Appendix 2 - Schedule of representations made to the draft House Extensions SPD with the Council's response

Ref No	Name	SPD Reference (paragraph)	Representation	Comments/Response
01HE	Resident	2.70	Footpaths to be user friendly to pedestrians	Comment noted
02HE	Stockton Heath Parish Council		Supports emphasis on green spaces, retention of front gardens, trees and vegetation and the requirement to address flood issues in respect of extension plans	Comment noted
03HE	Resident		Extensions should include measures to help ensure the council's commitments to climate change and carbon neutrality can be achieved	This would be covered by the Building Regulations
04HE	Resident		Hasn't adequately given consideration to relative land levels in relation to the 1m to boundary rule	Clarify in the text
05HE	Agent	2.43	1. Most gardens are approx. 10m in depth so most extensions would therefore result in overlooking, to date ground floor extensions don't have this restriction; permitted development rights would allow numerous schemes to contravene this rule	1. Clarify in the text
		2.44	2. Applying a 21m privacy rule to ground floor extensions would stop many schemes being allowed – first floor distance should be complied with. Permitted development rights would allow many schemes to contravene this	2. Clarify in the text

Ref No	Name	SPD	Representation	Comments/Response
		Reference		
		(paragraph)		
		2.65	3. Would be helpful to clarify allowable	3. Incorporate diagram to clarify position
			width of driveway when cars are parked	
			side by side	
				4. Comment noted
			4. General concern that new rules could	
			prevent a large number of acceptable	
			schemes that would previously have	
			been allowed	
06HE	Environment Agency		Welcome that the SPD recognises	1. Support noted
			ecology, flood risk and ground	
			contamination to be factors to be	
			considered	
		2.100 and	2. Correction needed to the links for flood	2. Text will be amended with the correct
		2.100 and 2.101	advice and flood risk for planning maps	links
		2.101	advice and flood risk for planning maps	IIIKS
07HE	Culcheth and		Welcomes the revised and more	1. Comment noted
	Glazebury Parish		detailed document as there appears to	
	Council		be an increase in planning applications	
			for extensions	
			2. Applicants should:	2.
			a. be encouraged to provide more	a. SPD refers to the validation checklist
			information to speed up the process;	
			b. neighbours should be consulted on	b. This is not a statutory requirement
			permitted development applications	and will increase burden on the
			with an explanation; can conditions	department
			be used to protect open plan nature	
			of estates; and	

Ref No	Name	SPD	Representation	Comments/Response
		Reference (paragraph)		
			c. standard procedure needed for new Tree Preservation Orders and locally listed buildings to be put in place before there is a direct threat to them; more hedgerow protection	c. Outside the scope of the SPD though the SPD does recognise the value of trees, local listed buildings and hedgerows. Look for opportunity to clarify in text.
08HE	Stockton Heath Parish Councillor		Particular concern about boundary hedges especially on land adjacent to open land, parks, farmland, canal towpaths with ancient hedgerows  Applicants should have to check ownership before removing hedges and removal of canal hedges in particular can detract from biodiversity levels in an area	Clarify in text though in most cases this removal is not controllable under planning legislation
09HE	Resident		<ol> <li>Good process but real test is how they are interpreted and applied; current lack clarity of consistency and concern this will continue;</li> <li>Process of consultation and communication needs to be more transparent to ensure all stakeholders including eg Parish Council have proper opportunity to comment</li> <li>Require clarity and consistency in what</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Clarify in text</li> <li>Clarify in text</li> <li>Refer to validation checklist</li> </ol>
10HE	United Utilities		is required with an application  Provide detailed technical comments that are outside the scope of the SPD	Clarify need to consult other bodies and utilities

Ref No	Name	SPD Reference (paragraph)	Representation	Comments/Response
11HE	Resident		Supports the document – extensions are greatly needed for many houses especially those with e.g. small kitchens	Support noted
12HE	Natural England		The topic the SPD covers is unlikely to have major impact on the natural environment therefore do not wish to make specific comments.	1. Comment noted
			2. Comments though on the benefits of biodiversity enhancement incorporating features beneficial to wildlife (such as bird box and bat roost provision) and landscape enhancement	2. Clarify in text
			Natural England does provide standing advice to help LPA's assess the impact of a particular development on protected or priority species	3. Comment noted
13HE	Historic England		No comment to make on the content	Noted