



**Subject:**  
**Date:**



Building proposals /Lymm and surrounding area.  
01 June 2019 12:42:21

---

I write to object to the building proposals.  
Please note my objection.

It is unbelievable that in these days of environmental and climate change that housing is actually being proposed on green belt areas, never mind the fact that existing infrastructure of out of town areas, particularly in places such as Lymm is bursting at the seams.

I am a Lymm resident. My children have grown up here. During that time there has already been considerable building in Lymm.

Over the [redacted] years I have lived here, just that short time, hundreds and hundreds of houses have been built in areas such as- Bucklow Gardens, Rectory Lane, Cherry Lane, Longbutt Lane, Rushgreen Road, Oughtrington Lane, sites such as; chaise Meadow, Heatley Mere, The Seasons, all were green fields. Yet, in that time, not a single extra doctors surgery has been provided. Not another car park has been provided- although needless to say-parking warden presence has significantly increased.

Fields have been build on. Trees have been demolished. Wildlife has suffered. An outstanding historic building such as The Lymm Hotel is now also targeted to be demolished.

Air pollution is increasigly rcognised as a health hazard. More vehicles will make this worse in Lymm.

Green spaces are vital for mental health and wellbeing.

Please tell me why Lymm has been specifcately targeted for more than it's fair share of new build in recent years? And now, why more?

I am particularly concerned about the extra traffic on Rushgreen Road. Accidents are already more frequent. The Road was originally built to feed into a small village. It is not able to cope with further traffic or extra access to or from it. Congestion is already building up. Parking on Road is a huge issue and very dangerous.

Our are primary schools are full. Surgeries are full.

Our children need parks and green species to play in not more building.

There are places in warrington and larchford that are completely derelict and run down and in particular are eyesores.

Building on brownfield sites would make more sense. More affordable houses would be built.

It would seem sensible to rebuild them, develop them, these are existing sites that are actually already in place, with infrastructure needed.... they are not used efficientl and have not been during all the [redacted] years I've watching fields being built on in Lymm.

Unbelievable.

Who actually sits by their desk and makes these decisions?

Warrington seems set in wrecking its outlying villages, the very places that should be protected. After all, those places such as Lymm, Grappenhall, Stratton etc bring in more funding into warrington council, allowing more services to warrington town, for instance our council tax in higher - therefore we should have a louder voice than we seem to be being allowed.

Please also tell me why the proposed development at Tanyard Farm has been allowed to increase significantly, from 65 suddenly to 200?  
... unbelievable.

National planning policy provisions have strengthened protection of the green belt.

May I also state that as a local school governor we are most concerned that due to nearby building [REDACTED]. Over 420 children have been unable to use their school field for months, [REDACTED]. This is one example but total proof that wildlife is being severely affected by building and cannot be ignored.

In conclusion, I do not believe or accept that the scale of what is being proposed specifically for Lymm, I am more informed about this area having more interest personally, is sound or fair. There are huge losses to the area, no improvements, the distinct nature of the village will be dramactially changed for the worse, for ever.

Once again note my objection.

Karen Rurlander  
[REDACTED]