From:
To: Local Plan

Subject: Objections to local plan

Date: 16 June 2019 22:00:08

Dear Sirs

Please find below my list of objections to the local plan. Whilst I understand the need for developing housing solutions for an increasing local population, I find the extent and focus of the plan which focuses on the South of Warrington is ill considered and unreasonable especially when considering the following points.

Warrington is already above the national recommended levels for air pollution. Adding the volume of pollution that would be associated with this much development would seriously futher damage the air quality which is already above the UK and EU recommended limits.

I have lived here for years-and the local traffic has only ever got worse, especially around rush hour in the morning and in the evening. The ans I have seen to date do not allow for these peak situations or the regular occurrences of when there are accidents or bad traffic on the M6, M56 & M62 which already cause huge gridlock across Warrington and South Warrington.

There are several local sites more suited to this type of development. Including Fiddlers Ferry.

The proposed traffic system updates simply will not cope with the volume of traffic associated with this size of project.

There are fewer and fewer traditional English villages across the North West of England and it should be a priority to protect and preserve these places. We should be proud of our local heritage and cobbled streets not creating circumstances which will undoubtedly cause their demise.

The proposed garden suburb of 5000 dwellings offers no benefit to the current inhabitants of Grappenhall and Thelwal in fact it simply will deteriorate the local populations' quality of life.

The impact to the M56 and M6 motorway Junction will be catastrophic with the little infrastructure alterations being proposed. This will just be left for locals to suffer after the developers move on.

I believe the plan hugely over estimates the duration of the project and by doing so allows for a larger, unnecessary footprint and irresponsible and drastic negative repercussions.

Please head the voice of the local communities and redraft a smaller, more respectful, more considerate and more traditional plan. One that offers a solution to the population and commercial needs but does not impede the current environmental and cultural balance.

Yours sincerely

Stephen Wilson