

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Local Plan
Date: 17 June 2019 13:49:18

I object to the proposed local plan because it is not justified or legal for the following reasons:

There are no special circumstances for the green belt to be built on.

WBC's purchase of the Stobart depot shortly before the submission of plans for the Six/56 site appears to be clumsy at best and Machiavellian at worst. This development can only be in the council's own interest therefore it is impossible for them to make a legally objective decision on it.

Warrington (especially the M6 corridor) already suffers illegally high levels of air pollution from traffic.

Proposing to clog the area with even more commercial traffic which can only result in the worsening of air quality, cannot be justified as being in the interest of the town's population and would/should leave the council open to legal action from those whom suffer a deterioration in their health.

The the level of development in the south of the town will decimate it's mostly rural character and turn it into a virtually completely suburban/commercial one.

The bias of development in the south (eg. approximately 400 houses in Lymm as oppose to only 200 in the similar sized village of Culcheth) can't be justified as meeting the needs of the local community and can only be seen as being determined by developer's preferences. Lymm High school is already over subscribed. An additional 400 households with no accompanying increase in infrastructure or amenities can only result in things like getting a doctors appointment, even harder for the current populace.

Also, there has been no justification for why the recent application to develop the Lymm Hotel site shouldn't be included in the projected growth for Lymm village.

All of the WBC's projections are based on outdated information and exceed the government's minimum requirements for the local plan.

Now that the Fiddler's Ferry site is going to need to be redeveloped, the council needs to go back to the drawing board and determine how the governments formula can be accommodated in brownfield sites without touching any green belt without exhausting all other options first. Starting off by bulldozing over 10% of our green space cannot be justified. It is possible that as the economy and demographics change, this will negate the need to lose any green belt at all.

At this time, the council has failed to provide evidence of any justification or legal exceptional circumstances to do so.

Regards,

Danielle Kinsella
[REDACTED]