

Response 889

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: jonathan bebb

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Introduction

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

the draft plan does not adequately take into account the importance of preserving the green belt around the town. this is of particular relevance with regard to the proposals for south Warrington.

There is no adequate of the long term sustainability implications, particularly with regard to the need to preserve habitats and agricultural land.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The scope and extent of allocated housing and development land particularly in the south Warrington are should be reduced.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Introduction. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete another Representation Form (Part B)

PART B - Representation Form 2

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

see attached file

██████████

Warrington Local Plan Consultation June 2019

Jonathan Bebb – [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Tel. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

I have found the online response forms difficult to navigate and this note should be read in conjunction with my incomplete on-line response.

The Plan is not sound for a number of reasons:

1. The justification for the number of houses going forwards lacks rigour and is not made on an actuarial basis against the existing population demographic but appears to rely on high volumes of inward migration which is untested and not evidenced. The proposed housing need assessment greatly exceeds that which would be required for local needs.
2. The linkage between the developing transport polices and the proposed location of the housing in South Warrington is disconnected and appear to contradict each other. There are no realistic proposals for non-road based transport proposed for this area and the general assumption that cycling will be the main mode of transport is unrealistic.
3. There is no assessment of the connectivity of Warrington to the north and south of the ship canal given the currently congested nature of the Knutsford Road which will be further congested by traffic arising from the proposed South Warrington development areas.
4. The assessment of air pollution arising from the existing road traffic conditions and those proposed in future is inadequate, lacks rigour and is built on questionable assumptions.
5. The overall sustainability of the proposals are questionable in the longer term particularly with regard to the cumulative climate change impacts of the developments, which will increase carbon emissions when compared to the current land use which is predominantly open, agricultural and sporadic woodland and hedges.
6. There is inadequate assessment of the connectivity between the major Warrington employment areas in and around Birchwood, Burtonwood and the Town Centre.
7. There is no linkage between the aspiration to reduce commuting by road to employment areas.
8. The nature of the proposed employment does not align with demographics of the town
9. There is an inappropriate assessment of the availability and redevelopment potential of brownfield land.
10. The geography of south Warrington is not adequately considered in the context of existing and proposed transport infrastructure.
11. The overall reduction in undeveloped greenfield land, both within and outside the green belt, will lead to an unacceptable loss in bio diversity within the borough.

Regards

Jonathan Bebb