

Response 351

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Philip Harland

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

	Contact details
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The plan is unsound. It does not address the needs of Warrington, as it is proposing to build far more houses than are needed, even by central government estimates.

The transport infrastructure in South Warrington, particularly around Stockton Heath and the A49 are already inadequate for the current traffic volumes. Journey times through Stockton Heath are over long already, and would become impossible with the proposed extra 5000+ houses being built. - Already one jam on the local or Motorway networks, or a canal bridge opening, brings the whole area to a standstill, sometime for hours. Congestion causing pollution on a grand scale. So It is not a Sound plan to greatly increase the number of houses, without including a bypass for Stockton Heath, and an additional river / Bridgewater / Ship canal crossing that could take traffic off the A49. But as this would mean removing current housing, and would just push the bottlenecks into the centre of Warrington, It too is unsound. Obviously I don't have figures to quantify these points, but I don't need them - Anyone living in the area for any length of time can see that it is so. The limitation the existing road network having to cross two canals, and the Mersey make it impractical to add massive housing developments in this area of Warrington. Really its a no brainer.... Just spend some there time and look.

Green belt is precious, once lost it is lost forever, this proposal is eating into that resource, when its not needed. Warrington has loads of brown belt areas that would be improved by housing, Use that rather than ruining the attractive parts of the local area. We need our countryside to fight climate change, and the preservation of diversity of animal and plant live.

The plan boasts it is creating a country park, when really it was countryside anyway.... Its says Garden suburb, as if that were an improvement on 'countryside' - which it just isn't -

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Build away from the Green belt - Save the nice attractive areas of the area, and redevelop on the Brown belt areas. Warrington is not the nicest of places as it is- why tear up the nicest parts ! - Sounder plan for the future prosperity of the area - Warring will keep its nicest areas. It wont become just another housing estate.

Think about climate change. Its no longer sound to just build more houses.

Improve transport first- And live in the real world - that means cars & roads - not just cycle paths and bus lanes .

Think about the unique issues in the area - that is the two canal crossings and the River crossing. Those alone make it unsound to put a massive housing development south of Warrington.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination (I understand details from Part A will be used for contact purposes)

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
I have no idea what this means

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)