

Response 462

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Lewis Johnston

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:
3.3.17 7 9.5

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate	X	

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Too little detail has been provided as to how increased traffic congestion will be mitigated with road infrastructure improvements. As an example, Junction 10 of the M56 is already severely congested during afternoon rush hour to the point of the roundabout ceasing to function properly, with queues round three quarters of it. The new proposed development in the south of Warrington will clearly impact this, exacerbating the issue. Has traffic modelling been conducted on this and other potential issues being created (eg. access into North Warrington)? What consultations have been made? Paragraph 3.3.17 states that the impact of further development in the North will adversely impact junction 9 of the M62, but has a similar conclusion not been reached for the South and it's access to the M56 and M6?

Section 7 f. states that developers will be required to monitor the effectiveness of the travel plan, reporting on an annual basis. Whilst this is a positive step, it does not go far enough to ensure plans are sound before any infrastructure is planned. By the time that developers report back it could be too late to make the infrastructure changes needed to ensure good traffic management.

9.5 - The protection of fossil fuel mineral resources is short sighted and does nothing to enhance the economy or environment of warrington. The utilisation of these fossil resources is not something that residents want to see and this land should therefore be considered for development. Spreading out of proposed development sites should help to ease many of the issues raised by residents.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

To ensure that detailed and thorough traffic modelling will be conducted before any development takes place. This should include a sensitivity analysis of the assumptions used to ensure a robust plan is made without compromising the already stretched road traffic capacity of South Warrington.

To remove all references to potential future extraction of coal and other fossil fuels. This is unacceptable and cannot be part of any future plan.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)