

Response 855

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Julie Ryan

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Agent name (if applicable)	[REDACTED]
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

There is no justification for the amount of housing that has been proposed - the amount is well beyond government targets.

Priority has not been given to brown belt development. What is the plan for Fiddlers Ferry?

There is no plan to support the infrastructure of the development plan, ie no plans for extra schools. The schools in the area are already at capacity and have no further room for extension. Also GP surgeries are currently maximised with ability to get appointments poor already, no further surgeries are planned. Warrington Hospital is already failing on it's NHS A&E target to treat within 4 hours, this will only worsen with the added in crease in population and no plans to build a further hospital.

Traffic congestion in Warrington is already is maximised at peak times already and can often be found to be gridlocked when there are issues on the motorways, there are no significant detailed plans to look at how this will be managed. The Western Link Road proposal is lacking in detail and covers areas currently inhabited. Further crossings on the Ship Canal also contribute this peak loading.

Extra traffic through these villages is posing a risk to our children, as was the case last week in Grappenhall, when a lorry was stuck on the canal bridge at school drop off time. The village came to a stand still.

Significantly in relation to my earlier points 4 out of 5 of the key criteria for releasing green belt have NOT been met:

- Checking unrestricted sprawl
- assisting in safeguarding the countryside-
- preserve setting and special character of historic areas (Grappenhall Church Lane is a Listed area)
- Assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Local Plan](#)
Subject: Objection to Proposed Development
Date: 17 June 2019 10:56:11

Dear Sirs

In response to your plans for developments around the Grappenhall, Appleton, Appleton Thorn area, I strongly object. As a resident [REDACTED], this will cause significant issues in the area.

I don't see that there has been a clear case for release of green belt and building on these areas will lead to a loss of unique character to our local villages due to the unchecked housing sprawl.

Priorities do not seem to have been given to brown field sites, which should be used before green belt - is green belt not designated for a reason? With the closure now announced of Fiddlers Ferry, what plans have been looked at for that land?

There is no justification for the amount of housing that has been proposed - the amount is well beyond government targets.

There is no plan to support the infrastructure of the development plan, ie no plans for extra schools. The schools in the area are already at capacity and have no further room for extension. Also GP surgeries are currently maximised with ability to get appointments poor already, no further surgeries are planned. Warrington Hospital is already failing on it's NHS A&E target to treat within 4 hours, this will only worsen with the added increase in population and no plans to build a further hospital.

Traffic congestion in Warrington is already maximised at peak times already and can often be found to be gridlocked when there are issues on the motorways, there are no significant detailed plans to look at how this will be managed. The Western Link Road proposal is lacking in detail and covers areas currently inhabited. Further crossings on the Ship Canal also contribute this peak loading.

Significantly in relation to my earlier points 4 out of 5 of the key criteria for releasing green belt have NOT been met:

- Checking unrestricted sprawl
- assisting in safeguarding the countryside-
- preserve setting and special character of historic areas (Grappenhall Church Lane is a Listed area)
- Assist in urban regeneration by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land

Regards
Julie

