

Response 735

Respondent Details

| Information | |
|-------------|------------|
| [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |
| [REDACTED] | [REDACTED] |

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Helen Hickin

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

| Contact details | |
|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Organisation name (if applicable) | [REDACTED] |
| Agent name (if applicable) | [REDACTED] |
| Address 1 | [REDACTED] |
| Address 2 | [REDACTED] |
| Postcode | [REDACTED] |
| Telephone number | [REDACTED] |

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

| | Yes | No |
|---------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Legally Compliant | X | |
| Sound | | X |
| Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate | X | |

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Forecast of housing numbers greatly exceed historical figures and are unrealistic. The proposed housing in south Warrington will not be affordable for the workers in the business sectors that are assumed to provide the additional jobs. The over-emphasis on logistics sites would not generate many jobs due to increased automation.

Economic growth forecasts are too optimistic, given the current uncertainty over Brexit. A shorter planning period would make more sense in the current political environment.

The proposed large expansion of logistics sites is out of keeping with the surrounding areas. Traffic from these sites and from commuters who buy the new houses in South Warrington will overload the road network. There are already issues with heavy lorries driving through Lymm Village. They ignore the 20 mph limit and speed across the canal bridge at the end of The Square, which is not designed to carry heavy lorries. The turn onto the main road will become dangerous with heavier traffic. Insufficient attention has been paid to transport and infrastructure needs.

The "very special circumstances" to release green belt have not been demonstrated. Air quality and quality of life for residents across Warrington will be worsened. Part of the Moore Nature Reserve is to be lost, impacting wildlife and the opportunity to improve health and wellbeing for local people. Historical local villages, such as Appleton Thorn, Walton, Grappenhall and Daresbury would lose their character.

Releasing green belt discourages developers from building on brownfield sites. The Fiddlers Ferry site is a huge potential brown field site within the timescales of the plan.

Doctors surgeries in Lymm already have a 2-week wait for non-emergency appointments and schools are up to capacity. The infrastructure issues should be dealt with before additional houses are built. Warrington General is over capacity in the Winter - my mother was discharged to free up a bed before finishing a course of IV antibiotics; stroke symptoms were ignored and she had a stroke in the care home and died 3 months later.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

Not qualified to comment on legal issues but no reason to believe that WBC would release an illegal plan.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Forecast housing requirements based on the most recent available population growth figures, taking account of historical trends. Reduce the planning period to 15 years maximum.

Prioritise the use of brownfield sites for housing and include more affordable housing.

Rethink the policy of large expansion of logistics sites where it does not benefit Warrington residents.

Transport infrastructure, schools and medical provision to be developed before the housing that requires the additional capacity.

Place more emphasis on the wellbeing of existing residents and on environmental issues when deciding whether to release green belt.

Re-examine the criteria used as evidence of "very special circumstances" is unclear.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now?
Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)