



28.09.2017.

To whom it may concern,

I have been unable to fill in the provided questionnaire as it required a degree of expertise and knowledge which I unfortunately do not possess.

However, this does not prevent me from feeling strongly about the content of the preferred option and having both objective and subjective doubts about its suggested provision for a future happy and prosperous Warrington.

In brief my objections are,

1. The consultation process has been inadequate and local residents are unhappy.

2. The vision of Warrington as a city is flawed and not wanted by the population.

3. The Council Officers have failed to carry out the necessary ecological, transport and air quality surveys that would have informed a robust and sustainable plan.

4. The green belt originally satisfied the tests of durability when it was designated and WBC have presented no exceptional circumstances to justify a change.

5. There is no evidence to support deliverability of even just the demographically required future housing needs.

6. The projections used are based on data periods prior to the Brexit referendum.

7. There is no government requirement to produce a 20year plan.

8. All local residents know of the terrible traffic problems in the area,

especially when there are accidents on the motorways surely the envisaged numbers of new homes with 2/3 cars each will make this much worse.

9 . What will happen to all the natural beauty of the area?

10. Communications have been inadequate with information poor and maps illegible. Information at the public meetings has been conflicting and unclear.

11. The council have relied too heavily on online forms and information; they should not assume that all people have access to technology.

12. Finally, the inadequacy of the consultation process means that the local population have not been heard.

The majority feeling is that this is the wrong plan for the wrong number of the wrong type of homes in the wrong places.

Thanks for listening,