



Tel:
Mob


8th June 2019

Planning Policy and Programmes

Dear Sir/Madam

I would like to add my voice to those who have already protested about the proposed development in South Warrington.

There would undoubtedly be a significant increase in pollution through such development of a semi rural area.

In addition the infrastructure of the area is totally inadequate to deal with proposed expansion --- indeed it is insufficient for the present needs of the community. 

I have long learned to avoid driving during the rush hour or school run period. The pressure on traffic at Ackers Road and the bridge over the Bridgewater canal at Grappenhall is impossible at peak times. But these were problems pointed out before the present housing developments happened but they were not heeded.

The quality of life would be greatly affected by the plans and would involve further incursion into Green Belt area and the desecration of good arable land. This is an especially bad move at a time when greater demands may well be made on the agricultural community.

One problem that occurs to me is that the new industrial development will need new workers ----- from WHERE? Warrington generally has high employment. Will new industries pay their workers so well that they will live at Appleton/Stretton? They will be commuting and will face the

TRIPPLE obstructions of Bridgewater, Manchester Ship Canal and River Mersey to reach work.

The development of the town centre and areas adjacent should be a priority. It is now an embarrassment to receive visitors of my age from out of town and go into Warrington. It is quite simply a disgrace.

I am also assured by young friend who works there that much of the Kingsland Grange area is unused.

Former planners have desecrated the town centre through external development. I hope that present planners may see that south Warrington has just about had as much development as it can reasonably sustain.

Yours faithfully

