

Response 743

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Andy Smith

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

Other (please specify):
Also work in the voluntary sector in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

I am frustrated that there has been little, if any changes made to the LP following the consultation. Brown field sites are still not included for development in a range of locations, especially near the centre of Warrington. Why build thousands of homes, that are beyond the price range of many residents in areas where personal vehicles are needed for transport? Building affordable homes in locations that can take advantage of under utilised public transport and are central is surely more sensible and cost effective. To build on the green belt as a first preference is ridiculous; where would you build in 30-40 years? Successful urban development begins in the centre and spreads outwards, it reaches capacity and then moves on. It doesn't leave swathes of land unoccupied and then tries to utilise them later on! Let us instead not price young people out of living in Warrington and build affordable homes, such as apartments, near to Warrington Centre where there is employment opportunities and three train stations they can use to commute. There's also the issue of the 656 development. Infrastructure is already in place along the M62 and M6 where the new Omega site is. If a new distribution hub for Stobarts is needed then why aren't we building it there? All the infrastructure is in place. If it has been determined to be at capacity then build it further along the M62 at the under utilised Junction 11? This would give them good access to the motorway network without having to join the bottleneck of Junction 20 on the M6.

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The local plan is not legally compliant as it has disregarded the government's guidance on the number of homes actually needed in its forecast.

The local plan is not sound as there are obvious deficiencies in the planning and the patchwork way in which it has been put together.

The local plan fails to comply with the 2011 requirements to comply with its duty to cooperate with the public. Following the last consultation there have been little, if any changes, to address the concerns of residents

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

As I've already said, the Brownfield sites need to be used first for high density and affordable housing.

The 656 development should not go ahead in its proposed location as that area cannot accommodate large numbers of HGVs. The area also lacks a workforce to take the positions that would be created.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)