PROTECT OUR GREENBELT AND SAVE OUR VILLAGE

Name ROBERS LAGOLA Address

Address to:-

Planning Officer, Local Plan, Planning, Policy and Programmes, Warrington Borough Council, New Town House, Buttermarket Street, Warrington, WA1 2NH

The following statements are just a "short version" of my objections and concerns and more evidence can be found in the Burtonwood and Collins Green Action Group's file.

You cannot fail to see the open countryside and the Beauty all around you in Burtonwood and Collins Green. Feel the benefit of the fresh air and appreciate the value of a slow paced village life and tight community. All of that is under threat from a proposed development set to go ahead in 2020. Further developments are being proposed that could see our beautiful rural village evolve into an urban town. Below are some objections to the plan.

(1) CONSULTATION

The proposals for the development are vague and unclear. Many residents didn't get letters and those that did were not addressed by name. The venue for the consultation was not accessible to all and the means to complain long winded and complicated. Communication and information is lacking and appears to be mainly online based, not everyone is online. Developers and planners have access to consultants and resources, we don't. It is a highly unequal and undemocratic process. The council have a duty of care to liaise with neighbouring authorities to determine overall effects of congestion and road safety. There is little evidence of this having happened.

(2) INFRASTRUCTURE

Both hard infrastructure roads, bridges, railways etc and soft infrastructure- health, doctors, dentists, social services, education, parks and recreational facilities, law enforcement, emergency services and mental health will be affected by this and further proposed developments. Burtonwood and Collins Green do not have the infrastructure to support this development. Northern trust have said that if only 150 houses are approved the figure will be 'too limited to viably deliver the housing, open space, and, specific support for expansion of primary school facilities and primary care' In other words, no contribution to changing infrastructure unless more houses are approved. Which means longer waits for doctors, dentists, community nurse, counselling etc. School places in catchment areas no longer guaranteed.

(3) GREENBELT OVER BROWNFIELDS

The release of greenbelt has not been adequately justified and the reasoning for not using brownfields is unacceptable. The council should be forcing development on brownfields or previously developed land before any greenbelt is released. The plan involves loss of versatile agricultural land which leads to loss of income for tenant farmers. The plan relies too heavily on representations and assurances from land owners and developers.

(4) ENVIRONMENTAL-TRAFFIC- AIR POLLUTION

There appears to have been no assessment of traffic movement on Green Lane-Phipps Lane over a sustained period of time. The proposed entrance to the new development will be on Green Lane. Green lane is already critical for residents, children and parents on their way too and from school. With 160 houses comes approx. 320 more cars on the road at peak times. Couple this with other local developments and this is a recipe for

gridlock on our roads. Our children will be walking and cycling amongst this traffic which is not only physically dangerous but also has serious health connotations.

Warrington has one of the most congested road networks in the country. Air pollution in Warrington is already amongst the worst in the UK. The proposed access point to the new development is on green Lane opposite Burtonwood County Primary School. The increase in traffic on the lane will be immense. The pollutants in the air around our children and entering their lungs will massively increase. Children are more susceptible to pollutants than adults and exposure could cause or exacerbate ailments such as asthma and COPD. Adults are more susceptible to heart and lung disease and respiratory conditions such as emphysema.

(5) LOSS OF WILDLIFE HABITATS

Drastic loss of wildlife habitat (frogs, newts, toads, bats, woodpeckers, sparrows, starlings blue tits, foxes, rabbits and hares etc) is being treated like it doesn't matter. Britain has already lost half its wildlife, wildlife adds value and natural beauty to our environment and provides respite from everyday stresses. This development will decimate the local wildlife we love to watch.

to the spiniture of the back set share particulation of the second second

the al I object to the proposed development plan on points

Additional Comments

Sig

Dat

Tel

MONTAT ESEMPLIE

The proposals for the development are vagate and encloser related modeling of leases and make the development and make the development are vagate and encloser related and social test in the version for the consultation and not accessible to all and the make the development and the version for the consultation and not accessible to all and the make the development and the test in the consultation and and accessible to all and the make the development and the test in the test in the development and the test in the consultation and and and excession of the development and the test in the development and the test in the test in the development of the test in the development and the test in the development and the development and the test in the angle of the development and the test is the angle of the development and test is the development and test is the angle of the development and test in the development and test is the development of test is the development and test is the develo

394.075697至外的建立任

and partition intrastructive roads, britiges, raitways are and and ministructure fronth, doctors, tarticles, and we can education parts and represented facilities fan enformancem emergency envices and mental health will be affected by this and further proposal developments. Automicrosof and Colling Crush out not have the list's structure to suggest this development. Non-term that have and that if only 130 liquest are approved the light a will be not functed to vietby deliver the housing ment quark and, opedify suggest for excension of primaviet distributes and primary one is out and so dependence and that if only 130 liquests are approved the wise of facilities and primary one is out and an experiment to changes in the torus and out the rest of facilities and primary one is out and an experiment of the dependence of primary and presented to the second source and a control and the dependence of the second source of the second source of the torus of the outer source out the source of the dependence of the test primary of the second source of the source of the outer source out the source of the dependence of the source of the second source of the source of the outer source out the source of the dependence of the source of the second source of the source of the outer source out the test primary of the source of the dependence of the source of the second source of the source of the outer source out the source of the dependence of the source of the sou

in saturation and a mass of the cost one measure cost one measure commany more, comparison of School places

I agree to the above statements and reflect my views and those as coordinated at our local meetings that formulate our objections as to the proposed building plan.

> The release of pressively has not open of unacceptedia. The council should be form the plan market is released. The plan marky tetaut formats. The plan relies too leavely.

> (4) 21/12/2019/1781144 — TRAFFECT ATR POL There access to have been no restruction period of the proposed entrance to

control for residents, children and parents or their way the and input school. Well 160 houses trained upper

Letters of objection need to be with the Planning Officer before 5:00 pm on Monday 17th June 2019.