amount of land to be released from the Green Belt, including the amount of land to be 'safeguarded'?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 4

Do you agree with the new Local Plan Objectives?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 5

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different 'Spatial Options' for Warrington's future development?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 6

Do you have any comments to make about how we've assessed different options for the main development locations?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 7

Do you agree with our Preferred Development Option for meeting Warrington's future development needs?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 8

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the City Centre?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 9

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Wider Urban Area?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 10

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for developing the Warrington Waterfront?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 11

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the Warrington Garden City Suburb?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 12

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for the South Western Urban Extension?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 13

Do you have any comments to make about our Preferred Development Option for development in the Outlying Settlements?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 14

Do you agree with our approach to providing new employment land?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 15

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople sites?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 16

Do you agree with our suggested approach for dealing with Minerals and Waste?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation

Question 17

Having read the Preferred Development Option Document, is there anything else you feel we should include within the Local Plan?

Please refer to submitted consultation documents from Wallace Land Investments.:

Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm. Warrington Local Plan Review Preferred Development Option:
Regulation 18 Consultation



Wallace Land Investments

Residential Development at Cherry Lane, Lymm

Landscape and Visual Analysis and Green Belt Review

P661635

SEPTEMBER 2017

RSK



RSK GENERAL NOTES

Project No.: P661635

Title: Residential Development at Cherry Lane, Lymm
Landscape and Visual Analysis & Green Belt Review

Client: Wallace Land Investments

Date: September 2017

Office: Manchester

Status: FINAL

Author

Technical reviewer

Signature

Signature

Date:

25/09/2017

Date:

25/09/2017

RSK Environment Ltd (RSK) has prepared this report for the sole use of the client, showing reasonable skill and care, for the intended purposes as stated in the agreement under which this work was completed. The report may not be relied upon by any other party without the express agreement of the client and RSK. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made as to the professional advice included in this report.

Where any data supplied by the client or from other sources have been used, it has been assumed that the information is correct. No responsibility can be accepted by RSK for inaccuracies in the data supplied by any other party. The conclusions and recommendations in this report are based on the assumption that all relevant information has been supplied by those bodies from whom it was requested.

No part of this report may be copied or duplicated without the express permission of RSK and the party for whom it was prepared.

Where field investigations have been carried out, these have been restricted to a level of detail required to achieve the stated objectives of the work.

This work has been undertaken in accordance with the quality management system of RSK Environment Ltd.

CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION	2
1.1	Introduction	2
1.2	The Proposed Development & Site Context	2
1.3	Methodology	3
2	BASELINE CONDITIONS	4
2.1.2	Landscape Designations and Policy	4
2.1.3	Landscape Character	4
2.1.4	Landscape Receptors	5
2.1.5	Visual Receptors	6
3	OPPORTUNITIES & CONSTRAINTS.....	7
4	GREEN BELT REVIEW	8
4.1.2	The Landscape of the site	8
4.1.3	Professional judgement of overall contribution	9
4.1.4	Brief review of other sites	Error! Bookmark not defined.
5	CONCLUSIONS	10

FIGURES

Figure 1: Viewpoint Locations

Figure 2: Viewpoints 1 – 2

Figure 3: Viewpoints 3 – 4

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This Section provides the findings of a landscape and visual analysis of the site and its surrounding landscape and visual context. This report is not a landscape and visual assessment or appraisal and does not assess or quantify any landscape and visual effects of any proposed development on the site or surrounding landscape. The main objectives of this report are as follows:

- To describe the baseline landscape character of the site and its surroundings;
- To identify potential visual receptors (i.e. people who will be able to see the development);
- To identify landscape elements associated with the site; and
- Identify any potential prominent effects and mitigation proposals where they can reduce adverse effects of any development on the site.

The surrounding landform, built form and vegetation has been taken account as part of this report and the spatial scope for all the baseline studies including topography, landscape designations, landscape character is a 1km radius from the site.

1.2 The Proposed Development & Site Context

The site is 8.6 ha in size, broadly rectilinear in shape and located to the south western edge of the town of Lymm. The proposed development would be located to the south of Booth's Lane, which forms the southern extent of the settlement, and to the west of the B5158 Cherry Lane. The site consists of relatively flat to gently undulating agricultural land, which is generally well contained by vegetated boundaries. This gently undulating agricultural landscape continues away from the site and the settlement of Lymm to the south, west and east. The study area is therefore predominantly rural with a number of isolated farmsteads and small residential areas such as the residencies on The Avenue. The M6 corridor runs from north to south through the study area to the west of the site; the Poplar motorway services are located approximately 1.1 km to the south south-west. There are a number of blocks of woodland within the study area, to the south-east of the site and around the river valleys.

1.3 Methodology

The method used for the review of landscape and visual effects is based on the broad principles established in the following best practice guidance:

- The Landscape Institute and the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (2013) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, Third Edition (GLVIA3); and
- Scottish Natural Heritage and the Countryside Agency (2002) Landscape Character Assessment: Guidance for England and Scotland.

The analysis considers the preliminary baseline conditions of the proposed development context but does not attempt to score the significance of potential effects; however, it does identify potential issues for further consideration in subsequent design proposals.

A high-level desk based review of landscape designations and policy has been undertaken along with a desk-based study of aerial imagery and Ordnance Survey mapping. A site survey was undertaken on Wednesday 20th September 2017; the weather conditions were predominantly cloudy with good visibility.

2 BASELINE CONDITIONS

2.1.2 Landscape Designations and Policy

There are no national or regional landscape designations within or in close proximity of the proposed development. The town of Lymm contains a number of Listed Buildings and a Scheduled Monument at Lymm Hall which also forms part of the Lymm Conservation Area, located a minimum of 400m to the east of the site.

The site lies within Green Belt and within National Landscape Character Area (NCA) 60: *Mersey Valley*.

2.1.3 Landscape Character

At a national level, the site and wider study area lie within NCA 60, from which the characteristics considered relevant include:

- *Trees and woodland are mainly associated with settlements, occasional parkland and isolated woodland blocks; and in recent years new community woodlands have been planted.*
- *Large-scale, open, predominantly flat, high-quality farmland occurs between developments, with primarily arable farming to the north of the valley and a mixture of arable and dairying to the south.*
- *The field pattern is regular and large scale, often defined by hedgerows with isolated hedgerow trees; many hedgerows are intermittent and have been replaced by post-and-wire fencing, while field boundaries on the moorlands are marked by ditches.*
- *The predominant building material is red brick though some sandstone construction remains, and some survival of earlier timber frame.*
- *There are densely populated urban and suburban areas, with major towns particularly at the river crossings, including Runcorn, Widnes and Warrington.*
- *The river valley has a dense communication network with motorways, roads, railways and canals running east–west, and power lines are also prominent.*

The NCA 60 includes guidance within the Statements of Environmental Opportunity which are relevant to the site as follows:

- *SEO 3: Manage the arable and mixed farmland along the broad linear Mersey Valley, and create semi-natural habitats, woodlands and ecological networks, to protect soils and water, enhance biodiversity, increase connectivity and improve the character of the landscape, while enabling sustainable food production.*

At a regional level, the site is covered within The Warrington Borough Council Landscape Character Assessment (2007) produced by Agathoclis Beckman Landscape Architects. The site is located within Landscape Character Type 3.C: *Red Sandstone Escarpment – Lymm*, the key characteristics of which include:

- *Smaller scale, more intimate rural landscape;*
- *Luxuriant hedgerow trees with diverse range of species;*
- *Rolling landscape;*
- *Restricted views; and*
- *Strong feeling of high landscape quality.*

The area's topography creates an intimate landscape, often self-enclosed by woodlands and hedgerow trees. Views from the area are therefore less extensive with few internal views of note. Lymm water tower and St Peter's Church, Oughtrington are exceptions, forming local landmarks.

The study describes the landscape as of high quality, however, the more intimate and enclosed landform of the site and study area is considered less sensitive to small-scale development than much of the wider, more open *Red Sandstone Escarpment*.

The main area of landscape change has resulted from the expansion of Lymm village resulting in the loss of some rural landscape. It is also noted that hedgerow trees are almost all at a mature stage and will require a programme of progressive replacement to ensure their long-term presence. Viewpoints 1-4 are illustrative of the intimate and enclosed nature of the landscape of the site and immediate study area.

2.1.4 **Landscape Receptors**

The site is currently pastoral farmland. The landscape character of the site is influenced by its surrounding rural land uses and the settlement edge of Lymm and the B5158 Cherry Lane.

At a site level, any development on the site would mean the loss of pasture land and therefore the loss of rural landscape. Given the relatively flat to gently undulating nature of the site, changes to the topography of the site to accommodate built development are

unlikely. The majority of boundary vegetation would be retained, with minimal loss to gain access to the site and additional site fields. A full BS5837:2012 tree survey would need to be undertaken to ensure the surrounding mature trees would not be impacted by any built development. Other features of interest, such as the existing pond, could also be retained.

At a local landscape level (to 0.5 km) there would be changes, in the form of introducing built development to a predominantly rural area, albeit, edge of settlement landscape. The area has some detracting influences including the relatively busy B51588 Cherry Lane and the low level but present motorway noise, both of which reduce tranquillity.

The LCT 3:C landscape elements will therefore be effected as follows. The scale of the landscape will remain predominantly unchanged with the retention of the vast majority of hedgerows and trees. Topography will not be affected and views will be somewhat more restricted on a very local level across and within the site. With careful development much of the high landscape quality can therefore be retained, however, there will inevitably be a loss of rural landscape on a local level that would be perceptible primarily within 0.5 km of the site.

It is therefore considered that any prominent adverse landscape effects would be limited to the area of the site and immediate study area and that overall effects on the wider LCT3:C would not be prominent.

2.1.5 Visual Receptors

The visibility of the site is primarily restricted by the well vegetated field boundaries and gently undulating landform of the surrounding landscape; views from the wider study area are greatly limited; the site is generally well contained with a visual envelope of no more than 1 km.

Visual receptors in close range of the site (within 500m) are likely to be effected most by the introduction of any built development in the landscape. These would include:

- Residents of Booth's Lane to the north of the site boundary;
- Isolated properties and groups of properties on Cherry Lane;
- Properties on The Avenue;
- Road users on the B5158 Cherry Lane; and
- People on The Mersey Valley Trail which follows Cherry Lane/Booth's Lane.

Views from Booth's Lane and Cherry Lane are illustrated by Viewpoints 1-4, the locations of which are shown on Figure 1. The site contains no public rights of way and therefore public access is not available directly into the site.

Visual receptors at a medium range from the development (between 500m and 1km) are likely to be considerably less effected by the introduction of built development to the landscape. Most views would be through / over intervening vegetation and could include:

- Residents of the isolated farmsteads including; Cherry Hall Farm to the south and Higherhouse Farm and Boothshill Farm to the west; and
- Users of Public Rights of Way (PRoW) to the west.

Longer distance views (beyond 1km) appear to be greatly restricted and would not be prominent.

It is therefore considered that any prominent adverse visual effects would be limited to a small number of residential, vehicular and pedestrian receptors along Booth's Lane and Cherry Lane at a local level to the site. Other views from the wider area would be greatly restricted by vegetation topography and urban form.

3 OPPORTUNITIES & CONSTRAINTS

Opportunities:

- Limited visibility of the site from the wider countryside due to the surrounding vegetation, landform and urban form;
- Surrounding hedgerow and tree structure could form the basis for enhanced green infrastructure around the site, and could be enhanced to increase connectivity and visual screening;
- Creation of new landscape infill planting along the boundaries could further screen the site from the closest visual receptors; and
- Planting and reinforcing hedgerow and hedgerow trees would be consistent with the key characteristics of local landscape character and could enhance biodiversity and improve habitat connectivity.

Constraints:

- Open views of the site and any potential development from a small number of local properties, roads and PRoW;

- Introduction of built form into a predominantly rural landscape and accompanying changes to landscape character;
- The site is within the within an area of Green Belt and would result in the loss of an area of farmland with a commensurate loss of openness within the site and local area.

4 GREEN BELT REVIEW

The Warrington Borough Council Green Belt Assessment, Final Report (October 2016), considers the five purposes of the Green Belt and sets out criteria by which a qualitative assessment could be applied to each. In terms of this review, it is considered that purpose three, *'to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment'*, is relevant for further review; the other purposes are considered in terms of more quantitative criteria and do not warrant further review.

4.1.2 The Landscape of the site

The landscape of the site is reviewed as Green Belt parcel R18/008 and has been attributed a 'Strong contribution' to purpose 3 of the Green Belt. Table 1 below provides a comparison with the Warrington review. In summary, although it is considered that the contribution to phase 3 could remain as strong, we believe there are sufficient contributory factors, such as good overall enclosure of the site, that could reasonably reduce the professional judgement of its overall contribution to Green Belt, to moderate.

Table 1: Review of Purpose 3 contribution to Green Belt

Warrington Green Belt Review	RSK Green Belt Review
The site boundary defined by Booth's Lane, is a durable boundary, and is able to prevent encroachment – weaker contribution.	The site boundary defined by Booth's Lane, is a durable boundary, and is able to prevent encroachment – weaker contribution.
The eastern boundary is predominantly formed by Cherry Lane with a small section delineated by residential development – able to prevent encroachment – weaker contribution.	The eastern boundary is predominantly formed by Cherry Lane with a small section delineated by residential development – able to prevent encroachment – weaker contribution.
The southern and western boundaries are in some areas marked by trees and hedges – not durable enough to prevent encroachment should	The southern boundary is delineated by a generally strong hedgerow, which is not considered durable enough to prevent

<p>the site be developed – stronger contribution.</p>	<p>encroachment should the site be developed. However, Cherry Lane curves to the west at the southern extent of the site, and it could be said that this, plus the existing residential development and hedge/tree belts creates a durable boundary just to the south of the proposed development, which would prevent encroachment further to the south – moderate contribution.</p> <p>The western boundary is delineated by a hedgerow, which is not considered durable enough to prevent encroachment should the site be developed – stronger contribution.</p>
<p>The existing land use is open countryside in agricultural use. The site is well connected to the countryside on three boundaries, is generally flat with low levels of vegetation and no built form – strong degree of openness.</p>	<p>The existing land use is agricultural, however openness is reduced by a number of strong vegetative boundaries within the site, such as to the reservoir, and the residential development and surrounding vegetative boundaries to the south east. Longer views are limited in places and it is considered that the degree of openness is strong-moderate.</p>
<p>Overall strong contribution to safeguarding the countryside from encroachment due to non-durable boundaries between the site and the countryside and the degree of openness.</p>	<p>Overall strong contribution to safeguarding the countryside from encroachment as the site supports longer line views to the east and west and has non-durable boundaries to two sides. There is no built development within the site, however, some taller vegetation and properties to the south east reduce openness within the site</p>

4.1.3 Professional judgement of overall contribution


In line with the Warrington methodology, professional judgement must be applied to provide an overall level of contribution of the site. Although the judgement with regard to Purpose 3 of the Green Belt has been assessed as strong, as per the Warrington assessment, we believe the existing review does not fully take into account the durability of the boundaries to the north and east and the potential limited openness of the site particularly to the south. In addition, although there is no development within the site, existing vegetation within and built form enclosed on three sides just outside the site reduces the degree of openness. In our opinion, applying professional judgement, the site makes a moderate overall contribution.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The site is visible from a low number of visual receptors situated directly adjacent and close to the site. Visibility of the site from the wider area is limited by vegetation, landform and urban form. This includes local PRow, roads a number of isolated farmsteads and the edge of the neighbouring settlement of Lymm. In general, the site is well contained and screening could be further enhanced and strengthened through additional planting; the eastern and western boundaries of the site have less screening value and should be designed to incorporate a new tree and shrub screening buffer. Once established, this would provide an additional level of visual screening and containment which would be in keeping with the intimate nature of the surrounding landscape, although it is unlikely that development could be totally screened.

Taking into account the site context, landscape character and the visual and landscape analysis above it can be concluded that the site is currently rural in nature, with few visual detractors in the local landscape. If development is sensitively designed with appropriate mitigation the changes to the local landscape character could be reduced. In landscape and visual terms, with appropriate established mitigation planting, the site could be suitable for development.

However, the changes to the landscape character brought about by the introduction of built development in to the rural landscape would lead to a reduction in openness of the Green Belt within the site and immediate area. In our assessment, the overall contribution of the site to the purposes of the Green Belt is moderate rather than strong, as we believe the existing review does not fully take into account the durability of the site boundaries and the potential limited openness of the site particularly to the south.



NOTE-
REFER TO 661635/04/02/PSLYMM FOR PHOTO SHEETS

00	26/09/17	ISSUED AS DRAFT	RP	DL	DL
Rev	Date	Amendment	Drawn	Checked	Apprtd

Client
Wallace Land Investments

Project
Cherry Lane, Lymm

Drawing
View Point Location

File
RSK/M/661635/04/02




Drawn	Date	Checked	Date	Approved	Date
RP	26/09/17	DL	26/09/17	DL	26/09/17
Scale:	Original Size		Status		
NTS	A4		DRAFT		
Drawing No.	P-661635/04/02/VPLLYMM			Rev.	00



View Point 1
Booth's Lane looking south in to proposed site



View Point 2
Booth's Lane looking south - east towards proposed site


Client Wallace Land Investments	Project Title Charry Lane, Lymm	Drawing Title Photosheets	Drawn RP	Date 26/09/17	Checked DL	Date 26/09/17	Approved DL	Date 26/09/17	Drawing No. 661635/04/02/PSLYMM	 <small>Four Ways House, 57 Hilton Street, Manchester, M1 2EJ.</small> <small>Tel: +44 (0) 161 236 2757 Fax: +44 (0) 161 236 7029 Email: info@rsk.co.uk Web: www.rsk.co.uk</small>
		Figure No. 01			Status Draft		Rev. 00	File Path P /M/661635/04/02		



View Point 3
Booth's Lane looking west with proposed site to left of view



View Point 4
Cherry Lane looking north - west in to proposed site

Client Wallace Land Investments	Project Title Cherry Lane, Lymm	Drawing Title Photosheets	Drawn RP	Date 26/09/17	Checked DL	Date 26/09/17	Approved DL	Date 26/09/17	Drawing No. 661635/04/02/PSLYMM	 <p>Four Ways House, 57 Hilton Street, Manchester, M1 2EJ.</p> <p>Tel: +44 (0) 161 236 2757 Fax: +44 (0) 161 236 7029 Email: info@rsk.co.uk Web: www.rsk.co.uk</p>
		Figure No. 02			Status Draft		Rev. 00	File Path P /M/661635/04/02		



Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm

Warrington Local Plan Review

Preferred Development Option : Regulation 18 Consultation

Iceni Projects on behalf of Wallace Land Investments

September 2017



CONTENTS

- 4. Executive Summary
- 6. Introduction
- 8. Policy Context
- 10. Preferred Development Option Consultation
- 18. Land at Cherry Lane, Lymm
- 26. Conclusion



This document was prepared by IcenI Projects on behalf of Wallace Land Investments
Glasgow: IcenI Projects | 177 West George Street | Glasgow | G2 2LB
London: IcenI Projects | Filcroft House | 114 - 116 Charing Cross Road | London | WC2H 0JR
Manchester: IcenI Projects | That Space | 31 Princess Street | Manchester | M2 4EW

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

These representations are made to the Local Plan Preferred Option consultation on behalf of Wallace Land Investments and concern the promotion of a 8.6ha (21.2acres) site in Lymm, hereon in referred to as Land off Cherry Lane, Lymm. The site can accommodate up to approximately 200 new homes, (30% of which to be affordable), informal and formal public open space, locally equipped areas of play, and the provision of land for a community use such as a new GP Surgery or playing fields for Cherry Tree Primary School.

Wallace supports the Council's aspirations for growth and the comprehensive approach to meeting Warrington's development needs, which provide the basis for the 'exceptional circumstances' that the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires to be demonstrated to support the release of Green Belt land.

The site represents a suitable, sustainable, and deliverable option to accommodate growth identified for Lymm as part of the incremental growth scenario within the Preferred Development Option. Wallace broadly supports Warrington Borough Council's (WBC) Preferred Development Option, however, raise several concerns which should be addressed as part of the Local Plan Review process. The site and development proposals directly respond to its local context, and in a broader sense to the issues raised in these representations, namely, they can be summarised as:

- It has not been properly evidenced or justified that the outlying settlements cannot accommodate further growth than that proposed, and these sites are likely to be of a scale that could deliver much needed market and affordable homes within the early years of the plan period and beyond;
- The 'anticipated' figure of 500 new homes for Lymm only represents 10% growth for the settlement. Affordability issues in Lymm will not be addressed without additional homes beyond the current figure proposed;

- Wallace welcome WBC to allocate sites for the outlying settlements within the Local Plan to provide range and choice for the delivery of new homes;
- The Preferred Options do not recognise the importance of the outlying settlements as a key part of realising the Council's ambition to realise Warrington as a New City;
- In the context that the Preferred Development Option accommodates development solely within 4 large growth areas, the plan requires additional flexibility to adapt to change and a 20% buffer should be included within the housing requirement to ensure the plan delivers at least the minimum requirement within the plan period;
- Assumptions used by the Council to calculate gross to net developable areas are questioned and do not take account of the size of each growth area which could see net developable areas as low as 55%;
- There is a clear need for additional Green Belt land to be allocated for housing to ensure the plan is flexible and can adapt to changing circumstances;
- The method in calculating the safeguarded land requirement is questioned. Its subsequent spatial distribution is over simplistic and contradictory. If undertaken appropriately, further Green Belt land should be safeguarded for development beyond the plan period;
- Lead in times, anticipated start dates and sustained delivery rates for development are overly optimistic, and do not take account of the significant infrastructure required, or known circumstances that will inhibit delivery; and
- Further work and justification is needed to understand the delivery, funding, and location of social, environmental, and physical infrastructure especially in the case of the Garden Suburb Proposal.



1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1. These representations are submitted in response to the Warrington Borough Council Preferred Options consultation (September 2017) of the Warrington Borough Local Plan Review. They have been prepared on behalf of Wallace Land Investments (Wallace).
- 1.2. Wallace promote residential and mixed-use development in partnership with landowners across England and Scotland, and are experts in their subsequent delivery.
- 1.3. The Local Plan Core Strategy sets out the planning framework for guiding the location and level of development in the borough up to 2027. The Adopted Plan was the subject of a High Court legal challenge and the Council has now begun the work necessary to ensure the housing elements of the Plan are revised in line with the ruling and reinstated as soon as possible.
- 1.4. Wallace is keen to continue to work with the Council and other key partners in order to ensure that the growth aspirations of Warrington are realised. These representations respond to the emerging policies and strategic matters, having regard to the national, sub-regional, and local policy context. Wallace have identified a number of modifications to be considered. The representations also provide comment in respect of the evidence base that underpins the Warrington Borough Local Plan and the development options identified.
- 1.5. The representations are framed in the context of the requirements of the Warrington Borough Local Plan to be legally compliant and sound. The tests of soundness are set out in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), paragraph 182. For a Plan to be sound it must be:
- **Positively Prepared** – the plan should be prepared based on the strategy which seeks to meet objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements, including unmet requirements from neighbouring authorities where it is reasonable to do so and consistent with achieving sustainable development;
 - **Justified** – the plan should be the most appropriate strategy, when considered against the reasonable alternatives, based on proportionate evidence;
 - **Effective** – the plan should be deliverable over its plan period and based on effective joint working on cross boundary strategic priorities; and
 - **Consistent with National Policy** – the plan should enable the delivery of sustainable development in accordance with the policies in the Framework.
- 1.6. These representations also have regard to the Government's recently published Housing White Paper – Fixing Our Housing Market (February 2017). The White Paper places emphasis that since the 1970s, there have been on average 160,000 new homes built each year in England. The consensus is that we need between 225,000 to 275,000 more homes per year to keep up with population growth before we even start to tackle years of under-supply and affordability issues.
- 1.7. The identified problem is threefold:
- not enough local authorities planning for the homes they need;
 - house building that is simply too slow, and;
 - a construction industry that is too reliant on a small number of big players.
- 1.8. The Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) recently published the consultation document, **Planning for the Right Homes in the Right Places (14 September 2017)**. The rationale behind the consultation is to create a system that is clear and transparent to avoid complex, inconsistent, and expensive processes. In aim of this, the Government proposes a new standardised method for assessing housing need.
- 1.9. The proposed new approach aims to provide clarity and simplify the method for arriving at a robust starting point for establishing housing need i.e. Policy-off position, and it is intended a revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) will be published for consultation in Spring 2018 to reflect these changes (and others).
- 1.10. The proposed streamlined method consists of three components. The starting point continues to be the demographic baseline and is based on household projections, which is then adjusted to take account of affordability (average house prices to median average annual incomes). It is proposed that for every 1% the affordability ratio is above 4, housing need is increased in increments of 0.25%. To ensure the level of need generated as a response to the proposed incremental increases is deliverable and realistic, a cap of 40% is proposed on the total increase.
- 1.11. At the present time, many local authorities, including Warrington, are already working together when identifying their housing need under the duty to co-operate. The Government is proposing that local planning authorities should be able to rely on the evidence used to justify their local housing need for a period of two years from the date on which they submit their plan. Planning Inspectors are advised to work on the assumption that if an authority employs the outlined approach, they are sound to do so, unless there are compelling reasons to indicate otherwise.
- 1.12. The Government is also proposing to set a period of time to enable the transitional arrangements required before new Local Plans are expected to employ the proposed standardised method for calculating housing need. Local authorities who submit new Local Plans to the Secretary of State (SOS) after 31st March 2018 are expected to employ the newly proposed standardised method. As the review of the Warrington Local Plan is likely to be submitted before 31st March 2018, the Council will be able to continue with the current approach employed within its existing evidence base. However, any incurred delays in progressing the review of the local plan could mean that Warrington will have to align its 'policy-off' assessment of housing need to that of the newly proposed approach.
- 1.13. Currently, the Council's assessment of its Objective Assessed Housing Need (OAHN) is 955 dwellings per annum. The newly proposed methodology by DCLG produces a figure of 914 new homes per annum.
- 1.14. It should be noted that the Local Housing Need is expressed as a minimum figure for the number of new homes to be built, not a maximum. This is a minimum to ensure that there are enough homes to meet local need and avoid issues of affordability, and the Council can then assess whether appropriate uplifts should be applied based on aspirations for economic growth.
- 1.15. The Government in its proposed new methodology is supportive of Council's uplifting these housing targets above the minimum figure if they have ambition to increase employment and jobs in their areas. WBC's growth ambitions suggest increasing the Local Housing Need Figure to 1,113 new homes per annum. Wallace is supportive of WBC's approach and aspiration to raise the housing figure as set out in the consultation document.
- 1.16. Ultimately, these figures will form part of discussion and debate at the Local Plan's Examination in Public (EIP), with its independent chair appointed by the SOS, but it is important for stakeholders to present their views early. Wallace does not raise any major concern at this stage, but recommends that the Council provides detailed evidence on the methodology as the Plan progresses and reserves the right to comment should the methodology change.

2. POLICY CONTEXT

Warrington New Town

- 2.1. In 1968 Warrington was designated as a New Town, primarily to take economic advantage of its unique position at the hub of the region's communication network, aided by the arrival of the region's motorways. The Warrington New Town Outline Plan, approved in 1973, set out a strategy to expand the town's population from approximately 120,000 to 200,000 by the year 2000.
- 2.2. The Corporation responsible for the New Town was dissolved on 30 September 1989. Since the end of the New Town, Warrington has continued to grow and there is no indication that this will slow down. It is apparent from the Council's aspirations that Warrington's next chapter is to enable the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City. These aspirations have only grown since discussions on devolution, the Northern Powerhouse, and the concept of locally led garden cities have emerged in recent years.
- 2.3. Wallace supports the Council's growth aspirations and the transition to the New City and strongly believes that the higher levels of growth for the Borough will be beneficial and maintain Warrington as one of the most dynamic and fastest growing areas in the UK¹. Wallace considers that Warrington is strategically positioned to take advantage of the growth within the Northern Powerhouse and region as a whole.

Adopted Core Strategy

- 2.4. The Warrington Local Plan Core Strategy was adopted by the Council on 21st July 2014. It aimed to build on the successful regeneration of the town centre and the inner urban areas of Warrington, supported by strategic and local infrastructure investment.

- 2.5. It set a housing target of 10,500 new homes (equating to 500 per year), and 227 hectares of employment land between 2006 and 2027. However, as a result of a successful High Court Challenge, parts of the plan relating to housing policies were quashed. Details concerning housing numbers, distribution and strategy were abolished, with exception of reference to 1,100 new homes at the Omega Strategic Proposal. Therefore, it is important to ensure that a new local plan for Warrington is adopted to provide the certainty and framework for investment and the delivery of much needed new homes, and employment land provision.

Devolution Deals and the Northern Powerhouse Agenda

- 2.6. The Liverpool City Region and Greater Manchester Devolution deals (2015) saw the election of Steve Rotherham as the first Liverpool City Region Metro Mayor and Andy Burnham as Mayor for Greater Manchester in May 2017. Amongst other things, it has created devolved planning powers to encourage regeneration and development.
- 2.7. As an associate member of the Liverpool City Region combined authority, Warrington is able to benefit from the forthcoming development of a Single Statutory City Region Framework supporting the delivery of strategic sites across the City Region, and helping to accelerate economic growth and new housing development.
- 2.8. Warrington also sits within close proximity to the Greater Manchester Combined Authority, and is able to access a new £300m fund for housing; enough for an extra 15,000 new homes over ten years. As the new Mayor takes lead on these issues he is in a strategic position to contribute to the growing Northern Powerhouse Agenda and capable of providing increased investment and growth towards Warrington.

Devolution Deal for Warrington & Cheshire

- 2.9. The proposed sub-regional partnership between Warrington Council, Cheshire East Council, and Cheshire West and Chester Council for devolution is still being discussed with the Government. It is proposed that subject to the outcome of the governance review, a new Mayor would be elected in May 2018.
- 2.10. If a devolution bid is agreed with the Government, the Cheshire and Warrington Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) predict that it could see growth amounting to a £50 billion economy, and the creation of 127,000 new jobs and 139,000 new homes across the region.

Locally-Led Garden City

- 2.11. The Government has made a commitment to support locally-led garden cities and villages. Each place is unique, but they all offer big opportunities for transformational long-term housing growth. As part of the consultation on the Local Plan review, WBC has prepared a development concept for the garden city suburb, south of Warrington. This is one of the locations proposed for new development as part of the preferred development option and the Council considers this area could provide over 7,000 new homes.
- 2.12. The development concept is an illustration of how development could comprise and sets out the likely infrastructure required to support this level of growth, such as new schools, health facilities, parks and transport improvements. The development concept identifies potential transport infrastructure to support development and suggests that a number of new routes including a further crossing of the ship canal may be required.
- 2.13. Wallace supports the transformational change and aspirations for growth which underpin the preferred development option but acknowledge that delivery of the garden suburb and remaining preferred options require further detailed consideration to ensure delivery of homes within the early years of the plan period.



¹ Cheshire & Warrington LEP – Strategic Economic Plan

3. PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION CONSULTATION

Introduction & Overview

- 3.1. The previous Issues and Options stage was consulted on between 24th October and 5th December 2016. The Council received a total of 78 responses to the consultation. The majority were from developers and landowners although responses were also received from Parish Councils, local residents, and other stakeholders.
- 3.2. Having further considered the proposed scope of the Review, the Council has concluded that there is a need for a new Local Plan, incorporating the elements of the adopted Core Strategy that remain up to date, rather than a partial alteration to the adopted Core Strategy.
- 3.3. It is clear that the emerging Warrington Local Plan is factoring in the potential growth effects from the current wider political ambitions in the North West. Based on a review of the evidence base, the Council is proposing a housing target of 1,113 homes per annum over the 20 year Plan period and an overall employment land target of 381 hectares; this figure is in line with the Devolution Growth scenario set out in the 2017 Addendum Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA).
- 3.4. This target is the first of the six strategic objectives outlined in the consultation document which aims to build on the current successful regeneration of the town centre and the inner urban areas of Warrington. This is complemented by the release of Green Belt land and supported by wide ranging strategic and local infrastructure investment, and the creation of new sustainable neighbourhoods which will deliver the step change in taking Warrington from a New Town to a New City. Wallace supports the Strategic Objectives for the Local Plan and the transition of Warrington from a New Town to a New City.

Preferred Development Option

- 3.5. In order to arrive at the preferred development option, there were four key stages of work:

- Stage 1: Confirming the development needs and associated land requirements
- Stage 2: Define the Strategic Objectives
- Stage 3: Assess the spatial options to accommodate the development
- Stage 4: Assess options for development locations

Stage 1 - Development Needs & Associated Land Requirements

Confirming Development Needs- Planning for Growth

- 3.6. The consultation document seeks to align job growth and housing needs consistent with the NPPF (paragraph 158) and PPG (ID 2a-018). The Council has taken the decision to plan for a level of growth which accords with the LEP's Strategic Economic Plan (SEP), over and above the baseline economic jobs forecasts for Warrington. The 2017 SHMA Update considers the impact of the LEP devolution proposal to create 31,000 additional jobs in the Borough from 2015 to 2040. It is understood this would equate to 28,520 additional jobs over the SHMA period to 2037. Based on past trends it is also highly likely that higher rates of economic growth could be achieved and this would suggest a corresponding increase in the housing requirement.
- 3.7. Based on the Evidence set out above, the Council is proposing a housing target of 1,113 homes per annum over the 20-year Plan period (22,260) and employment land target of 381 ha. Wallace broadly supports this approach and the proposed land requirements. However, the strategic location of Warrington between the two city regions, and Cheshire and Lancashire provides continued impetus for growth and Wallace considers that the alignment with the SEP is logical,

realistic, and appropriate, but could be exceeded further.

- 3.8. The 2017 SHMA Update also highlights that Warrington is a net importer of labour and the Council's aspiration for continued growth in jobs will lead to an increased desire to live within the area and thus a greater provision of new homes would be required.
- 3.9. An area of concern that is not addressed by the 2017 SHMA Update, is that it makes no allowance for increased Household Formation Rates (HFRs) in Warrington in the future. Whilst the latest projections continue to assume lower household formation rates for younger households, the continued failure to deliver enough homes to meet need, an intensifying affordability crisis and growing evidence of younger households being excluded from the housing market remains a major issue.
- 3.10. The 2017 SHMA Update identifies a significant need for affordable housing in addition to an accrued backlog. This effectively means whilst better than national averages, Warrington needs to build more affordable homes as part of the housing offer and particularly early in the plan period to start to address these issues.
- 3.11. Wallace is pleased to see the positive approach that the Council has adopted and is generally supportive of the proposed housing target of 1,113 homes per annum over the Plan period and an employment target of 381 hectares. However, Wallace stress that this figure should be continued to be expressed and considered as a minimum, and the delivery of new homes in the early part of the plan period is required to address backlog need and affordability generally.
- 3.12. Based on the above, Wallace believe Warrington could accommodate higher levels of growth and therefore, greater flexibility in the supply of land is required to

adapt to changing circumstances in the plan period and beyond.

Maximising Urban Capacity

- 3.13. The Council produced an Urban Capacity Statement in October 2016 as part of the Issues and Options consultation. Since then, further work has been undertaken to update the evidence base (July 2017) in aid of producing a more robust figure for identifying capacity within Warrington's urban area. This work sits alongside the updated 2017 SHLAA and Economic Development Needs Assessment (EDNA).
- 3.14. Through the Urban Capacity assessment, the Council has stated (paragraph 4.10) that 15,429 homes and 129 ha of employment land can be accommodated in the urban area. This is a combination of 9,721 homes identified in the 2017 Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) and 7,588 homes from the masterplanning work for the Waterfront, the Town Centre and inner Warrington. To avoid double counting a reduction of 2,285 has been applied.
- 3.15. Wallace supports the Council's intention to maximise any existing suitable and deliverable capacity within the urban area, as typically, these sites will be close to existing infrastructure and local amenities and will support the comprehensive regeneration and revitalisation of existing communities. However, Wallace express caution to the assumptions made in regards to delivery, and also the anticipated trajectory. Wallace is concerned that the levels of delivery anticipated from the urban area particularly in the first 10 years of the plan is optimistic, particularly given the identified and widely acknowledged difficulties of developing some of the sites. There are many plots within the urban capacity work/waterfront regeneration plan that have occupiers and alternative uses.
- 3.16. The Council anticipate that approximately 10,000 homes will be delivered in the first 10 years of the

plan within the existing urban area. The City Centre / Waterfront Masterplan Trajectory Datasheet suggests significant levels of annual delivery on a number of sites. It is questionable as to whether these levels will be achieved given the reliance on the delivery and completion of the Western By-pass and high-level bridge from the A56, over the Manchester Ship Canal, and through to the A57 Liverpool Road. There are also a number of town centre sites that will require significant Council intervention through CPOs and infrastructure before they can be fully developed.

- 3.17. Wallace therefore raises concern over the timescales and deliverability of 15,429 dwellings projected to be delivered within the plan period. This emphasises the need for pragmatic policy mechanisms to readily enable early delivery of the Garden City Suburb (SWUE), outlying settlements and their respective infrastructure as soon as possible.
- Land Requirements for Homes and Employment**
- 3.18. Table 1 of the consultation document incorporates a 5% flexibility factor in addition to the housing requirement. In principle, Wallace supports the inclusion of a buffer to provide flexibility to ensure the plan can adapt to change and conform with NPPF by being positively prepared with the aim to significantly boost housing supply.

- 3.19. However, given the growth aspirations of the Council and the significance placed on 3 large growth areas; The Waterfront; The Garden City Suburb; and the South West Urban Extension, Wallace stress that a 5% flexibility factor does not build in enough room for slippage, which is inevitable, and will not ensure that even the minimum plan requirement will be met within the plan period.
- 3.20. Wallace is supportive of the identified growth areas but are aware of the multitude of land ownerships, requirements for other complementary uses and

significant infrastructure, all of which, only increase the risk of slippages against the housing trajectory. The Council at paragraph 4.13, state that the 5% flexibility factor (as currently applied) is at the lower end of flexibility rates. Wallace suggests the application of a 20% buffer is applied to the overall housing land requirement to ensure the housing requirement, expressed as a minimum, is met in full. Wallace note that a 20% buffer has been applied to the employment land provision, however, it is not clear why a similar buffer has not been applied in respect of housing. This would also be consistent with the recommendations of the Local Plan Expert Group (LPEG) to Government.

- 3.21. Wallace note the SHLAA and Urban Capacity study identify a number of sites with planning permission as being deliverable. However, it appears unclear as to whether caution has been taken to any subsequently lapsing via non-implementation. Non-implementation rates are something that has been a topic of much debate at local plan examinations and S78 planning appeals, and it is now a fairly established practice to apply a 10% reduction to existing commitments with planning permission to account for non-implementation. Therefore, Wallace urge the Council to undertake caution (if not already) in its assumptions and apply a 10% reduction to sites with planning permission unless there is compelling evidence not to.
- 3.22. The 2017 SHLAA and Consultation document considers and assumes all sites will achieve a 75% gross to net ratio developable area. Wallace expresses serious concern with this generalisation as each site depending on gross size, the particular context of where it's situated, and the policy, technical, and utility constraints affecting it, all mean that developable areas can differ significantly from site to site. Wallace state a 75% gross to net ratio could be true for standalone sites ranging from 50-300 dwellings, however, for large strategic allocations such as the proposed Garden City suburb, it could fall below 55%.

3. PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION CONSULTATION cont'd

3.23. The AECOM Development Framework for the garden suburb provides the bones for which to build on, to help further understand the requirements for each stakeholder/landowner. However, until further detailed analysis of the infrastructure requirements and how this is to be located and funded is known (particularly in the case of the Garden Suburb), a more informed gross to net ratio is not likely to be known. This work will be fundamental in informing how much land will be needed within the plan period, and beyond, and could mean some of the safeguarded land (as currently identified) may have to come forward within the plan period and therefore, additional suitable and available land will be needed to be safeguard for development post 2030.

Safeguarding Requirements

3.24. Wallace supports the Council's intention and provision of safeguarded land within the local plan in line with the NPPF, which is clear, that where necessary, Local Plans should provide safeguarded land to meet longer term development needs stretching **"...well beyond the plan period..."** and that local authorities should satisfy themselves that Green Belt boundaries **"... will not need to be altered at the end of the development plan period..."**.

3.25. Table 3 of the consultation document outlines the Council's approach to calculating the safeguarded land requirement to ensure Green Belt boundaries are capable of enduring for a further 10 years beyond the 20-year plan period. The Council state that due to the application of a 5% flexibility factor already included to meet housing need within the 20-year plan period, only 9 years' equivalent of safeguarded land is required (as 5% is equivalent to 1-year supply). The calculation of the safeguarded land requirement also considers the application of a 20% buffer concerning employment land which equates to a further 5 years of supply.

3.26. The above would amount to some 15 years supply of safeguarded land and this would appear to conform to the NPPF (para 157), however, Wallace asserts that the 20-year time horizon for the plan period should be utilised. Such a time horizon will ensure proper plan making for the future and provide a framework for robustly managing, shaping, and the protecting the Green Belt beyond the plan period. This will also provide certainty to the development industry and local communities of the likely growth locations beyond the end of the plan period.

3.27. Wallace questions the Council's logic for including the flexibility factors into the calculation of the safeguarded land requirement. This is because, the 5% buffer (for housing) and 20% buffer (for employment land) is to ensure flexibility and that the housing requirement expressed as a minimum is met in full within the plan period. It follows therefore, that if these are required/ delivered during the plan period they will not be available for future development.

3.28. Wallace refer the Council to the previous concerns raised regarding generalisations to density (30dph) and gross to net developable area ratios (75%).

3.29. Paragraph 4.24 of the consultation document indicates that a similar urban to Green Belt spatial distribution split (64% to 36% respectively) will be appropriate for future development beyond the plan period. Wallace stress that this assumption is too simplistic and contradictory, as it doesn't take into account the Council's own ambitions to maximise the development of the urban area within the plan period. Therefore, it follows that if the majority of urban land is developed as anticipated, it will not be available after the end of the plan period. This suggests a greater reliance upon safeguarded land outside of the urban area beyond the plan period.

3.30. Wallace also highlight that the safeguarded land (as currently proposed) is wholly located to the east of Warrington and is presented (by the Council) as an option to provide an eastern extension to the Garden City Suburb. Whilst Wallace can understand some logic in the approach the Council has taken, it is unclear how the future requirements of other areas and settlements will be dealt with beyond the plan period. Therefore, Wallace recommend that the Council consider providing additional safeguarded land in other areas such as the outlying settlements and areas which could provide significant additional local (and wider) highway infrastructure solutions beyond the plan period. Furthermore, it may be that sites are allocated in areas where significant prior infrastructure is not required meaning homes can be quickly delivered upon grant of planning permission.

3.31. Wallace asserts that the plan needs to provide triggers which would indicate when the safeguarded land would be considered for release, such as a shortfall in the 5-year housing land supply. The Government's 'housing delivery test' suggested in the recent Housing White Paper², will require action to be taken if delivery falls below 95% of the annual housing requirement. The release of safeguarded land should be triggered if the plan is failing to deliver as anticipated.

Stage 2 - Strategic Objectives for the Local Plan

3.32. Wallace is generally supportive of the strategic objectives although they will need to be refined to reflect any changes resulting from this consultation.

Green Belt Exceptional Circumstances

3.33. The Council's evidence base and Issues and Options consultation made an early recognition that despite maximising the capacity of the existing urban area, it is apparent that if Warrington is to meet the development needs arising from its growth aspirations, it can only do so through the release of Green Belt land.

3.34. Wallace supports this approach and agrees that the Council has identified the exceptional circumstances required within the NPPF (para 83). The only comment Wallace would seek to make in this regard is that additional Green Belt land for immediate release and for safeguarding purposes may be required in addition to the land and requirements currently identified.

3.35. As part of the Local Plan Review the Council commissioned Arup to undertake a Green Belt Assessment (October 2016). Wallace made comments on the study at the Issues and Options stage and reference should also be made to these representations.

3.36. Due to representations received at the Issues and Options stage the Council have produced an addendum (July 2017) to the Green Belt report which assesses the impact of affected land by the proposed and confirmed HS2 route. The report also includes assessment of all of the sites put forward in the 2016 SHLAA in line with the five purposes of Green Belt.

Stages 3 & 4 - Assessment of the High Level Spatial Options and Preferred Development Option

3.37. Following this process, three High Level Spatial Options were defined for the distribution of new development.

- Green Belt release only in proximity to the main Warrington urban area;
- Majority of Green Belt release adjacent to the main urban area and incremental growth of outlying settlements; and
- Settlement extension in one or more settlements with the remainder of growth adjacent to the main urban area.

3.38. The second Option was confirmed as the Preferred Option and this is supported by Wallace.

3.39. Having established this Strategic Option, the Council has looked in more detail at the main development locations.

3.40. These are complemented by continued development in the existing urban area and incremental growth in Warrington's outlying settlements. The main options considered were:

- **Option 1** - A Garden City Suburb to the south east of the Warrington main urban area of approximately 8,000 homes
- **Option 2** - A Garden City Suburb of approximately 6,000 homes & an urban extension to the south west of Warrington of up to 2,000 homes
- **Option 3** - A Garden City Suburb of approximately 6,000 homes & an urban extension to the west of Warrington of up to 2,500 homes
- **Option 4** - A Garden City Suburb of approximately 4,000 homes & an urban extension to the south west of Warrington of up to 2,000 homes & urban extension to west of Warrington of up to 2,500 homes
- **Option 5** - A more dispersed pattern of Green Belt release adjacent to the main urban area

3.41. Out of the 5 proposed options, the Council concluded that **Option 2, a Garden City Suburb and an urban extension to the south west of Warrington is the preferred development option.** The council

arrived at this option by assessing each against the Strategic Plan Objectives, taking into account the results of the Sustainability Appraisal. Whilst Option 1 also performed well against Plan objectives, the Council felt that there are deliverability and infrastructure risks regarding concentrating such a high level of development in one location.

3.42. The Options containing a Western Urban Extension did not perform well against the Green Belt objectives given the strong performance of the Green Belt in the west of the borough, both with regards to general character area and individual parcel/development site level. The western component also raised issues from an infrastructure delivery perspective given the relatively fragmented nature of available sites. The main concern with Option 5 was the ability to ensure infrastructure delivery, particularly for larger infrastructure requirements including a new secondary school, with the risk of worsening the already severe congestion within the main urban area.

Preferred Development Option

3.43. The Preferred Option promotes the creation of new sustainable communities alongside brownfield development and significant infrastructure delivery to finish off the New Town concept and to realise the vision of Warrington as a New City, with the addition of incremental growth within the identified outlying settlements.

3.44. Within the Preferred Development Option, there are four main growth areas (para 3.4) with incremental growth within the outer lying settlements. The four main growth areas are:

- **The City Centre;**
- **The Waterfront;**
- **The Garden City Suburb; and**
- **The South West Urban Extension.**

² DCLG 2017: Fixing our broken housing market

3. PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION CONSULTATION cont'd

3.45. The preferred development option is generally supported by Wallace, but Wallace has a number of concerns regarding the assumed delivery (start dates) and the anticipated rates of housing completions and their sustained delivery. Therefore, further evidence is required to justify the anticipated delivery rates between now and the publication of the draft plan. Specific concerns in the above regard are set out in further detail below.

The City Centre & Wider Urban Area

3.46. The continued regeneration of the town centre is a priority for the Council and is supported by Wallace. The Preferred Option builds on the existing City Centre Masterplan which includes higher density. The City Centre is to provide a total of 3,526 new homes throughout the plan period and is anticipated to come forward in the following phases of the plan:

- **0-5 years: 980 new homes (equivalent to 196 completions per annum)**
- **6-10 years: 1,629 new homes (equivalent to 407 completions per annum)**
- **11-15 years: 569 new homes (equivalent to 142 completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 348 new homes (equivalent to 87 completions per annum)**

3.47. The Wider Urban Area including Omega will provide for a further 4,869 houses and is a continuation of the current allocations in the adopted Core Strategy (2014). These sites are already allocated and some are currently under construction. They are anticipated to come forward in the following phases of the plan:

- **0-5 years: 1,560 new homes (equivalent to 312 completions per annum)**
- **6-10 years: 2,271 new homes (equivalent to 568 completions per annum)**

- **11-15 years: 1,038 new homes (equivalent to 260 completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 0 new homes**

3.48. Wallace refer the Council to previous comments made between paragraphs 3.13 -3.16 in these representations concerning the Council's overly optimistic delivery of sites within the City Centre and Wider Urban Area.

3.49. Specifically, in the case of sites within the Wider Urban Area, Wallace highlight that during years 6-10 the assumed rate of completions per annum is 568 which would require (on particularly large strategic sites) more than approximately 5 housebuilders concurrently building out their individual phases. Wallace consider this to be particularly optimistic.

Warrington Waterfront

3.50. The Waterfront is seen as a major development opportunity with the potential to plug a missing gap and create a new community with a country park and significant infrastructure. The Waterfront is to provide a total of 4,032 new homes throughout the plan period that is anticipated to come forward in the following phases of the plan:

- **0-5 years: 728 new homes (equivalent to 146 completions per annum)**
- **6-10 years: 795 new homes (equivalent to 199 completions per annum)**
- **11-15 years: 1,790 new homes (equivalent to 448 completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 719 new homes (equivalent to 180 completions per annum)**

3.51. The development of the Waterfront requires significant infrastructure prior to delivery such as the Western Relief Road and in particular, the high-level bridge

which is a prohibitor to early deliver of new homes. The construction of the bridge is dependent upon central Government funding and the release of HCA funding generated from land to the south of Warrington to facilitate the infrastructure needed. Also worthy of note are other issues such as multiple landownerships, flooding, increased potential for contamination, and bad neighbour developments. The site is currently constrained by Unilever, and Solvay Interco, which in particular, is a hazardous installation.

3.52. Wallace is therefore pessimistic of the Waterfront's delivery until the later years of the plan period, if not beyond. The Waterfront Masterplan Trajectory Datasheet suggests some significant levels of annual delivery on a number of sites, however, there is no clear evidence or justification of how these levels of delivery have been arrived at.

South Western Warrington Urban Extension (SWWUE)

3.53. The South Western Urban Extension will provide a smaller urban extension of around 1,831 new homes, together with a new primary school and local centre. It is anticipated to come forward in the following phases of the plan:

- **0-5 years: 0 new homes**
- **6-10 years: 610 new homes (equivalent to 153 completions per annum)**
- **11-15 years: 610 new homes (equivalent to 153 completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 611 new homes (equivalent to 153 completions per annum)**

3.54. Wallace note the potential for Health and Safety issues related to the chemical works on the other side of the Manchester Ship Canal and the site's relationship with Halton and Higher Walton.

3.55. Whilst the SWWUE appears less dependent than the Waterfront on the final position of the Western Link Road from the A56 at Walton and the high-level Bridge, the proposals may constrain development until details on the preferred route are finalised. Wallace note some caution has been taken in regard to lead in times with no homes being anticipated to come forward until years 6-10. This in principle is welcomed, however it is not justified why a completely even spread of delivery across years 6-20 has been assumed.

Warrington Garden City Suburb - South Warrington Urban Extension (SWUE)

3.56. The creation of a new Garden City Suburb as an extension of south Warrington is a logical conclusion of the New City concept and mirrors the original New Town Plan. The Garden City Suburb is a crucial element in successful planning for the town. What sets Garden City suburbs apart from other large-scale developments is that it allows the necessary infrastructure to be planned in from the start, and existing communities can be protected from unsightly and unpopular piecemeal development.

3.57. The Garden City Suburb is to provide a major new employment area as an extension of the existing Appleton Thorn / Barleycastle estates at the intersection of the M6 and M56, and up to 7,274 new homes within the plan period. New homes are anticipated to come forward in the following phases of the plan (both Green Belt & Non-Green Belt land):

Non-Green Belt Land

- **0-5 years: 406 new homes (equivalent to 81 completions per annum)**
- **6-10 years: 496 new homes (equivalent to 124 completions per annum)**
- **11-15 years: 48 new homes (equivalent to 12 completions per annum)**

- **completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 0**

Green Belt Land

- **0-5 years: 0 new homes**
- **6-10 years: 2,114 new homes (equivalent to 528 completions per annum)**
- **11-15 years: 2,096 new homes (equivalent to 524 completions per annum)**
- **16-20 years: 2,114 new homes (equivalent to 529 completions per annum)**

3.58. The initial development concept envisages the Garden Suburb will be focused around three garden neighborhoods, and centered on a new district centre and a new country park to the east. The Council has set out its full aspirations within the document and this is broadly supported by Wallace. Wallace highlight the need for close working and co-operation between the key landowners to develop a more detailed conceptual and deliverable masterplan, which adequately phases housing and employment land in conjunction with the necessary transport, education, and community infrastructure.

3.59. At present, it is proposed that the SWUE will be developed in a 20-year phased manner and each phase will consist of the necessary infrastructure. The development trajectory sets out an initial idea of how each phase will deliver the required housing numbers with the Garden City Suburb. Within the preferred option this is currently indicated as starting in years 6-10.

3.60. Wallace questions the Council's logic as to why the Strategic Road 1 is not identified to come forward alongside housing development and employment land in the West in the early years of the plan period. Clearly, this Strategic Road is paramount as it facilitates

vehicular access and movement from within the Garden City Suburb in the west to the east. Wallace asserts that the western gateway at Junction 10, M56 into the Garden City Suburb should be considered in isolation as an early phase, and that it could be brought forward whilst simultaneously providing the necessary infrastructure needed.

3.61. As expressed previously within these representations there is clearly a need to ensure early deliver of the Council's preferred development options, specifically, the Garden City Suburb, with an emphasis on homes being delivered in years 0-5 of the plan period.

3.62. Wallace is aware of the multiple landownerships within the Garden City Suburb and the varied vested interests. It is very likely that some landowners do not wish to seek development upon their land, and therefore, consideration in how the Garden City Suburb is delivered, should incorporate these factors early in the Council's decision-making process to avoid prolonged legalistic action that may arise as a result of Compulsory Purchase Orders.

Outlying Settlements

3.63. At paragraph 5.46 of the consultation document the Council defines an approximate number of homes to be accommodated in each of the outlying settlements under the 'incremental growth' scenario.

3.64. The figure of approximately 1,190 new homes is expressed as being potentially deliverable across the outlying settlements and the Council at table 22 identify each settlement's indicative Green Belt capacity as the following:

- **Lymm: 500 new homes**
- **Culcheth: 300 new homes**
- **Burtonwood: 150 new homes**
- **Winwick: 90 new homes**

3. PREFERRED DEVELOPMENT OPTION CONSULTATION cont'd

- **Croft: 60 new homes**
- **Glazebury: 50 new homes**
- **Hollins Green: 40 new homes**

3.65. The Council acknowledge at this stage the above numbers are indicative with the actual numbers to be determined once they have undertaken a 'detailed assessment' of the potential development sites submitted, the associated implications of the character of the respective settlements, the permanence of the amended Green Belt boundaries, and respective impact upon the local highway infrastructure. The preferred options document at paragraph 5.47 identifies that if the above levels of development are delivered, it will be necessary to expand existing primary school provision in Lymm, Culcheth, and Burtonwood. It is also stated that it will be necessary to provide additional primary care capacity in Lymm and Burtonwood.

3.66. To ensure that the plan delivers its housing requirement in full, Wallace recommends greater flexibility is provided, see paragraphs 3.18 to 3.22 above. This flexibility should be through a greater provision of sites in areas which will not directly compete with the urban area or south / south west of Warrington. This will not only ensure that the aspirations for the other areas are not diluted but will ensure a greater diversity of supply, and accelerate the provision and supply of new homes in years 0-5 of the planning period. Wallace consider the outlying settlements could provide additional flexibility through the provision of further allocations for immediate release and/or safeguarding.

3.67. The Council's appraisal of development options appears to assume that development outside of the main urban area of Warrington and its immediately surrounding Green Belt cannot contribute to realising Warrington as a New City. Wallace assert that this is an overly simplistic interpretation of a New City, which whilst focusing on Warrington Town, will be supported by sustainable and thriving outlying settlements that offer choice for new and existing residents.

3.68. It is suggested that post the preferred options consultation the Council will identify sites to be allocated and / or potentially safeguarded. However, in recognition of the proposal set in the recent Housing White Paper concerning Neighborhood Plans being able to make minor revisions to Green Belt boundaries to support housing development, the Council have left the door ajar for Parish Councils to potentially take on this task through the preparation and production of a Neighborhood Plan.

3.69. Utilising Neighborhood Plans as a mechanism for allocating sites may incur further delay and uncertainty in terms of delivery. Therefore, in line with the thrust of argument in creating flexibility in the plan and delivering much needed homes within the early years of the plan period (0-5), Wallace encourages WBC to identify site allocations for the outlying settlements within the Local Plan.

Overall Observations - Infrastructure Requirements & Viability

3.70. Wallace supports the Council's preferred development option and acknowledge that significant investment in infrastructure will be required. However, it is not fully clear within the consultation how this infrastructure is intended to be funded or what the timescales are for bringing it forward in conjunction with housing and employment land in a coordinated, comprehensive, and phased manner. If a significant proportion is to be funded through market housing schemes this will need to be carefully managed to ensure the viability of particular sites are not stretched.

3.71. Wallace notes the BNP Paribas high level assessment in terms of infrastructure delivery and viability and Wallace reserves right to comment when future detailed work becomes available.

3.72. Specifically, in the case of the Garden Suburb, there is a significant requirement for infrastructure to support the proposed level of growth: including a network of new distributor roads; a new secondary school; up to 4 new primary schools; a new country park; a district centre; health facilities and leisure facilities; and employment land. Due to the acknowledgement of the significant infrastructure that is required, Wallace encourage the Council to allow for adequate lead in times for infrastructure delivery, receipt of planning permission (both outline, and reserved matters and discharge of conditions), site preparation, construction, sale and occupation. This is because Wallace notes that the anticipate build out rates for the growth areas either in part or whole appear to be overly optimistic and in some instances, would require up to 5 housebuilders on site at the same time.



4. LAND AT CHERRY LANE, LYMM

- 4.1. Wallace controls 8.6 ha (21.2 acres) of land off Cherry Lane, Lymm, which has the potential to provide a sustainable development that can accommodate up to approximately 200 new homes (30% of which to be affordable), informal and formal public open space, locally equipped areas of play, and the provision of land for a community use/ a new GP Surgery/Cherry Tree Primary School playing fields.
- 4.2. The Preferred Development Option outlines incremental growth to the outlying settlements, based on the 'indicative capacity' of the Green Belt within each outlying settlement to accommodate development. For Lymm this is identified as approximately 500 new homes.

Settlement Profile of Lymm

- 4.3. The strategic location of the Borough between the two city regions of Cheshire and Lancashire means the outlying villages of Warrington offer a highly desirable and attractive location to live. This can be said particularly in the case of Lymm where average house prices are highest.
- 4.4. Lymm's strategic advantage is its proximity to the M6 Junction 20 and the M56 Junction 9 which provide regional and national connections, and therefore, make it an attractive destination to reside for commuters in the region. In addition, the A56 offers connections to nearby Altrincham to the west and Grappenhall to the east, and the A50 also provides connections south towards Knutsford and Manchester, and west into Warrington via Grappenhall.
- 4.5. According to the Settlement Profile Document (July 2017), Lymm has a population of approximately 11,192 (January 2017) (based on 4,961 households) with an age profile for the Lymm ward of 21% aged under 16, 60% aged 16-64, and 19% aged 65+ (2015).

- 4.6. Lymm benefits from an attractive vernacular and has a generally well performing village centre which possesses a good range of shops, restaurants, bars, and day to day amenities with low levels of vacancy rates.

Incremental Growth in Lymm

- 4.7. The Consultation document, as highlighted earlier, defines an approximate number of homes the Council considers could be accommodated in each of the outlying settlements under the 'incremental growth' scenario. The Council acknowledge at this stage that this figure is indicative, with the actual numbers to be determined once they have undertaken a 'detailed assessment' of all the potential development sites submitted, the associated implications of the character of the respective settlements, the permanence of the amended Green Belt boundaries, and impacts on local highway infrastructure.
- 4.8. Wallace highlights that the current growth identified for Lymm would only equate to 10% increase and considering the affordability issues prevalent within Lymm, provision of additional suitable, sustainable, and deliverable land is required. Wallace suggest that to ensure a real effect is made upon affordability issues, the Council, subject to specific site assessment, should pursue growth in the order of 20% for Lymm.
- 4.9. The Preferred Development Option Document at paragraph 5.47 identifies that it will be necessary to expand existing primary schools in Lymm, Culcheth, and Burtonwood to deliver the proposed quantum of development. It is also stated that it will be necessary to provide additional primary care capacity in Lymm and Burtonwood. If Lymm is to accommodate growth above the current 10% level then clearly this will need to be considered alongside appropriate education, health, and community infrastructure provision.

- 4.10. Wallace assert that in order to achieve the growth aspirations for the New City, the outlying settlements play a crucial role as sustainable thriving settlements, which offer further choice in the supply of new homes to new and existing residents. Furthermore, the nature and size of likely allocations within the outlying settlements will typically not require significant prior infrastructure, and thus, can deliver homes within the early years of the plan period.



4. LAND AT CHERRY LANE, LYMM cont'd

The Site

- 4.11. The site is located on the south-western edge and approach to the village of Lymm and is bound by Booth's Lane to the north, Cherry Lane to the east, and agricultural land to the west. Booth's Lane currently acts as the village boundary at the present time with established existing residential development to the north. The site is also influenced by existing residential dwellings which adjoin the eastern middle boundary of the site, and existing residential properties are also present further to the south and east along The Avenue. The site benefits from being well defined by strong field boundaries with mature hedgerows and scrub.
- 4.12. The site presents a sustainable development opportunity to accommodate up to approximately 200 new homes (up to 30% of which will be affordable), as well as land for a community use such as a new GP practice/Cherry Tree Primary School playing fields.

Sustainability of Location

- 4.13. Located directly opposite the site is the local primary school (Cherry Farm Primary). The secondary school is located 3km to the north of the site.
- 4.14. Lymm village neighbourhood centre is approximately 1.5 km walking distance from the centre of the site. A Post Office, local shop, hotel and public house are located within 600m from the centre of the site.
- 4.15. The Council has identified that it will be necessary to expand Cherry Tree Primary School in Lymm and create additional primary care capacity. As the proposed site is within close proximity to the school, Wallace welcome a discussion regarding the potential to incorporate playing fields for Cherry Tree Primary School onto part of the site. The area of land could also accommodate a local GP surgery or other community use.

- 4.16. Bus services are available throughout the village on the B5158 and on the A56. The nearest bus stop is located within easy walking distance, approximately 500m from the centre of the site. A number of bus services already operate around the site, including hourly services to Warrington.

SHLAA & Green Belt Assessment - Land of Cherry Lane

- 4.17. The site was submitted via the Call for Sites in November 2015 and December 2016 (R18/008). It was assessed in the 2015 and 2017 SHLAA as part of the ongoing process to identify the Borough's 'deliverable' and 'developable' supply of housing land.
- 4.18. Land off Cherry Lane was assessed with the SHLAA 2017 as being 'constrained' due to its designation as Green Belt. It is apparent that the site's SHLAA assessment takes the format of the standardised response used by the Council when assessing Green Belt sites:

Sites within the Green Belt, unless in compliance with the provisions of appropriate development as defined by the NPPF, are considered unsuitable due to policy constraints. In such circumstances, it is premature for the SHLAA to endorse specific sites in the Green Belt as suitable for residential development in advance of any comprehensive review of Warrington's Green Belt to evaluate whether there are appropriate locations for future development.

- 4.19. The Arup October 2016 Green Belt report assessed the functionality of Warrington Green Belt in accordance with the five functions of the Green Belt, namely:
- To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas;
 - To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another;
 - To assist in safeguarding the countryside

- Preserving the setting and special character of historic towns; and
- To assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

- 4.20. The Arup October 2016 study distinguished Green Belt functionality into four categories:


- No contribution;
- Weak contribution;
- Moderate contribution; and
- Strong contribution.

- 4.21. The first stage of the report distinguished Warrington's Green Belt into 24 overarching character areas. Land off Cherry Lane is identified within character area 8 which is assessed as serving a strong contribution to Green Belt purposes.

- 4.22. The October 2016 Green Belt Assessment then went on to assess individual parcels within the defined character areas. Land off Cherry Lane is identified as reference LY26 and is assessed as providing an overall strong contribution to the function of the Green Belt.

- 4.23. LY26 is assessed as the following:

- **No contribution:** to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas
- **No contribution:** to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another
- **Strong contribution:** to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
- **No contribution:** to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns
- **Moderate contribution:** to assist urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.
- **Overall Contribution:** Strong



4. LAND AT CHERRY LANE, LYMM cont'd

4.24. The July 2017 addendum Green Belt report assessed all sites that had been submitted as part of the 2016 call for sites consultation. Land off Cherry Lane is again assessed as performing a strong contribution to Green Belt purposes.

RSK Landscape Assessment

4.25. RSK were commissioned by Wallace to review Arup's analysis and categorization of land off Cherry Lane. The full assessment by RSK is attached as an appendix and should be read in conjunction with these representations.

4.26. RSK have provided a review of landscape and visual effects and is based on the broad principles established in the following best practice guidance:

- The Landscape Institute and the Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (2013) Guidelines for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment, Third Edition (GLVIA3); and
- Scottish Natural Heritage and the Countryside Agency (2002) Landscape Character Assessment: Guidance for England and Scotland.

4.27. The analysis considers the preliminary baseline conditions of the proposed development context but does not attempt to score the significance of potential effects; however, it does identify potential issues for further consideration in subsequent design proposals.

4.28. A high-level desk based review of landscape designations and policy has been undertaken along with a desk-based study of aerial imagery and Ordnance Survey mapping.

4.29. A site survey was undertaken on Wednesday 20th September 2017; the weather conditions were predominantly cloudy with good visibility.

4.30. In line with Arup's methodology, professional judgement was applied to categorize the overall level of contribution to the Green Belt for land off Cherry Lane.

4.31. The assessment undertaken by RSK identifies shortcomings in Arup's analysis which did not fully appreciate or consider the durability of the boundaries to the north and east and the potential limited openness of the site particularly to the south. In addition, although there is no development within the site, existing vegetation within the site and built form enclosed on three sides just outside the site reduces the degree of openness. In applying professional judgement, RSK determined land off Cherry Lane provided a moderate contribution to purpose 3 rather than strong reported by Arup. In light of this, RSK asserts the overall classification should be amended so that Land off Cherry Lane is categorized as providing a moderate contribution to Green Belt purposes.

Lymm SHLAA Submissions

4.32. Wallace note several submissions have been made in respect of sites presented as development opportunities in Lymm. These are predominantly located to the north east of Lymm. Wallace do not have any site-specific observations at this stage, but recognise that locating strategic allocations in this part of Lymm may only serve to exacerbate existing through traffic and congestion.

4.33. Furthermore, any proposed development between Lymm and neighbouring Rush Green would cause coalescence of these two separate and distinct neighbourhoods and therefore, consideration is required to ensure future development avoids negatively impacting upon character and identify of the areas.

4.34. Wallace also note some of the submissions are within, or close to Lymm conservation area. Therefore, to ensure that Lymm conserves its historic core careful consideration is needed when assessing how to accommodate the current identified level of growth.

Development Trajectory

4.35. The development trajectory is set out for all the key areas identified in the Preferred Development Option and shows how the Council sees these areas delivering the required number of new homes and employment land over the next 20 years.

4.36. It currently shows that up to 500 homes will be allocated in Lymm and this is the largest of all of the outlying settlements, making up 42% of the total for all settlements. If this was extrapolated it will translate to 143 in years 0-5 and 357 in Lymm in years 6-11.

4.37. Wallace welcomes the early release of Green Belt sites within the outlying settlements, as this will help to ensure that the supply of housing is spread evenly throughout the Plan period and helps to maintain a rolling 5-year housing land supply.

Density Assumptions

4.38. As part of the consultation the Council has sought views on the appropriate density to be applied in this area to balance residential quality against the need to minimise Green Belt release.

4.39. Wallace raises caution of a 'one size fits all' approach as housing densities should respond to local context and the constraints and opportunities of each site.

Safeguarded Land

4.40. The requirement for safeguarded land for development beyond the plan period will depend upon the assumptions made in the regard to anticipated densities applied to the 4 growth areas in Preferred Development Options. Wallace refers the Council to previous comments made concerning the spatial distribution and calculation of the Safeguarded land requirement. Wallace supports the provision of safeguarded land and believe that it is important to safeguard land in the outlying villages in contrast to further provision near or within the 4 identified growth areas. This will ensure that if safeguarded land needs to be brought forward within the plan period to rectify under delivery, it will not be as dependent on significant infrastructure.

4. LAND AT CHERRY LANE, LYMM cont'd

SITE APPRAISAL

Access

- 4.41. Vehicular access to the site can be provided from Cherry Lane (B5158) to the east of the site and pedestrian and cycle access from Booths Lane to the north with an easily accessible network of paths and public rights of way.

Agricultural Land Classification


- 4.42. The site comprises mainly of Grade 3, which is good to moderate quality agricultural land, as described in MAFF (1988) *Agricultural Land Classification of England & Wales*.

Topography

- 4.43. The site is relatively flat, generally sloping from north to south. The site is visible from Cherry Lane arriving from the South and Booths Lane looking south.

Drainage / Flooding

- 4.44. Environment Agency flood mapping confirms it is in Flood Zone 1 and therefore is at low risk of flooding. An appropriate flood risk assessment will be undertaken as part of a planning application.
- 4.45. The proposal will incorporate SUDS measures to ensure that surface water from the proposal meets greenfield run-off requirements. The existing waterbody will be incorporated in to the scheme and utilised for surface water run off where appropriate.



4. LAND AT CHERRY LANE, LYMM cont'd

Indicative Development Framework

- 4.46. The site presents a sustainable strategic development opportunity to accommodate residential development within easy reach of Lymm's village centre. It can accommodate up to approximately 200 new homes (up to 30% of which to be affordable) together with informal and formal public open space, locally equipped areas of play, and land set aside for a community use such as a GP surgery or school playing fields.
- 4.47. Homes will include 3, 4 and 5 bedroom market houses and affordable housing. All homes will be accessible within a safe environment. The proposal is designed to integrate with the existing vernacular of Lymm. A large area of open space adjacent to the existing village provides a setting to the existing church and historic core of the village. This ensures that the proposal forms a natural extension to the existing community.
- 4.48. Open space and play space provision is located throughout the proposal and will be in accord with Council requirements.
- 4.49. The movement hierarchy around the site will provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists. This is achieved through a combination of shared surface lanes and a remote path network.
- 4.50. Existing public rights of way have been integrated into the proposal, allowing ease of connection to the wider countryside.
- 4.51. Structure planting will be provided along the southern and western boundaries of the site, providing a new and defensible inner boundary for the Green Belt. The structure planting will provide visual screening and an attractive edge to the development.

- 4.52. The structure planting will incorporate rural paths around the edge of the proposal, with regular links into the proposal and easy access opportunities to the surrounding countryside. The structure planting around the site will also help promote biodiversity and form a key element in the establishment of new wildlife habitats and corridors in the area.
- 4.53. The proposal will maximise the future prospects of success in attracting new investment to Lymm and maintaining and enhancing existing Services.

Conclusion

- 4.54. Wallace wishes to work in partnership with the Council, the local community and other agencies to deliver the vision for the Land off Cherry Lane, Lymm.
- 4.56. The site is in a sustainable location and the proposal represents a sustainable development that provides a natural and complimentary extension to the existing built form to south of the village of Lymm.
- 4.57. Representations for this proposal will also be made through the SHLAA process. Should the site be allocated in the draft published Local Plan, then a planning application for outline planning permission could be lodged immediately, and would likely be mid-2018. Thereafter, allowing for a two-year lead in period to secure all necessary consents, construction of Land off Cherry Lane, Lymm would commence in late 2019.





This document was prepared by Icen Projects on behalf of Wallace Land Investments

Delivery | Design | Engagement | Heritage | Planning | Sustainable Development | Transport

Glasgow : 177 West George Street | Glasgow | G2 2LB

London : Flitcroft House | 114 - 116 Charing Cross Road | London | WC2H 0JR

Manchester : That Space | 31 Princess Street | Manchester | M2 4EW

www.iceniprojects.com | [in](#) iceni-projects | [t](#) iceniprojects | [@](#) iceniprojects

