

Response 874

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Victor Najera Herrera

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	-

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Draft Local Plan (as a whole)

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

Both of the above

If a paragraph or policy sub-number then please use the box below to list:

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		X
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		X

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

I am objecting to the local plan for many reasons. The assumptions made for the economic growth seem wildly ambitious and unrealistic. The huge number of houses planned is unjustifiable. The massive commercial premises planned for Appleton Thorn/ Grappenhall would make it the 2nd largest in the country behind Trafford Park. It would destroy green belt and therefore precious wildlife habitats and deny the whole reason for green belt status, which is to prevent urban sprawl and keep the character of our historic villages. The massive amount of additional traffic all this would bring – many thousands more HGVs on our local roads in addition to the eye saw of these huge commercial premises.
Pollution and air quality are a massive concern and have not had adequate surveillance prior to this plan. The air quality/ noise in Warrington is has a record high in the country for dangerous small 2.5-micron particulate emissions. There's a proven link between exposure to small particulates and premature death (respiratory, heart).

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Draft Local Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified above where this relates to soundness. (NB please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination). You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Overall the plan is unsound and undeliverable and needs further thought and investigation especially in the light of the Fiddlers Ferry planned closure in 2020. Much of this brownfield site would become available for the commercial premises and housing planned and needs to be considered ahead of any green belt release.
Hospital, school and road infrastructures need to be re-thought and have a robust plan.

7. If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please select one option.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

You have just completed a Representation Form for Draft Local Plan (as a whole). What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)