

Response 930

Respondent Details

Information	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

PART A - About You

1. Please complete the following: Please note the email address (if provided below) will be sent a full copy of the submitted response and a unique ID number for future reference (pdf attachment).

Name of person completing the form: Zoe Grindley

Email address: [REDACTED]

2. What type of respondent are you? Please select all that apply.

A local resident who lives in Warrington

3. Please complete the following:

Contact details	
Organisation name (if applicable)	-
Agent name (if applicable)	-
Address 1	[REDACTED]
Address 2	[REDACTED]
Postcode	[REDACTED]
Telephone number	[REDACTED]

PART B - Representation Form 1

1. To which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate? From the drop down list please select one option.

Policy DEV1 Housing Delivery

2. Does your comment relate to a specific paragraph (s) or policy sub-number (s)? Please select one option.

None of the above

3. Do you consider the Draft Local Plan is: Please select one option in each row.

	Yes	No
Legally Compliant		
Sound		X
Compliant with the Duty to Co-operate		

4. If you have answered 'No' to any of the options in the above question then please give details in the box below of why you consider the Draft Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.

The housing numbers are too high: Official population increase predictions would need 528 houses to be built a year. The Governments suggested figure is too high at 909 a year and the Council have gone even higher to 945 houses per year - where is the justification for this?

There has to be exceptional circumstances for releasing Green Belt land for development. The reason given is the Council's growth ambition of the town. No justification for the release to accommodate this predicted population growth.

Twenty years is too far ahead to plan - a plan of 15 years is more normal. This would not require the release of Green Belt now, and possibly ever, as more brown field sites are likely to become available. A Green Belt loss is irrevocable.

There are geographical constraints to the area: There are no clear routes proposed for new highways, motorway junctions or canal crossings which would need to serve the Garden Suburb and industrial development, nor any explanation of how these would be funded.

Congestion and pollution: This amount of new housing and warehousing development will bring gridlock to our already congested roads especially around pinch points and through Stockton Heath.

The plan predicts the need for new schools, medical facilities and shopping centres but the Council cannot promise that any of these will be provided.

Air pollution: The council does not address the growing concerns about the health hazard of air pollution. Extra traffic will worsen pollution around our choked main roads. Pollution from motorways could also increase when open countryside, which now allows gases and particles to disperse, is lost.

The proposed development will completely change the character of the area. The distinctive village communities will be consumed into one urban mass.

The housing numbers driving the plan are unrealistic relative to anything Warrington has achieved in the recent past. The poor highway infrastructure and geographical limitations of the site make the plan undeliverable. Green Belt should not be sacrificed.

You have just completed a Representation Form for Policy DEV1 Housing Delivery. What would you like to do now? Please select one option.

Complete the rest of the survey (Part C)