

# Response 344

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: [REDACTED]

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I doubt the validity of the projected housing requirement over the planning period which appears to be the basis to deprive the community of the benefits of the vast area of greenbelt that will be consumed, never to be returned to greenbelt status. This will have a negative impact on recreational facility and local wildlife.

The geography works against development to the south of the Bridgewater Canal, Ship Canal and river Mersey as there are too few crossing point for the present population. With no plans for additional roads to support the extra population more congestion and pollution is assured.

Taking the Bridgewater Canal alone there are a limited number of restricted capacity crossings. If the area to the south of Stockton lane is to be developed with housing the consequent vehicle traffic would block Grappenhall/Stanney Lunt/Lumbrook at peak periods. Do the planners ever travel at peak times on any of these already overcrowded roads?

Community services are already groaning at the seams without the greatly expanded population envisaged. A relative recently moved in to the Stretton area but could only find a school place on Cobbs estate. This is one of the reasons for congestion at peak times with parents ferrying children for miles instead of having sufficient locally available places.

Medical and Dental facilities are also at a premium with no evidence that additional capacity will be in place before the housing development is undertaken.

Please concentrate development on existing brownfield sites and those that will become available over the planning horizon.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)