

Response 189

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Mark Sweetman

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A policy sub-number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
GB1 3. land removed from the green belt sites a) Warrington waterfront & c) south west urban extension Paragraph nos. 5.1.12-5.1.13

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The loss of greenbelt is contrary to national policy and is not justified against the objectives of the plan:

NPPF paragraph 134: green belt serves five purposes

1. to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas
2. to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another
3. to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
4. to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns
5. to assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

Point 2 is particularly relevant for the village of Moore but points 1,3,4 are relevant if the port Warrington and SW urban extension go ahead, they will erode the gap between towns undermining green belt not only at point 2 but point 1,3,4.

Loss of Wildlife and Leisure sites:

NPPF paragraphs 171 (plans should distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites and allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value

NPPF paragraph 180 (planning policies should identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason

Impact on residential amenity:

NPPF paragraphs 7-10 - objectives of sustainable development

NPPF paragraph 127: aims of planning policies in relation to design

NPPF: paragraph 170: planning policies and the natural environment

NPPF: paragraph 184-185: protection of the historic environment - Moore conservation areas and Moore Lane Bridge over the ship canal)

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy GB1 should maintain a permanent gap between Warrington and Runcorn by reducing the extent of the Warrington Waterfront Allocation and further reducing the South West Urban Allocation.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy GB1 Warrington's Green Belt. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A policy sub-number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

2. removal of employment sites from the green belt 4-11 delivery and phasing 24-36 detailed site specific requirements 55 amenity of residents in promenade park Paragraph no. 10.1.26

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The loss of greenbelt is contrary to national policy and is not justified against the objectives of the plan:

NPPF paragraph 134: green belt serves five purposes

1. to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas
2. to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another
3. to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
4. to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns
5. to assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

Point 2 is particularly relevant for the village of Moore but points 1,3,4 are relevant if the port Warrington and SW urban extension go ahead, they will erode the gap between towns undermining green belt not only at point 2 but point 1,3,4.

Loss of Wildlife and Leisure sites:

NPPF paragraphs 171 (plans should distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites and allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value

NPPF paragraph 180 (planning policies should identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy MD1 sub policy 8 should guarantee no development until after the Western Link is built

Policy MD1 should add a section preventing HGV traffic from travelling south to Moore

Policy MD1 sub para 55 should be amended to include a generous landscaped buffer along the ship canal to screen buildings from view and to provide an acoustic barrier

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD1 Waterfront (including Port Warrington). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

A policy sub-number (s)

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

MD3 the SW Urban Extension 3-8 delivery and phasing 30-32 site specific requirements for the strategic gap with Moore para nos: 10.3.15 - 10.3.17

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The loss of greenbelt is contrary to national policy and is not justified against the objectives of the plan:

NPPF paragraph 134: green belt serves five purposes

1. to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas
2. to prevent neighbouring towns merging into one another
3. to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment
4. to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns
5. to assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

Point 2 is particularly relevant for the village of Moore but points 1,3,4 are relevant if the port Warrington and SW urban extension go ahead, they will erode the gap between towns undermining green belt not only at point 2 but point 1,3,4.

Loss of Wildlife and Leisure sites:

NPPF paragraphs 171 (plans should distinguish between the hierarchy of international, national and locally designated sites and allocate land with the least environmental or amenity value

NPPF paragraph 180 (planning policies should identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Policy MD3 sub para 7 should guarantee no development until after the western link is built

Policy MD3 reduce size allocation, increase density and increase Green Belt Gap

Policy MD3 sub para 31 Strategic gap should be clearly reflected on the policies map

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD3 South West Urban Extension. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)