

Response 69

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Anne-Marie Shepherd

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I object to the allocation of Peel Hall as land for development for the following reasons:
The scheme is not in accordance with CS Policies MP1, MP4, MP7, QE6 and QE7.
It would impact upon the safety and efficiency of the local and strategic highway network, conflicting with CS Policy MP7.
The proposal would have an adverse impact upon the character of the area, conflicting with CS Policy QE7.
The local air quality would be adversely affected, conflicting with CS Policy QE6.
The developer does not have control of the entirety of the site and does not have support from a bus operator therefore impacting heavily on the local infrastructure.
It is therefore unsustainable to select this land for development when the housing allocation requirements appear to be largely inflated and the local infrastructure cannot even support it.
There needs to be a realistic reconsideration of the housing requirements as they do not appear to be accurate predictions.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)