| From: | |
|----------|-----------------------|
| To: | Local Plan |
| Subject: | Local Plan |
| Date: | 15 June 2019 17:55:27 |

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to the Council in response to the Development Proposals Up To 2037.

I am a resident the areas of Green Belt on which it is proposed to build a large number of houses over the next 20 years. That it will have an enormous impact on residents in Appleton, and the wider area, is not in doubt.

This provision of new housing as outlined in the Development Proposal **should not go ahead** unless and until guaranteed commitments are made to ensure that at the same time as construction of housing commences, that

construction of new facilities for the incoming residents in particular, medical centres and schools, are also included in the building projects. There would be a real danger of the existing facilities in Appleton, Stretton and Stockton Heath being overwhelmed. That would not be remotely *supporting thriving new communities* as stated in the Draft Local Plan.

I understand that the Council cannot promise that any of these facilities will actually be provided.

If the Council is not responsible then this needs to be addressed to which ever authority is responsible for making and authorising such decisions and not left in the vague way that it is at present.

It is reassuring to read in the Proposals that:-

7.4.2 The Policy also seeks to ensure that adequate new facilities are provided, where necessary, in both existing and new communities, in line with the development proposed in the Local Plan. The extent of new infrastructure requirements is set out in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, with community facilities making up a big part of the type of infrastructure required - from schools and health facilities to leisure hubs and open space provision. There needs to be more than 'seeking' before any contractors start work on the proposed building sites. We need concrete assurances for such

provision. Then, and only then, would any new housing development be acceptable.

The other issues are

• Unjustified economic growth based on old data and ambitious assumptions

• Overwhelming house build schemes - 18,900 houses across Warrington by 2037

• Overwhelming commercial premises near Appleton Thorn/ Grappenhall, situated on approx. 365 hectares of pristine land, some of it precious Green Belt land; premises would be 2nd biggest in the country behind Trafford Park

• Urban sprawl, 7400 houses in S Warrington alone, mostly around Appleton Thorn; destruction of village character and landscape

• Unrelenting additional traffic, 2,000 HGVs per hour from Langtree Six/56 commercial warehousing site with 42m high 'sheds'

Pollution- air quality/ noise - Warrington already has worst record in the

country for dangerous small 2.5-micron particulate emissions. There's a proven link btw exposure to small particulates and premature death (respiratory, heart)

• Violation of green belt land, no special circumstances to release GB for new build premises

• Contravention of Thorn Ward Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP)

TRANSPORT PLAN LTP4 \cdot Poor transport strategy lacking essential detail - lots of road infrastructure is unplanned or only aspirational across lifetime of the Local Plan

• Some infrastructure projects (roads and bridges) will be grossly underfunded and therefore whole plan is undeliverable OVERALL BOTH THE LP-PSV and LTP4 are UNSOUND AND UNDELIVERABLE

Janine Fulwell