

# Response 816

## Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

## PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Sally Shawcross

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

## PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:  
1.2.6

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant	X	
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I do not believe that enough amends and actions were taken following the PDO in 2017 whereby over 4000 responses were made. I also believe the dates of the 2017 PDO were over the school holidays and many of the sessions were therefore not likely to have been as well attended as they could have been. I disagree that this local plan recognises the role of the local communities have in preparing neighborhood plans, particularly in South Warrington.

5. If you answered 'Yes' to any of the options in question 3 then please give details in the box below the reasons why you support the legal compliance or soundness of the Draft Local Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I have ticked yes as I am not of a legal background and as far as I can see it does not break any laws, however I do not believe that this is a question that many general members of the public would be able to answer correctly without reading the full excessive 308 pages of the plan and also doing much further investigation. I would however request a full independent review of any conflict of interest between any council members and any land owners or developers, etc.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The public's feedback about the request to use less if no green belt at all should be acknowledged and actions are to amend the plan.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy MD2 Garden Suburb

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I do not believe that removal of the greenbelt land will be sound to meet the brief the local plan sets out to meet. It mentions a demand for housing for elderly and encouraging bike and walking, however South warrington. Is incredibly hilly and would not fit these demands.

I also believe that there are contradictions with the plan as claims to reduce car/road usage with the transport plan but at the same time asks for green belt land to be released for logistics employment which will increase goods vehicles in the roads.

I also believe that it is not sound in terms of all brown belt land being used prior to green belt release based on seeing vacant town centre properties for over 6years not developed and also the recent confirmation of Fiddler's Ferry power station closure, which although mentioned in the plan appears to have been dismissed. I request this be re visited as a development location above greenbelt land.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Fiddler's Ferry should be re assessed as a priority above greenbelt land and other green belt land should be optimised for development. Review Manchester as a green city example for development Vs green space.

I believe as a country we should look overall at the climate change damage and prioritise greenbelt land and woods above housing developments, looking at new ways of offering affordable smaller houses.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy MD2 Garden Suburb. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

## PART B - Representation Form 3

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I think this was point 2.34 re Omega site, has any sound evidence been seen in 2019 to substantiate the claims for 8000 jobs in last 4 years were created and to confirm how many of those employed were Warrington residents, what percentage earn national average wage and above, how do the employees commute to and from work?

I dispute that logistics employment is a sound basis for removal of greenbelt land as the employment figures cannot be substantiated long term, due to the increasing reliance on technology led packing and , sorting I. Warehouses and the move to automated delivery vehicles and drone deliveries, etc.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Request further evidence on long term employment quotes for logistics, reassess if this is the best employment for this area based on existing air pollution issues and the fact we are an area where we are surrounded by waterways if which we have very limited control of access across and are subjected to traffic issues from motorway fall outs.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)