

Response 779

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Anthony Armstrong

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Address	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

1. The current road and transport infrastructure cant cope with the current traffic and transport based on current population
 If there is the slightest accident on the M6 then the whole of Grappenhall andtown centre comes to a grinding halt
 As an example this week there was accident on the Thelwall Viaduct and a journey that usual takes 3/5 mins took over 15 mins
 This is with current traffic and was from Grappenhall to the M6
 This will only get worse as the road structure are just about dealing with current traffic never mind the increase of houses and traffic in our greenbelt

2. [REDACTED]

Your proposal for housing and warehouse is not thought through
 Houses - thats a Government target which has since been revised from your figures and the affordable housing will not be built on the greenbelt as developers will only build expensive houses in the south of the borough
 Employment land - where is the demand this means more lorries on the road
 Does this plan take into account the proposed Eddie Stobert and Six 56 development
 More building on Greenbelt land and no road infrastructure
 Warehousing in the future will be controlled by robots and Artificial Intelligence so where are these logistics jobs
 I have seen that Fiddlers Ferry will become available within this time period so is this brown field site being considered for employment land or housing
 3 Hospitals - Doctors Surgeries and Schools are already full so more housing and a growing aging population mean a greater need of these resources, which I don't believe has fully been investigated
 Where is the new hospital being built we have no indication as to where this will be sited
 4 Air Pollution - we already have one of the highest air pollutions in the UK and addition traffic will just increase this certainly with a lose of Green Belt
 Quite ironically the Managing Director of Warrington Own Buses is encouraging people to leave their cars at home on Clean Air Day on June 20, as 36,000 people die from air pollution each year
 And yet increase in housing will increase cars and pollution
 5. Transport - buses are expensive and not reliable and don't run in evening or Sunday afternoons and evenings. So current transport does not meant the current needs never mind further population
 Buses are often late and cancelled and mostly blamed on road congestion, how ironic

 5. The Secretary of State for Housing has stated that the housing need is not a target , and local authorities should make a realistic assessment of the number of houses needed and its a starting point of the process
 Has this been taken into account when considering numbers of houses needed

 I could go on but overall I don't think the figures and information given to the public is reliable and even at the Open Consultancy days questions have not been addressed or answered

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Address the points I have made in Section 4
 Pollution rates
 Infrastructure needed and address
 Transportation needed and address
 Housing numbers are incorrect given the population increase

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)